Prominence of Pairing in Inclusive $(p,2p)$ and $(p,pn)$ Cross Sections from Neutron-Rich Nuclei
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Fifty-five inclusive single nucleon-removal cross sections from medium mass neutron-rich nuclei impinging on a hydrogen target at ~250 MeV/nucleon are measured at the RIKEN Radioactive Isotope Beam Factory. Systematically higher cross sections are found for proton removal from nuclei with an even number of protons as compared to odd-proton number projectiles for a given neutron separation energy. Neutron removal cross sections display no even-odd splitting, contrary to nuclear cascade model
Pairing correlations, which lower the energy of an atomic nucleus by coupling nucleons into spin-zero pairs, play a prominent role in nuclear structure [1,2]. They are responsible, for example, for the odd-even mass and nucleon separation energy staggering along isotopic chains and the reduced level density in the low-energy spectra of even-even nuclei. In the case of even-even neutron-rich nuclei, where the separation energy is very low, the ground state is often the only bound state. In the present Letter, we evidence that pairing correlations significantly drive the systematics of inclusive one-nucleon hydrogen-induced knockout cross sections for neutron-rich nuclei.

Nucleon-removal cross sections result from the interplay between nuclear structure and the reaction mechanism. In particular, nucleon-removal reactions at intermediate energies are used to evidence new structure effects far from stability, such as changes in the nuclear mass surface [3] or neutron skins [4]. Observed odd-even staggering in fragmentation cross sections has been understood as originating from the low particle separation energy and level density of the daughter nucleus [5–7]. One-nucleon knockout reactions are a tool of choice for spectroscopic studies, and exclusive cross sections between individual excited states may characterize the overlap between the initial and final wave functions [8,9]. Despite the pervasiveness of these methods, the relevant quantities that drive single nucleon-removal cross sections are still actively studied [10–15]. Here, we provide 55 new inclusive single nucleon-removal cross sections from medium-mass neutron-rich nuclei. The dataset is remarkable due to its size, the range of masses covered, and the low neutron separation energy ($S_n$) of produced nuclei from 3 to 8 MeV.

The measurements were performed at the Radioactive Isotope Beam Factory operated by the RIKEN Nishina Center for Accelerator-Based Science and the Center for Nuclear Study of the University of Tokyo. The data were collected in six different spectrometer settings over two experimental campaigns, comprising settings 1–3 and 4–6, respectively. Figure 1 shows the secondary beams exploited for this analysis, which extend over a region heretofore unexplored by single nucleon-removal inclusive cross section studies. A $^{238}$U primary beam accelerated to 345 MeV/nucleon impinged upon a 3-mm-thick $^9$Be production target, creating a cocktail of radioactive isotopes through in-flight fission at the entrance of the BigRIPS spectrometer [16]. The mean primary beam intensity was 12 pnA for settings 1–3 and 30 pnA for settings 4–6. Beam tracking and magnetic rigidity ($B\rho$) were provided by parallel-plate avalanche counters (PPACs) at each focal plane [17], energy loss was measured by ionization chambers [18], and plastic scintillators provided time-of-flight information. The nuclides of interest were selected via the $B\rho$-$\Delta E$-$B\rho$ method and identified via the $B\rho$-$\Delta E$-TOF method in the BigRIPS spectrometer [16]. The radioactive fragments then passed through a 38-mm-diameter cryogenic liquid hydrogen target [19] with 110 $\mu$m entrance and 150 $\mu$m exit Mylar windows located at the object focal point of the downstream ZeroDegree spectrometer [20]. The target length was 102(1) mm for settings 1–3 and 99(1) mm for settings 4–6. The energy at the entrance of the target was $\sim$250 MeV/nucleon. A cut commensurate with the target diameter was applied to the beam spot image at the entrance of the liquid hydrogen target, as reconstructed with the PPAC detectors.

Daughter nuclei were created through one nucleon removal in the target, with an energy loss ranging from 79 to 110 MeV/nucleon. The daughter nuclei were identified via the TOF-$B\rho$-$\Delta E$ method in the ZeroDegree spectrometer, which was operated in a large acceptance achromatic mode with a momentum acceptance of $\pm$3%. Details about the experimental campaigns can be found in [21–27].

Inclusive cross sections were determined based on events that triggered the beam detector according to

$$\sigma_{inc} = \frac{N_d}{N_p} \frac{1}{T \eta} (1 - \gamma).$$

where $N_d/N_p$ is the ratio of daughter to parent nuclei for a given channel, $T$ is a transmission factor explained below, $\eta$ is the density of the liquid hydrogen target in atoms per square centimeter, and $\gamma$ is the percentage contribution of daughter nuclides from the empty target and beam line elements. $\gamma$ was measured from high statistics channels in empty target runs to be $12(2)$% for $(p, pn)$ in settings 1–3,
for \( A/q = \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{charge}} \) ratio. The nuclides are assumed to be fully target. \( Z \) distribution and the larger uncertainties on the material budget upstream before the target, and the \( \text{Nd}/\text{Np} \) poorer statistics. As an example of the method to extract larger contribution in settings 1, Fig. 2(b) shows the ratio between the \( ^{96}\text{Kr} \) incident in the ZeroDegree spectrometer for examples, \( \text{Nd}/\text{Np} \) and modified INCL (red triangles) calculations. Even-odd splitting in the \( Z \)-dependence of predictions (blue squares). Even(odd) proton number projectiles are shown as filled symbols. Odd-\( Z \) projectiles are shown as open symbols, odd-\( Z \) projectiles are shown as filled symbols. (a) Inclusive \((p,2p)\) cross sections measured in this work (black circles) compared with intranuclear cascade (INCL) predictions (blue squares). Even-\( Z \) projectiles are shown as open symbols, odd-\( Z \) projectiles are shown as filled symbols. (b) Odd-even splitting in the \((p,2p)\) data compared with INCL (blue squares) and modified INCL (red triangles) calculations. Regressions shown with standard residual uncertainty bands. See text for details.

The target density was calculated via temperature and pressure probes on the cryogenic target. The density was 70.97(3) \( \text{kg/m}^3 \) for settings 1–3 and 73.22(8) \( \text{kg/m}^3 \) for settings 4–6, leading to atomic densities of the target of 4.32(4) and 4.33(4) \( 10^{23} \) atoms/cm\(^2\), respectively. These values were consistent with the measured energy losses of ions through the target.

Tables of the measured inclusive cross sections are provided in the Supplemental Material [56]. The uncertainties are dominated by statistics, whereas the systematic uncertainty on the particle-identification cuts ranges from 0.3 to 10\%, depending on the separation achieved in the ZeroDegree particle identification spectrum. Isomers were present in the beam, which were measured by the EUroball-RIKEN Cluster Array spectrometer [58]. Isomeric contamination was measured for 10 projectiles (\(^{60}\text{Fe}, ^{70}\text{Ni}, ^{78}\text{Zn}, ^{94,95}\text{Br}, ^{95}\text{Kr}, ^{96,98}\text{Rb}, \) and \(^{100}\text{Sr} \)) and ranged from 2 to 52\% for \(^{108}\text{Sr} \) and \(^{95}\text{Kr} \), respectively. This contamination was included as an uncertainty on the number of projectiles in \( N_{d}/N_{p} = R \), and it was added in quadrature to the uncertainty from the fitting procedure according to \((\