

35  
36 **Abstract**

37 The objective of much promotional material is to create attitudes about a product or service with existing and  
38 prospective consumers. The effectiveness of the promotion in generating such attitudes is partly dependent upon  
39 the ability of these actual and prospective consumers to process the information provided in the promotional  
40 material, which then impacts on their decision. The aim of this research is to analyze the effectiveness of a  
41 promotional website under different information load conditions, for consumers from countries that differ in  
42 their digital information literacy. To achieve this goal, an experiment was conducted which was designed to  
43 manage the amount of information shown to participants from two different countries (the United Kingdom and  
44 Spain). The results suggest that both the main and interaction effect of information load and digital literacy have  
45 an impact on a website's effectiveness. This implies that promotional websites need to be not only culturally but  
46 also cognitively adapted.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56



Str. 12

Electronic Markets - The International Journal on Networked Business Grimmaische

Editorial Office:  
c/o Information Systems Institute

04109 Leipzig, Germany  
Fax: +49 (341) 9733 612

65 [www.electronicmarkets.org](http://www.electronicmarkets.org)

University of Leipzig, Germany

[editors@electronicmarkets.org](mailto:editors@electronicmarkets.org)

# Online Marketing Effectiveness – the influence of information load and digital literacy, a cross-country comparison

## Introduction

The impact of the variety and amount of information on the effectiveness of decision-making has interested academics, educators and practitioners for quite some time (Jacoby, 1977; Malhotra, 1982). Marketers are particularly concerned with information load, as it has an impact on the effectiveness of advertisements and promotions intended to inform and persuade consumers (Goodwin and Etgar 1980).

A specific concern related to information load for marketing professionals is the increase in the amount of information being made available through the Internet— especially the ease with which it can be viewed due to a proliferation in the ways by which it can be easily accessed (Lee and Lee, 2004). This increase has been in both ‘time of access’, because of an expectation of 24/7 availability, and ‘place of access’, as a result of the increasing availability of mobile technology. It has been recognized that, due to this combination of variety, quantity and ease of access of information made available through the Internet, consumers experience information overload (Eppler and Mengis, 2004). This is especially the case amongst those with little experience of the medium (Ahuja and Webster, 2001) and those who are digital immigrants, rather than digital natives (Prensky 2001). This problem of possible information overload is exacerbated by the opportunity for low-cost information searches that the Internet provides (Biswas, 2004).

With the growth in the importance and influence of the Internet as both an information source and promotional tool, there is a need to understand the impact it has on decision-making (Hargittai, 2005). Lee and Lee (2004) identified that there was a correlation between increasing volumes of information and poorer quality decisions. The solutions identified and used to reduce the effect of information overload involve managing the amount and type of information made available. However, little attention has been given to the influence of personal characteristics on information overload (Eppler and Mengis, 2004). It is generally considered that the information overload boundary is specific to each individual, and is related to characteristics such as personal skills (Jackson and Farzaneh, 2012).

1 A personal skill important in explaining a person's information processing capacity is their information literacy  
2 (Bawden and Robison, 2009). Information literacy is defined by the United States National Forum on  
3 Information Literacy as "the ability to know when there is a need for information, and to be able to identify,  
4 locate, evaluate, and effectively use that information for the issue or problem at hand" (see Chatterjee, 2016). In  
5 higher education there is growing advocacy for research into the impact of information literacy on learning  
6 (Ivanitskaya, O'Boyle and Casey, 2006; Webber and Johnston, 2000). Educational policies have a significant  
7 impact on information literacy; consequently, as such policies differ between countries, there are differences in  
8 information literacy between populations (Frau-Meigs, Velez and Flores, 2017).

9 As a consequence of the the Internet traditional linear models of understanding information processing are no  
10 longer suitable. These models are generally based on some variation of the following stages: recognizing a need  
11 for information; choosing the best sources; accessing information; evaluating information; organizing and  
12 storing information; communicating and using information. However these models do not acknowledge the  
13 reality of the newfound complexity of information behavior, and ignore the interactive search for information  
14 that characterizes what actually occurs (Bawden and Robinson, 2009). According to these authors, the strategies  
15 that emerge for increasing and improving information literacy are unlikely to be purely 'informational'; rather,  
16 information itself will comprise only part of a solution involving much wider areas, including digital literacy  
17 (Koltay, 2011).

18 The aim of this study is to analyze how consumer levels of digital information literacy—developed through the  
19 education systems of their respective home countries—moderate the effect that the amount of information  
20 provided has on the attitudes formed during the consumer's information processing phase. The attitudinal  
21 consequences in this research comprise both attitude toward the website (advertisement) and attitude toward the  
22 promoted destination (brand)— an adaptation of the traditional variables of advertising effectiveness  
23 (MacKenzie, Lutz and Belch, 1986). This study has been developed using an experimental environment within  
24 the tourism sector.

25 The tourism sector, one of the earliest adopters of digital technologies, has become one of the most prominent  
26 users of these technologies for the promotion and distribution of products and services (Buhalis and Law 2008),  
27 and has therefore been used as the basis for this project. This study researched the impact of information load on  
28 two groups of prospective tourists: those from a country whose educational system has a high capacity to  
29 develop digital skills, and another group from a country with a lower capacity to advance such skills. For both  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 groups, the information load effect on attitude toward the website, and toward the promoted destination, was  
2 controlled by an experimental design.

3  
4 This research is timely because, although the consequences of information load have been systematically  
5 documented in the literature, including research on information load and the Internet, there has been no empirical  
6 research as yet evaluating the critical role and relative importance of digital information literacy specifically in  
7  
8 *high information load conditions*. The necessity of such research is supported by Bawden and Robinson (2009)  
9  
10 who identified that, in order to reduce the incidence of information overload, there was a need for more attention  
11  
12 to be given to digital literacy.  
13  
14

### 15 **Literature review**

16  
17  
18 Where the situation is complex and uncertain, making global rationality impossible, consumers' decision-making  
19  
20 processes can be explained by the theories of either optimization or of satisficing (Simon, 1972). Optimization  
21  
22 occurs when the degree of complication is reduced, through radical simplification of the real-world situation, to a  
23  
24 level that the decision maker can handle. Satisficing (a portmanteau of *satisfy* and *suffice* coined by Herbert  
25  
26 Simon in 1956) on the other hand retains more of the detail of the real-world situation, and handles the  
27  
28 complexity and uncertainly by opting for a satisfactory, rather than optimal, solution. It is hard to predict which  
29  
30 of the two approaches will lead to a better real-world decision.  
31  
32

33  
34 Decision-making is also impacted by the Level of Processing (LOP) involved ( Craik and Lockhart, 1972; Olson,  
35  
36 1980). With a foundation in psychology and cognitive science (Nelson and Reed, 1977), LOP theory argues that  
37  
38 the level of access to an individual's memory is dependent upon the level of cognitive processing required. This  
39  
40 means that in response to external stimuli, individuals process incoming information at different levels of mental  
41  
42 encoding, ranging from 'shallow' sensory encoding to 'deep' semantic encoding.  
43  
44  
45

46 In addition to LOP, decision-making is also impacted by the amount of information that working memory is able  
47  
48 to retain at one time, also known as Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller, 1994). A number of studies have  
49  
50 highlighted the effect of information load on an individual's available cognitive processing capacity—which can  
51  
52 vary from low to high—and bounded cognitive processing ability (Bettman, 1979; Lee and Lee, 2004; Miller,  
53  
54 1956). Based on the notion of limited human processing capacity, when consumers are provided with too much  
55  
56 information at a given time, such that it exceeds their processing limits, overload occurs (Lee and Lee, 2004).  
57  
58 There are several approaches to objectively approximating information load, the most common of these being  
59  
60 the multiplication of the number of alternatives by the number of attributes (e.g. Malhotra, 1982). Additional  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 alternatives and attributes increase the quantity of information to be processed, which can lead to individuals  
2 experiencing information overload (Lee and Lee, 2004; Rodríguez, Frías and Castañeda, 2015).

#### 3 4 *The consequences of information load*

5  
6  
7 The significance of information load lies in its impact on information processing. Eppler and Mengis (2004)  
8 identified the inverted U-curve effect of information processing: during information under-load the decision  
9 maker experiences increased information processing, with the opposite being the case when experiencing  
10 information overload. Therefore during information overload decision processing is suboptimal, and decreases  
11 further the greater the amount of information provided. This is significant as it suggests that, past a certain  
12 information load, diminished levels of information processing will negatively impact decision-making.

13  
14  
15 The implications of information overload on the decision maker have an impact in three broad areas:  
16  
17  
18 psychological, attitudinal and behavioral (Roetzel, 2018). First, when the decision maker is experiencing an  
19  
20 information overload situation, they often display symptoms of anxiety, stress, confusion, demotivation and  
21  
22 other such negative consequences (Eppler and Mengis, 2004, Bawden and Robinson, 2009). Secondly,  
23  
24 information overload has a negative impact on the user's affective reactions, including attitudes toward the  
25  
26 product or website (Sicilia and Ruiz, 2010), perceived destination image (Frías, Rodríguez, and Castañeda, 2008)  
27  
28 and advertising effectiveness (Wang, Chou, Su, and Tsai, 2007). In terms of behavioral effects, the most  
29  
30 important consequence of information overload is that the decision-making process is likely to result in a less-  
31  
32 than-optimal decision being made (Wan, Menon and Ramaprasad, 2009). Other consequences include choice-  
33  
34 related experiences such as regret, disappointment, decreased motivation to make a choice, or making no choice  
35  
36 (Eppler and Mengis, 2004; Schwartz, 2004). Some authors have used the term "choice overload" when their aim  
37  
38 is to study these behavioral symptoms (Scheibehenne, Greifeneder and Todd, 2009; Schwartz, 2004; Sthapit,  
39  
40 2018; Thai and Yuksel, 2017).

41  
42  
43 The complexity of the decision-making task is impacted by both the number of attributes and the number of  
44  
45 alternatives (Payne 1982). Up to a certain point, as the number of alternatives and attributes per choice increase,  
46  
47 there is a parallel increase in both the processing activity of the consumer and the decision quality. However,  
48  
49 after a certain point, the processing activity and decision quality begin to decrease (Payne *et al.* 1993).  
50

51  
52  
53 Because of its marketing implications, the behavioral impact of information overload has received significant  
54  
55 levels of attention and analysis (see Scheibehenne, Greifeneder and Todd, 2010). Online product presentations  
56  
57 with high information load may consume a significant portion of cognitive processing capacity, which can result  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2 in poor behavioral outcomes (Nelson, Reed and McEvoy, 1977), though this proposition has yet to be  
3 theoretically and empirically verified with different types of information.  
4

5 There are conflicting perspectives with regard to the impact of information load. One proposition is that a high  
6 information load facilitates information processing, leading to a more knowledgeable decision (Chewning and  
7 Harrel 1990), while the alternative proposition is that a high information load depletes the bounded cognitive  
8 capacity of consumers, thus impeding their processing of product attributes, which subsequently results in poor  
9 purchase decisions (Jacoby, Speller and Kohn, 1974). There is therefore a need to investigate the influence of  
10 information load on product information processing and consumer decision-making in online shopping (Lee et  
11 al. 2016).  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 An immediate result of the consumer's information processing phase is the formation of a set of attitudes toward  
21 the elements involved in the choice (MacKenzie and Lutz, 1989). Traditional models used to evaluate  
22 advertising effectiveness utilize two key attitudinal constructs: attitude toward the advert and attitude toward the  
23 brand (MacKenzie, Lutz and Belch, 1986). As websites are considered a form of advertising, due to their  
24 conceptual similarity, physical appearance and functionality (Singh and Dalal, 1999) these models have been  
25 adapted to evaluate website effectiveness. It is for this reason that studies of the effectiveness of websites include  
26 measurement of users' attitudes toward the website (Castañeda, Rodríguez, and Luque, 2009; Karson and Fisher,  
27 2005).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 The effect of information load on both attitude toward a website and toward the brand it is promoting, is an  
38 under-researched area (see Voorveld, Neijens and Smit, 2009). With regard to consumer attitudes toward a  
39 website, Sicilia and Ruiz's (2010) research identified that the influence of the amount of information provided  
40 does not in fact follow an inverted U-shaped curve. Instead, their research identified a positive relationship  
41 between the amount of information provided and the attitude toward the website, though this correlation was  
42 only observed under conditions of low and medium amounts of information. These findings contradict those of  
43 other studies: for example, Wang et al. (2007) tested how different advertising components (text, graphics,  
44 animated graphics, video and hyperlinks) impacted the effectiveness of online advertisements. The researchers  
45 measured effectiveness using several variables (attitude toward the website, attitude toward the brand, and  
46 purchase intention) and concluded that, although some components reinforce advertisement effectiveness, upon  
47 further examination it appears that the greater the number of components (overload by reiteration of information  
48 using different formats), the less effective the advertisement.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Within the tourism sector, Rodríguez, Frías and Castañeda (2015) identified that when information load on a  
2 website is increased to the point of information overload, there is a reduction in the positive perception of the  
3 image of the destination. For hotel services, Furner and Zinko (2016) found that purchase intention increases  
4 with the information load in online reviews, until that information load becomes excessive, at which point  
5 purchase intention begins to decrease.  
6  
7

8  
9 Bearing in mind that information load is composed of the combination of several alternatives and attributes, it is  
10 proposed that:  
11  
12

13 H1. Higher levels of information load lead to lower levels of (tourist) destination website effectiveness.  
14

15 More specifically,  
16  
17

18 H1a. A higher number of alternatives reduces the website's effectiveness in terms of attitude toward the website  
19 and attitude toward the destination.  
20  
21  
22

23 H1b. A higher number of attributes reduces the website's effectiveness in terms of attitude toward the website  
24 and attitude toward the destination.  
25  
26

### 27 *Digital literacy skills*

28  
29  
30  
31

32 With an increase in services and information relevant to daily life (e.g. financial services, product information,  
33 government forms) being made available online, literacy with regard to the Internet is becoming ever more  
34 important. Hargittai (2005) asserts that, as an increasing portion of the population uses the Internet, it is  
35 important to measure not just simple access, but also how information processing is impacted by digital literacy.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42 It is therefore important to evaluate the impact of the Internet on access to information, and further how the  
43 resulting increase in information load impacts on decision-making.  
44

45  
46 In their book *Digital Literacy*, published at the end of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, Gilster (1997) claims to provide  
47 "Internet novices with the basic thinking skills and core competencies they'll need to thrive in an interactive  
48 environment... fundamentally different from passive media such as television or print." He defines the literacy  
49 needed for this interactive digital environment as "the ability to understand and use information in multiple  
50 formats from a wide range of sources when it is presented via computers." Eshet (2004) develops this definition  
51 further, moving beyond the mere ability to use software or operate a digital device, to include a framework that  
52 includes photo-visual literacy, reproduction literacy, branching literacy, information literacy, and socioemotional  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 literacy. This line of mixing the technological and sociocultural aspects of digital literacy was further expanded  
2 to consider defining, delimiting and discussing the concept by scholars such as Lankshear and Knobel (2008).

3  
4 With the objective being to differentiate between different types of literacy, Catts and Lau (2008) distinguish  
5 between ICT—skills such as the use of digital technology, communications tools or networks, which essentially  
6 indicate a competence in handling information in a particular setting, context or format—and information  
7 literacy, or the capability to recognize when information is needed and to locate, evaluate, and effectively use it  
8 once gathered (ALA, 1989). Individuals with higher digital information literacy combine both high ratings in  
9 ICT skills with a higher capability for information management (Bawden and Robinson, 2009).

10  
11 Taking into consideration the diversity of definitions for Digital Literacy, Bawden (2008) described the concept  
12 as composed of four components: traditional skills (literacy per se, and ICT literacy); background knowledge  
13 derived from living in a world of information; central competencies similar to Gilster's (1997) core  
14 competencies (e.g. understanding digital formats, communicating digital information, information literacy,  
15 evaluation of information) and, finally; attitudes toward independent learning and the development of moral,  
16 social and ethical behavior in a digital environment.

17  
18 There have been a number of developments, specifically geared toward students and employees, intended to  
19 evaluate and score levels of Digital Literacy (e.g. The IC3 Digital Literacy Certification; Northstar Digital  
20 Literacy Assessment; Microsoft Digital Literacy Assessment). In a broader perspective, the European Union has  
21 developed policies intended to develop the digital competence of its citizens. The European Parliament and  
22 Council (2006) stated that digital competence involves the confident and critical use of Information Society  
23 Technology (IST) for work, leisure and communication. This is underpinned by basic skills in ICT: the use of  
24 computers to retrieve, assess, store, produce, present and exchange information, and to communicate and  
25 participate in collaborative networks via the Internet (p. 6). These were incorporated into the Digital Agenda for  
26 Europe's Digital Scoreboard (European Commission, 2014), which provided a mechanism to evaluate the digital  
27 competencies of EU citizens, and set policies to increase it. The operationalization of the digital literacy  
28 construct is through what Bawden (2008) identified as a set of central competencies.

29  
30 Regarding ICT skills, van Dijk (2006) states that operational skills (related to technology device use), must work  
31 together with information skills (e.g. information evaluation, knowledge assembly) and strategic skills (the  
32 capacity to use computer and network sources as a means for achieving particular goals). Poor computer (ICT)  
33 literacy on the part of the user may reduce the perceived usefulness of a website (Liao, Palvia and Lin, 2010).



1 The development of these skills relies heavily on a society's educational systems (Bawden and Robinson, 2009;  
2 Warschauer, 2009). The OECD's PISA survey is regarded as the world's most comprehensive and authoritative  
3 evaluation of information management skills. The survey, started in the year 2000 and conducted every three  
4 years, tracks the performance of students from approximately 70 countries in mathematics, reading and science  
5 as well as evaluating their capabilities in problem solving. Across the students that have completed the survey,  
6 PISA has identified important differences by country in ICT access/use and digital competences. These  
7 differences support Hargittai's (2005) advocacy for research into the implications and impacts such disparities  
8 may have on the understanding of information provided through the Internet.  
9

10 Performance in decision-making is usually represented as the relationship between the difficulty of a task (i.e.,  
11 the amount of information to be handled) and the capacity of the decision maker to process the information  
12 (Wickens, 1991). The decision maker's processing capacity is a nested concept of information literacy,  
13 comprising the time it takes an individual or system to seek, filter and extract the required information (Jackson  
14 and Farzaneh, 2012). It is likely that a person with a higher information processing capacity can cope with more  
15 information (Miller, 1994) and that the higher the information processing capacity of an individual, the less  
16 likely information overload is to occur. Taken together, both variables will determine the point of information  
17 overload in consumers (Eppler and Mengis, 2004). A particularly heavy cognitive load taxes the resources in the  
18 working memory—necessary in processing incoming data—which for many people may lead to poorer quality  
19 decisions and a greater number of negative responses (Im and Ha, 2011; Ko, Seo and Jung, 2015).  
20

21 The capacity to process information is also conditioned by the skills of the decision maker, related to their use of  
22 ICT (Chen, Pedersen and Murphy, 2011). Given that using ICT requires the individual to divide their cognitive  
23 resources between using the device (browsing the web, open links, scrolling, etc.) and information processing,  
24 when both are required simultaneously there is a high probability that information overload will occur (Frías,  
25 Rodríguez y Castañeda, 2008). Loh and Kanai (2016) conducted a more in-depth review of how the Internet has  
26 altered the cognitive behaviors and structures involved in information processing, suggesting that those  
27 individuals that are more familiar with the Internet develop an information processing protocol more suited to  
28 the digital environment which can alter the point of information overload for decisions made using the Internet.  
29

30 Therefore, the amount of information provided interacts with the ability of the individual to process that  
31 information, resulting in a modification of the point of overload, and affecting the consumer's opinions (Wang,  
32 Chou, Su and Tsai, 2007). Specifically, consumers with high information literacy skills can cope with high levels  
33 of information whilst consumers with low level information literacy skills, exposed to the same level of  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 information, will express a lower opinion of a website and destination, due to their decreased capacity to process  
2 all the information. In this regard, we propose:

3  
4 H2. The effect of information load upon the effectiveness of a website is moderated by the digital information  
5 skills of the population in each country.  
6

7  
8  
9 More specifically,

10 H2a. For tourists with lower digital information literacy skills, websites with a lower amount of information are  
11 more effective, in terms of attitude toward the website and attitude toward the destination, than websites with  
12 higher amounts of information.  
13  
14  
15

16 H2b. For tourists with higher digital information literacy skills, websites with both lower and higher information  
17 loads provoke similar levels of attitude toward the website and toward the destination.  
18  
19  
20

## 21 **Methodology**

### 22 *Sample and procedures*

23  
24  
25  
26 According to the Digital Agenda for Europe's Digital Scoreboard (European Commission, 2014), among  
27 European countries there are significant differences in digital literacy between populations. Focusing on two  
28 countries, the United Kingdom and Spain, there appear to be highly divergent levels of digital skills. Taking as a  
29 reference point the EU28 mean (59.2%), the UK population shows a significantly higher proportion of  
30 individuals with basic or above basic digital skills (72,8%), whilst for Spain this percentage is below the EU28  
31 mean (58.2%).  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 (INSERT HERE FIGURE 1)

42  
43  
44 The chosen methodology for data collection was experimentation. The sample were selected by engaging with a  
45 different third-party Internet panel both in the UK and in Spain. Internet user panels (Ipsos;  
46 <https://www.ipsos.com/ipsos-mori/en-uk>) provide access to a representative sample of the target population, and  
47 thus help facilitate the fieldwork. Furthermore, as the research was constructed to identify differences or  
48 similarities between two different groups based around Internet information load, a user panel recruited via the  
49 Internet provides a sample that are conversant with Internet use.  
50  
51  
52  
53

54  
55 In addition to being au fait with using the Internet, in order to be considered an appropriate participant for the  
56 research, subjects were required to fulfill two criteria, namely that they were of a legal age (18) and habitually  
57  
58  
59

60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 took holidays, i.e. at least once a year. The sample comprised a similar number of participants from each country  
2 (285 from the UK and 280 from Spain). This produced a total sample of 565 participants. The socio-  
3 demographic characteristics reflected to a high degree the tourist profile in each country (see table 1).  
4

5  
6 (INSERT HERE TABLE 1)  
7

8  
9 The panel initially contacted prospective participants by email and invited them to take part in the research. The  
10 experiment, hosted online, included a range of stimuli intended to simulate different information load situations.  
11 Participants were asked for their help in evaluating a website for a tourist destination. The experiment was  
12 conducted in three sequential phases. Initially, through a questionnaire, information was collected to ascertain  
13 the characteristics of each participant regarding their tourism motives. Then, before being allowed to access the  
14 destination website, each participant was given details as to what was expected of them. These instructions stated  
15 the need for the participant to undertake typical tourist activities, such as booking a return flight, reserving a  
16 hotel room and booking a table at a restaurant. This requirement ensured that the participants, in addition to  
17 visiting all sections of the website, would experience high levels of involvement with the site. The same amount  
18 of time (seven minutes) was given to each participant to browse the destination website. After five minutes each  
19 participant was given a reminder of what was required of him or her. Giving subjects a time limit within an  
20 artificial environment can help to induce a state of overload (Lurie, 2004). After the completion of this task, the  
21 final phase of the experiment involved the participant being redirected away from the experimental website to a  
22 second survey. This final component required participants to respond to the dependent variables of the research;  
23 namely their attitude toward the website and their attitude toward the destination.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 To ensure semantic equivalence between countries, both experimental stimuli and questionnaires were conducted  
41 in the national language of each participant's country (Spanish for Spain participants and English for British  
42 participants). The translation-back-translation method was applied by accredited translators specializing in  
43 market research and marketing (Hult et al., 2008). The original Spanish version (i) of both the stimuli and  
44 questionnaires was translated into English (ii). This English language version was then translated back to  
45 Spanish (iii) and was then compared with the original Spanish version to identify any translation discrepancies.  
46 This two-step process was repeated until convergence between the two versions of the stimuli and questionnaires  
47 was achieved.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

*Stimuli*

1  
2 A website promoting an imaginary tourist destination called the island of Buyuada was developed (see Appendix  
3  
4 1). Information provided by the website included general tourist information including the island's geography,  
5  
6 history, governance, gastronomy, climate and currency. In addition, the site provided useful travel information  
7  
8 such as flights, hotels, restaurants, etc. In the field of consumer behavior, using fictitious elements such as those  
9  
10 used in the design of this experiment is standard practice (Petty, Cacciopo and Schumann, 1983). Furthermore,  
11  
12 this approach ensures that there is no scope for the individual to find additional online information about the  
13  
14 location in question. To ensure 'Buyuada' or something similar did not exist as a tourist destination a thorough  
15  
16 check was made to confirm that the name was unique.

17  
18  
19 In order to manipulate information load, the most common approach is the multiplication of the number of  
20  
21 alternatives by the number of the attributes (e.g. Malhotra, 1982, Rodríguez, Frías and Castañeda, 2015). By  
22  
23 producing four versions of the website it was possible to manipulate the information load experienced by  
24  
25 participants (high vs low number of attributes x high vs low number of alternatives). This produced a minimum  
26  
27 number for each country of 60 cases for each of the four treatments and a maximum of 78 (table2).

28  
29  
30 (INSERT HERE TABLE 2)

31  
32 The number of alternatives for flights, hotels and restaurants on one version of the website was seven, whilst the  
33  
34 other doubled the number of options, offering 14 alternatives— doubling the number of alternatives is an  
35  
36 established method of increasing information load (Malhotra 1982). A pre-test, conducted before the start of the  
37  
38 experiment, confirmed that those who were exposed to double the number of alternatives did experience greater  
39  
40 information load than those who experienced the lower number of alternatives. All alternatives were displayed  
41  
42 within each web page, in order to ensure that participants in the larger alternative group had full access to all the  
43  
44 applicable different alternatives.

45  
46  
47 By altering the amount of information displayed on the website, the attribute variable was manipulated. This was  
48  
49 achieved by a method similar to that used by Jacoby, Speller and Berning (1974) and Sicilia and Ruiz (2010),  
50  
51 namely, using the two different versions of the website to display different numbers of paragraphs of information  
52  
53 through all sections of the marketing information on the website.

54  
55  
56 Two different countries, the UK and Spain, were selected because they provided the opportunity to produce a  
57  
58 block variable. The populations of these two countries, as identified in previous paragraphs, display very  
59  
60 different levels of digital information literacy.

61  
62  
63  
64  
65

*Measures of dependent variables*

1  
2 The dependent variables for this experiment consisted of tourist attitude toward the website and tourist attitude  
3 toward the destination. To measure these variables, three items on a seven-point semantic differential scale from  
4 Muehling (1987) were adapted to each reference object (bad/good; negative/positive; unfavorable/favorable).  
5  
6 Cronbach's alpha was used to measure the internal consistency of the scales. In both cases it was identified that  
7  
8 the values were greater than those recommended by the literature ( $\alpha = 0.93$  Attitude toward the website;  $\alpha = 0.95$   
9 Attitude toward the destination). On this basis, we calculated the average score for each scale.  
10  
11  
12

**Results**

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 In this section we analyze whether the manipulation of the factors has been effective and test the proposed  
19 hypotheses.  
20

*Manipulation checks*

21  
22  
23 We anticipated that, by increasing the number of alternatives and attributes, we could increase the information  
24 load experienced by the subjects. Using one single measure ('There was a lot of information in the website')  
25  
26 with a score ranging from 1 to 7, the results show that the perceived information load was higher when the  
27  
28 subjects were exposed to more alternatives and to more attributes (table 3).  
29  
30  
31

32  
33  
34 (INSERT HERE TABLE 3)  
35  
36

37 Furthermore, according to the Digital Agenda for Europe's Digital Scoreboard, Spain and the United Kingdom  
38 show significant differences in each population's digital information literacy. Given that digital information  
39 literacy includes both general information skills and specific skills to locate the information online (Bawden and  
40 Robinson, 2009), two items were measured: 'I felt overwhelmed by the amount of information' (Info\_skills);  
41  
42 'The information in the website was organized logically' (Online\_skills). A MANOVA, using attitude toward  
43  
44 the website and attitude toward the destination as dependent variables, and the tourist's country as factor (Model  
45  
46 A), identified that tourist country had a significant effect on the dependent variables. Next, we introduced into  
47  
48 the analysis items related to information literacy as a covariate (Model B). The main effect of the tourist country  
49  
50 then becomes nonsignificant, indicating that in Model A country includes the digital literacy effect (table 4).  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56 (INSERT HERE TABLE 4)  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 These results allow us to conclude that the factors have been correctly applied and reflect information load and  
 2 digital information literacy respectively. This confirms that the manipulation worked correctly in the experiment.

### 3 *Testing the hypotheses*

4  
 5  
 6  
 7 Given the experimental nature of the research, a multivariate analysis of variance was conducted. The dependent  
 8 variables were the two attitudes reflecting website effectiveness: attitude toward the website and attitude toward  
 9 the destination. The two variables used to manage the information load to which subjects were exposed (number  
 10 of alternatives and attributes) were taken as independent variables. Furthermore, as our aim was to analyze the  
 11 moderating role of digital information literacy in the effect of information load on website effectiveness, the  
 12 tourist's country was included in the analysis as a blocking variable (table 5).  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17

18 (INSERT HERE TABLE 5)  
 19

20  
 21  
 22 H1 states that higher levels of information load lead to lower levels of destination website effectiveness. The  
 23 MANOVA demonstrated that the factors relating to information load (number of alternatives and number of  
 24 attributes) were statistically significant (see Table 5). Individual ANOVAS revealed that, as predicted in H1a,  
 25 the website with a high number of alternatives is less effective, in terms of attitudes toward the website and  
 26 attitudes toward the destination, than the website with a low number of alternatives ( $A_{web\_LowALT}=5,38$  vs.  
 27  $A_{web\_HighALT}=4,94$ ;  $p\text{-value}<.01$ ;  $A_{dest\_LowALT}=5,77$  vs.  $A_{dest\_HighALT}=5,57$ ;  $p\text{-value}=.05$ ). In the  
 28 same vein, as proposed by H1b, the website with a high number of attributes is less effective  
 29 ( $A_{web\_LowATTR}=5,34$  vs.  $A_{web\_HighATTR}=4,98$ ;  $p\text{-value}<.01$ ;  $A_{dest\_LowATTR}=5,76$  vs.  
 30  $A_{dest\_HighATTR}=5,58$ ;  $p\text{-value} = .05$ ) than the website with a lower number of attributes. Overall, these results  
 31 support H1.  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40  
 41  
 42

43 With regard to digital information literacy, no hypothesis is proposed as to its main effect, only an interaction  
 44 effect is hypothesized. Nonetheless, the results show digital information literacy does have an effect on website  
 45 effectiveness. In particular, British tourists show a higher mean attitude toward the website ( $A_{web\_UK}=5,52$  vs.  
 46  $A_{web\_Spain}=4,80$ ;  $p\text{-value}<.01$ ) and attitude toward the destination ( $A_{dest\_UK}=5,90$  vs.  $A_{dest\_Spain}=5,44$ ;  $p\text{-}$   
 47  $value<.01$ ) than the Spanish tourists. Given that the website is designed to induce overload in the subject,  
 48 including a time limit imposed on making decisions, those tourists with higher digital information literacy were  
 49 more adept at managing such a task, which leads to higher website effectiveness. Furthermore, the results show a  
 50 significant interaction effect of digital literacy with the number of alternatives and the number of attributes  
 51 (Pillai's Trace=0.02;  $p\text{-value} = 0.01$ ). Analyzing this result more closely (Figures 2 and 3), when we focus on  
 52  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65

1 Spanish tourists, who have low population-level digital information literacy, there are significant differences in  
2 the attitude toward the website (5.21 vs. 4.14; p-value <0.01) and marginal differences in attitude toward the  
3 destination (5.56 vs. 5.00; p-value=.09) comparing the low load information condition (low number of  
4 alternatives x low number of attributes), with the high load information condition (high number of alternatives x  
5 high number of attributes). Consequently, the website is more effective for Spanish tourists when they are  
6 exposed to low information load, due to their low population-level digital information literacy. This result  
7 provides support for H2a.  
8  
9

10 (INSERT HERE FIGURE 2)

11 (INSERT HERE FIGURE 3)

12 Analyzing the attitudes toward the website and toward the destination which were generated by different  
13 versions of the website, the results suggest that the effect of information load is less evident in British Tourists.  
14

15 There are no significant differences in website effectiveness between the conditions of low information load (low  
16 number of alternatives x low number of attributes) and high information load (high number of alternatives x high  
17 number of attributes) (Figures 4 and 5). This result gives support to H2b.  
18  
19

20 (INSERT HERE FIGURE 4)

21 (INSERT HERE FIGURE 5)

22 In summary, the results support the hypotheses proposed, specifically, the moderating effect of digital  
23 information literacy on the effect of information load in website effectiveness (H2).  
24  
25

## 26 Discussion

27 This paper analyses how digital information literacy moderates the effect of information load on the attitudes  
28 formed during an individual's information processing phase. It is well known that increasing the level of online  
29 information presented to a subject creates an overload situation (Lee and Lee, 2004). Our results confirm a  
30 similar effect for the tourism sector in respondents from different countries. In particular, when the information  
31 load increases, the effectiveness of the website as a communication media tool decreases (lower attitude toward  
32 the website and lower attitude toward the destination). In a similar vein, Rodríguez, Frías and Castañeda (2015)  
33 found a negative effect of information load on destination image. Nonetheless, our results indicate that this effect  
34 is not the same for every purchase situation and varies depending on a consumer's personal characteristics  
35 (Eppler and Mengis, 2004).  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1 Educational systems develop the information literacy of their populations differently, resulting in significant  
2 disparities between countries (Bawden and Robinson, 2009). Even the subjects of different nationalities can vary  
3 in their digital literacy (European Commission, 2014). Since Gilster (1997) published *Digital Literacy*, several  
4 papers have been published showing a strong link between education and digital skills development (e.g. Knobel  
5 and Lankshear, 2006; Hobbs and Jensen, 2009). The present paper shows that a population's level of digital  
6 literacy impacts the point of information overload and thus the formation of attitude. Tourists with high digital  
7 literacy can cope with more information and, consequently, are less adversely affected by the high levels of  
8 information on the Internet. Similar results were found by Birru et al. (2004) in adults seeking online health  
9 information, but no similar papers have been found which discuss the effect of digital literacy on consumer  
10 decisions (some exceptions refer to general literacy such as reading skills, e.g. Jae, DelVecchio and Childers,  
11 2011).

12 Given that we can link digital literacy competency with an educational system, these results are valuable to  
13 sectors such as tourism that operate in international markets. Knowing the digital information literacy level of a  
14 target market population, destination management organizations can adapt their online promotional materials.

## 25 **Conclusions and implications**

26 The results of the present paper identify the relevance of a tourist's digital information literacy in the  
27 effectiveness of a tourism website, with website effectiveness being reflected by a subject's attitude toward the  
28 website and toward the destination.

29 Taking two countries that differ in their educational systems' capacity to develop skills related to digital  
30 information management (UK vs. Spain), the results show that tourists educated in a country with low digital  
31 information literacy (Spanish tourists) develop poorer attitudes toward the website and associated promoted  
32 destination when they are exposed to high information load. Conversely, tourists educated in a country with  
33 higher digital information literacy (British tourists) do not exhibit significant differences in the mean level of  
34 attitudes generated by varying the information load.

35 The main implication of the results of this research is related to the level of adaptation required for websites and  
36 other such digital promotional material, depending on the country from which consumers are accessing them.

37 The literature suggests that the most convenient adaption relates to language, symbols, content and even the  
38 structure of the website (Cyr, 2008). However the most cited adaptation noted in the literature is in terms of  
39 cultural values (Singh, Zhao and Hu, 2005). The results of this paper go a step further, suggesting that adaptation  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1 of the amount of information is also necessary, relative to the level of digital information skill in the population  
2 of a particular country. As we can see in the OECD's PISA survey and the Digital Agenda for Europe's Digital  
3 Scoreboard, educational systems differ in their capacity to develop general information management skills and,  
4 more specifically, digital information skills. These differences affect the capability of a population in managing  
5 information. Website developers and tourist marketing professionals should bear in mind the need to select and  
6 display only the most relevant information to consumers in countries that exhibit lower levels of digital  
7 information literacy (see García-Retamero, Cokely and Ghazal, 2014 for a review of information skills in 29  
8 countries). Other interesting suggestions relate to the inclusion on websites of a recommendation system, which  
9 would allow the user to select the amount of information most suited to their specific requirements (Xiao and  
10 Benbasat, 2007), which is correlated to their ability to manage information load.

11 From the point of view of public institutions, the results of this paper highlight the necessity of developing  
12 educational curricula in order to improve population levels of digital information literacy, in order to take  
13 advantage of electronic communication tools (the Internet, mobile apps, wearable technology and similar future  
14 developments). Where the population in a country has lower levels of digital skills, they will be limited in taking  
15 advantage of ICT opportunities (Madon, 2000).

16 In terms of academic implications, this research goes a step forward in considering the effect of digital  
17 information literacy on consumer behavior. Although personal factors interact with information load in  
18 conditioning consumer decision processing (Jackson and Farzaneh, 2012), to the best of our knowledge, this is  
19 the first study to empirically test the interaction between information load and consumer digital literacy.

20 Furthermore, the majority of information literacy research has been conducted in only two subject areas: students  
21 and patients (e.g. Iannuzzi, 2000; Kessels, 2003). The tourism sector is one where the electronic channel for  
22 disseminating information and providing options predominates, and where there is no intermediary between this  
23 information and the consumer. It is under such circumstances that the tourist can become overburdened by the  
24 large amount of information available (Rodríguez, Frías and Castañeda, 2015).

25 Finally, as with every research project, there are some limitations to the current research. First, the digital  
26 information literacy variable was limited to two countries. Further research could include additional countries in  
27 order to generalize the results. Second, the proposition of the present research is based on a digital information  
28 literacy score by country using a well-reputed study (the Digital Agenda for Europe Digital Scoreboard). This  
29 score is a mean of the population; the actual level of digital literacy for each subject is not known, and could  
30 have an impact on the point at which the subject experiences information overload; a further study could elicit

the actual digital information literacy of each respondent. Finally, the present study tests the moderating role of digital information literacy in a high involvement situation (booking tourism services), but the results could be different for a low involvement situation (e.g. online exploratory navigation).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## References List

- 1  
2  
3  
4 Ahuja, J. S., & Webster, J. (2001). Perceived disorientation: an examination of a new measure to assess web  
5 design effectiveness. *Interacting with Computers*, 14, 15-29.  
6  
7  
8 ALA (1989). Presidential Committee on Information Literacy: Final Report. Retrieved February 20, 1989 from  
9 <http://www.ala.org/acrl/publications/whitepapers/presidential>.  
10  
11  
12 Bawden, D. (2008). Origins and concepts of digital literacy. In C. Lankshear and M. Knobel (Eds.). *Digital*  
13 *literacies: Concepts, policies and practices* (17-32). New York: Peter Lang.  
14  
15  
16 Bawden, D., & Robinson, L. (2009). The dark side of information: overload, anxiety and other paradoxes and  
17 pathologies. *Journal of information science*, 35(2), 180-191.  
18  
19  
20 Birru, M. S., Monaco, V. M., Charles, L., Drew, H., Njie, V., Bierria, T., Detlefsen, E., & Steinman, R. A.  
21  
22 (2004). Internet usage by low-literacy adults seeking health information: an observational analysis. *Journal of*  
23 *Medical Internet Research*, 6(3).  
24  
25  
26 Biswas, D. (2004). Economics of information in the web economy: towards a new theory? *Journal of Business*  
27 *Research*, 57(7), 724-733.  
28  
29  
30 Buhalis, D., & Law, R. (2008). Progress in information technology and tourism management: 20 years on and 10  
31 years after the Internet—The state of eTourism research. *Tourism management*, 29(4), 609-623.  
32  
33  
34 Castaneda, J. A., Rodríguez, M. A., & Luque, T. (2009). Attitudes' hierarchy of effects in online user behavior.  
35 *Online Information Review*, 33(1), 7-21.  
36  
37  
38 Catts, R., & Lau, J. (2008). Towards information literacy indicators. Paris: UNESCO. Retrieved February 20,  
39 2019 from <https://dspace.stir.ac.uk/bitstream/1893/2119/1/cattsandlau.pdf>.  
40  
41  
42 Chatterjee, A. (2016). *Elements of information organization and dissemination*. Chandos Publishing.  
43  
44  
45 Chen, C. Y., Pedersen, S., & Murphy, K. L. (2011). Learners' perceived information overload in online learning  
46 via computer-mediated communication. *Research in Learning Technology*, 19(2).  
47  
48  
49 Chewing Jr, E.G. and Harrell, A.M. (1990). The effect of information load on decision makers' cue utilization  
50 levels and decision quality in a financial distress decision task. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 15(6),  
51 527-542.  
52  
53  
54  
55 Craik, F.I. & Lockhart, R.S. (1972). Levels of processing: A framework for memory research. *Journal of verbal*  
56 *learning and verbal behavior*, 11(6), 671-684.  
57  
58  
59 Cyr, D. (2008). Modeling web site design across cultures: relationships to trust, satisfaction, and e-  
60 loyalty. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 24(4), 47-72.  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Eppler, M. J., & Mengis, J. (2004). The concept of information overload: A review of literature from  
2 organization science, accounting, marketing, MIS, and related disciplines. *The information society*, 20(5), 325-  
3 344.

4  
5 European Commission (2014). Individuals with basic or above basic digital skills. Digital Agenda Scoreboard.

6  
7 Retrieved February 20, 2019 from [http://digital-agenda-](http://digital-agenda-data.eu/datasets/digital_agenda_scoreboard_key_indicators/indicators)  
8 [data.eu/datasets/digital\\_agenda\\_scoreboard\\_key\\_indicators/indicators](http://digital-agenda-data.eu/datasets/digital_agenda_scoreboard_key_indicators/indicators)

9  
10  
11 European Parliament and European Council (2006). Key competences for lifelong learning (2006/962/EC). Offi-  
12 cial Journal of the European Union L 394/10. Retrieved July 04, 2019 from <https://eur->

13  
14 [lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:394:0010:0018:en:PDF](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:394:0010:0018:en:PDF).

15  
16 Frau-Meigs, D., Velez, I., & Michel, J. F. (Eds.). (2017). *Public policies in media and information literacy in*  
17 *Europe: cross-country comparisons*. London and New York: Routledge.

18  
19  
20  
21 Frias, D. M., Rodriguez, M. A., & Castañeda, J. A. (2008). Internet vs. travel agencies on pre-visit destination  
22 image formation: An information processing view. *Tourism management*, 29(1), 163-179.

23  
24  
25 Furner, C. P., & Zinko, R. A. (2017). The influence of information overload on the development of trust and  
26 purchase intention based on online product reviews in a mobile vs. web environment: an empirical investigation.  
27 *Electronic Markets*, 27(3), 211-224.

28  
29  
30 Garcia-Retamero, R., Cokely, E. T., & Ghazal, S. (2014). Comparing risk literacy in 31 countries: New results  
31 from the Berlin Numeracy Test. *Manuscript in preparation*.

32  
33  
34 Gilster, P. (1997). *Digital literacy*. New York: Wiley Computer Pub.

35  
36  
37 Goodwin, S., & Etgar, M. (1980). An experimental investigation of comparative advertising: Impact of message  
38 appeal, information load, and utility of product class. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 17(2), 187-202.

39  
40  
41 Hargittai, E. (2005). Survey measures of web-oriented digital literacy. *Social Science Computer Review*, 23(3),  
42 371-379.

43  
44  
45 Hobbs, R., & Jensen, A. (2009). The past, present, and future of media literacy education. *Journal of media*  
46 *literacy education*, 1(1), 1-11.

47  
48  
49 Hult, G.T.M., Ketchen, D.J., Griffith, D.A., Finnegan, C.A., Gonzalez-Padron, T., Harmancioglu, N., Huang, Y.,

50  
51 Talay, M.B., & Cavusgil, S. T. (2008). Data Equivalence in Cross-cultural International Business Research:  
52 Assessment and Guidelines. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39(6), 1027-1044.

53  
54  
55 Iannuzzi, P. (2000). Information literacy competency standards for higher education. *Community & Junior*  
56 *College Libraries*, 9(4), 63-67.

1 Im, H., & Ha, Y. (2011). The effect of perceptual fluency and enduring involvement on situational involvement  
2 in an online apparel shopping context. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International*  
3 *Journal*, 15(3), 345-362.

4  
5 Ivanitskaya, L., O'Boyle, I., & Casey, A. M. (2006). Health information literacy and competencies of  
6 information age students: results from the interactive online Research Readiness Self-Assessment (RRSA).  
7 *Journal of medical Internet research*, 8(2).

8  
9  
10 Jackson, T. W., & Farzaneh, P. (2012). Theory-based model of factors affecting information overload.  
11 *International Journal of Information Management*, 32(6), 523-532.

12  
13  
14  
15 Jacoby, J. (1977). Information load and decision quality: Some contested issues. *Journal of Marketing Research*,  
16 14(4), 569-573.

17  
18  
19 Jacoby, J., Speller, D. E., & Berning, C. K. (1974). Brand choice behavior as a function of information-load:  
20 Replication and extension. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 1, 33-43.

21  
22  
23 Jacoby, J., Speller, D. E., & Kohn, C. A. (1974). Brand choice behavior as a function of information load.  
24 *Journal of Marketing Research*, 11(1), 63-69.

25  
26  
27 Jae, H., DelVecchio, D. S., & Childers, T. L. (2011). Are low-literate and high-literate consumers different?  
28 Applying resource-matching theory to ad processing across literacy levels. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*,  
29 21(3), 312-323.

30  
31  
32  
33 Karson, E.J. and Fisher, R.J. (2005), "Predicting intentions to return to the web site: extending the dual  
34 mediation hypothesis", *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 2-14.

35  
36  
37 Kessels, R. P. (2003). Patients' memory for medical information. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*,  
38 96(5), 219-222.

39  
40  
41 Knobel, M., & Lankshear, C. (2006). Digital literacy and digital literacies: Policy, pedagogy and research  
42 considerations for education. *Nordic Journal of digital literacy*, 1(01), 12-24.

43  
44  
45 Ko, D., Seo, Y., & Jung, S. U. (2015). Examining the effect of cultural congruence, processing fluency, and  
46 uncertainty avoidance in online purchase decisions in the US and Korea. *Marketing Letters*, 26(3), 377-390.

47  
48  
49 Koltay, T. (2011). The media and the literacies: Media literacy, information literacy, digital literacy. *Media*,  
50 *Culture & Society*, 33(2), 211-221.

51  
52  
53 Lee, B. K., & Lee, W. N. (2004). The effect of information overload on consumer choice quality in an online  
54 environment. *Psychology & Marketing*, 21(3), 159-183.

55  
56  
57 Liao, C., Palvia, P., & Lin, H. N. (2010). Stage antecedents of consumer online buying behavior. *Electronic*  
58 *Markets*, 20(1), 53-65.

1 Loh, K. K., & Kanai, R. (2016). How has the Internet reshaped human cognition?. *The Neuroscientist*, 22(5),  
2 506-520.  
3

4 Lurie, N. (2004). Decision making in information-rich environments: the role of information structure. *Journal*  
5 *of Consumer Research*, 30, 473-486.  
6

7 MacKenzie, S. B., & Lutz, R. J. (1989). An empirical examination of the structural antecedents of attitude  
8 toward the ad in an advertising pretesting context. *Journal of marketing*, 53(2), 48-65.  
9

10 MacKenzie, S. B., Lutz, R. J., & Belch, G. E. (1986). The role of attitude toward the ad as a mediator of  
11 advertising effectiveness: A test of competing explanations. *Journal of marketing research*, 23(2), 130-143.  
12

13 Madon, S. (2000). The Internet and socio-economic development: exploring the interaction. *Information*  
14 *technology & people*, 13(2), 85-101.  
15

16 Malhotra, N. K. (1982). Information load and consumer decision making. *Journal of consumer research*, 8(4),  
17 419-430.  
18

19 Miller, G. A. (1994). The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing  
20 information. *Psychological Review*, 101(2), 343-352.  
21

22 Muehling, D. D. (1987). An investigation of factors underlying attitude-toward-advertising-in-general. *Journal*  
23 *of Advertising*, 16(1), 32-40.  
24

25 Nelson, D.L., Reed, V.S. & McEvoy, C.L. (1977). Encoding strategy and sensory and semantic interference.  
26 *Memory & cognition*, 5(4), 462-467.  
27

28 OECD (2015). PISA results in focus. Retrieved February 20, 2019 from [http://www.oecd.org/pisa/pisa-2015-  
29 results-in-focus.pdf](http://www.oecd.org/pisa/pisa-2015-results-in-focus.pdf)  
30

31 Olson, J.C. (1980) Encoding processes: levels of processing and existing knowledge structures. *Advances in*  
32 *Consumer Research*, 7 (1), 154-160.  
33

34 Payne, J. W. (1982). Contingent decision behavior. *Psychological Bulletin*, 92( 2), 382- 402.  
35

36 Payne, J. W., Bettman, J. R., & Johnson, E. J. (1993) *The Adaptive Decision Maker*. Cambridge University  
37 Press, New York  
38

39 Petty, R. E., Cacioppo, J. T., & Schumann, D. (1983). Central and peripheral routes to advertising effectiveness:  
40 The moderating role of involvement. *Journal of consumer research*, 10(2), 135-146.  
41

42 Prensky, M. (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants part 1. *On the horizon*, 9(5), 1-6.  
43

44 Rodríguez-Molina, M. A., Frías-Jamilena, D. M., & Castañeda-García, J. A. (2015). The contribution of website  
45 design to the generation of tourist destination image: The moderating effect of involvement. *Tourism*  
46 *Management*, 47, 303-317.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Roetzel, P. G. (2018). Information overload in the information age: a review of the literature from business administration, business psychology, and related disciplines with a bibliometric approach and framework development. *Business Research*, 1-44.
- Scheibehenne, B., Greifeneder, R., & Todd, P. M. (2010). Can there ever be too many options? A meta-analytic review of choice overload. *Journal of consumer research*, 37(3), 409-425.
- Schwartz, B. (2004). *The paradox of choice: Why more is less*. New York, NY, US: Harper Collins Publishers.
- Sicilia, M., & Ruiz, S. (2010). The effects of the amount of information on cognitive responses in online purchasing tasks. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 9(2), 183-191.
- Simon, H.A. (1956). Rational choice and the structure of the environment. *Psychological Review*, 63(2), 129.
- Simon, H. A. (1972). Theories of bounded rationality. *Decision and organization*, 1(1), 161-176.
- Singh, N., Zhao, H., & Hu, X. (2005). Cultural Adaptation on the Web: A Study of American Companies'. *Advanced Topics in Global Information Management*, 203.
- Singh, S. N., & Dalal, N. P. (1999). Web home pages as advertisements. *Communications of the ACM*, 42(8), 91-91.
- Sthapit, E. (2018). The more the merrier: Souvenir shopping, the absence of choice overload and preferred attributes. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 26, 126–134.
- Sweller, J. (1994). Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty, and instructional design. *Learning and instruction*, 4(4), 295-312.
- Thai, N.T. & Yuksel, U. (2017). Choice overload in holiday destination choices. *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 11(1), 53–66.
- UK Office for National Statistics (2017). Internet users. Retrieved February 20, 2019 from <https://www.ons.gov.uk/businessindustryandtrade/itandinternetindustry/datasets/internetusers>.
- Van Dijk, J. A. (2006). Digital divide research, achievements and shortcomings. *Poetics*, 34(4), 221-235.
- Voorveld, H. A., Neijens, P. C., & Smit, E. G. (2009). Consumers' responses to brand websites: An interdisciplinary review. *Internet Research*, 19(5), 535-565.
- Wan, Y., Menon, S. & Ramaprasad, A. (2009). The Paradoxical Nature of Electronic Decision Aids on Comparison-Shopping: The Experiments and Analysis. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 4(3), 80-96.
- Wang, K. C., Chou, S. H., Su, C. J., & Tsai, H. Y. (2007). More information, stronger effectiveness? Different group package tour advertising components on web page. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(4), 382-387.

1 Warschauer, M. (2009). Digital literacy studies: Progress and prospects. In Prinsloo, M., & M. Baynham (Eds.),  
2 *The future of literacy studies*. Palgrave Macmillan, London. 123-140  
3


4 Webber, S., & Johnston, B. (2000). Conceptions of information literacy: new perspectives and implications.  
5 *Journal of information science*, 26(6), 381-397.  
6

7 Wickens, C. D. (1991). Processing resources and attention. *Multiple-task performance*, 1991, 3-34.  
8

9 Xiao, B & Benbasat, I. (2007). E-commerce product recommendation Agents: Use, Characteristics, and Impact.  
10 *MIS Quarterly*. 31(1), 137-209.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49



Appendix 1



**Buyuada**


- General information
- Flights
- Hotels
- Cultural activities
- Restaurants

## General Information

### Geography

There are several ways to get to Buyuada. However, locating it on a map is often easier said than done. It would seem that the world's greatest map-makers consider the Mediterranean to be of little interest to humanity – and the fact that this little island is surrounded by other land masses touched by the same ocean is of no help! Trace a route from the southernmost point of Athens, southeast for approximately 1,000km, and you will discover an archipelago that consists of two inhabited islands and two groups of rocky formations.

Your flight takes you to Buyuada airport, which is located at the far Eastern side of the island, and from here, a 30 minute car journey will take you to Funchal. Those arriving by sea will disembark in this beautiful natural port. The nearest train station is Agadir.



### History

When Prince Henry the Mariner called together the most renowned map makers and seafarers from across the Mediterranean at the beginning of the 15th Century, it was with the intention of discovering more of the North African coast. However his ships were ill-equipped, leaving their captains at a disadvantage when embarking on their mission.

In 1419, two young captains, Zarco and Teixeira, went off course somewhere around the African coast and after several days came upon the small island of Buyuada, which they went on to colonise. This was to be the first of many discoveries made by seafarers under Prince Henry's

Sample Fly information

Outward Saturday 10 August					Return Friday 16 August				
From airport of origin >> to Buyuada					From Buyuada >> to airport of origin				
	Departs	Arrives	Flight time	Price		Departs	Arrives	Flight time	Price
	9:55 Origin	11:50 Rome	1h:55min	\$220.30		10:35 Buyuada	11:40 Istanbul	0h:55min	\$119.20
	13:15 Rome	14:45 Buyuada	1h:30min	\$234.44		13:00 Istanbul	16:20 Origin	3h:20min	\$289.10
	Total flight time		4h:50min			Total flight time		5h:45min	
	Total price (not including taxes of \$95.50)			\$454.74		Total price (not including taxes of \$97.20)			\$408.30

**Sample Hotel information****Hotel Paradise 5\*****Address:** Paradise Beach, Plot 18, Buyuada.**Telephone:** 733 723 100**Fax:** 733 723 300**Hotel type:** Beach and business**Guest rating:** ★★☆☆☆

Thanks to its excellent sea-front location, this beach hotel, built in 2002, has spectacular sea views on one side, and mountain views on the other. In total it has 488 rooms of which 422 are twin/double and 66 are junior suites. Located in the main hall are the 24-hour reception, a currency exchange service, a lounge area and a bar. Other facilities include lifts, other bars, buffet-style restaurant (non-smoking), three a la carte restaurants (Italian, international and Japanese), and Internet access (charged separately). Additional features include room service and laundry service, car park and garage. The hotel also offers a Kids Club (for children between 4 and 12 years old), and a play area where tots can play safely.

**Rooms**

Rooms are luxurious and elegant, each with en suite bathroom with hairdryer. All have direct telephone line, Internet connection, mini-bar, fridge, central heating and safety deposit box.

**Entertainment and sports**

The hotel's elegant exterior is equally well cared-for and boasts a large bathing area with two swimming pools and a pool for children, sun loungers, sun shades and a bar. Also available are a sauna, solarium, gymnasium and a professional massage service (the latter charged direct to your room). Alongside the hotel complex is a thalassotherapy spa and pampering suite. Other activities include aerobics, beach-ball and (for a small surcharge) billiards, mini-golf and tennis (there are two courts). Water sports are organised on the beach (charged to your room). To round off the range of services and facilities, the hotel provides a varied programme of activities for guests of all ages, with live shows and music.

**Methods of payment**

Visa, Mastercard and Diners Club.

Accommodation	Low season	Mid-season	High season
Standard double room including breakfast	\$95	\$125	\$136

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Sample Restaurant information

### Art & Curio

JL. Raden Raya, 47, Buyuada.



315 06 46 (24-hour advance booking necessary)

International cuisine is what characterizes this restaurant, based on a blend of creative Mediterranean-style cooking and French technique. Presentation is imaginative and meticulous. Cuisine is based on creative, Mediterranean-style cooking and French technique. The restaurant is open continuously from 11.30am in summer. The rest of the year it is open from 11.30am to 5pm and from 7pm to midnight. The average price per head is \$70.00. The establishment has two spacious lounges and an external terrace. Circular tables help the conversation flow. The décor is discrete and well maintained.

In a recent poll amongst two diners the Art & Curio was awarded a rating of 4 out of 5.

Table 1. Socio-demographics

		Spain	Spain official data <sup>a</sup>	United Kingdom	UK official data <sup>b</sup>
Gender	Male	51.8%	50.16%	43.9%	49.63
	Female	48.2%	49.84%	56.1%	50.37
Age	18-29	23.2%	21.37%	18.2%	25.93%
	30-44	45.7%	41.43%	31.9%	32.88%
	Over 45	31.0%	36.70%	49.8%	41.19%

<sup>a</sup> Source: INE, 2017

<sup>b</sup> Source: UK Office for National Statistics, 2017

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Table 2. Cases per treatment by country

	Low number of alternatives	High number of alternatives	Low number of alternatives	High number of alternatives
Low number of attributes		65		
Higher number of attributes				

1  
2  
3  
  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64

60            74  
                 70  
                 70

73            78  
                 70  
                 70



Table 3. Mean of the volume of information by experimental factors

	ALTERNATIVES			ATTRIBUTES		
	Low number of alternatives	High number of alternatives	ANOVA p-value	Low number of attributes	High number of attributes	ANOVA p-value
Spain	4.90	5.51	0.00	4.85	5.58	0.00
United Kingdom	3,52	3,84	0.05	3.38	3.96	0.00
Overall	4.23	4.64	0.00	4.13	4.73	0.00

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



Table 4. Manipulation check for the information literacy factor (country selection)

	Model A		Model B	
	Pillai's Trace (F)	p-value	Pillai's Trace (F)	p-value
	df1=2; df2=560		df1=2; df2=558	
Intercept	6435.50	.00	1142.56	.00
Info_skills	--	--	5.91	.00
Online_skills	--	--	38.03	.00
Country	16.55	.00	1.13	.32

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64






Table 5. Multivariate Analysis of Variance for website effectiveness

		Value	F	Df1	Df2	p-value	
	Intercept	Pillai's Trace	.96	6570.09	2	554	.00
		Wilks' Lambda	.04	6570.09	2	554	.00
		Hotelling's Trace	23.72	6570.09	2	554	.00
		Roy's Largest Root	23.72	6570.09	2	554	.00
	Alternatives	Pillai's Trace	.03	7.47	2	554	.00
	(ALT)	Wilks' Lambda	.97	7.47	2	554	.00
		Hotelling's Trace	.03	7.47	2	554	.00
		Roy's Largest Root	.03	7.47	2	554	.00
	Attributes	Pillai's Trace	.02	4.45	2	554	.01
	(ATT)	Wilks' Lambda	.98	4.45	2	554	.01
		Hotelling's Trace	.02	4.45	2	554	.01
		Roy's Largest Root	.02	4.45	2	554	.01
	Digital_literacy	Pillai's Trace	.06	18.16	2	554	.00
		Wilks' Lambda	.94	18.16	2	554	.00
		Hotelling's Trace	.07	18.16	2	554	.00
		Roy's Largest Root	.07	18.16	2	554	.00
	Alternatives *	Pillai's Trace	.00	.08	2	554	.93
	Attributes	Wilks' Lambda	1.00	.08	2	554	.93
		Hotelling's Trace	.00	.08	2	554	.93
		Roy's Largest Root	.00	.08	2	554	.93
	Alternatives*	Pillai's Trace	.01	1.69	2	554	.18
	Digital_literacy	Wilks' Lambda	.99	1.69	2	554	.18
		Hotelling's Trace	.01	1.69	2	554	.18
		Roy's Largest Root	.01	1.69	2	554	.18
	Attributes*	Pillai's Trace	.00	.64	2	554	.53
	Digital_literacy	Wilks' Lambda	1.00	.64	2	554	.53
		Hotelling's Trace	.00	.64	2	554	.53
		Roy's Largest Root	.00	.64	2	554	.53
	Alternatives *	Pillai's Trace	.02	4.78	2	554	.01
	Attributes *	Wilks' Lambda	.98	4.78	2	554	.01
	Digital_literacy	Hotelling's Trace	.02	4.78	2	554	.01
		Roy's Largest Root	.02	4.78	2	554	.01


Figure 1.  
Individuals with basic or above basic digital skills.



Source: European Commission, 2014

Figure 2.


The effect of information load on attitude toward the website for Spanish tourists.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Figure 3.


The effect of information load on attitude toward the destination for Spanish tourists.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Figure 4.

The effect of information load on attitude towards the website for British tourists.




1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



Figure 5.

The effect of information load on attitude towards the destination for British tourists.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65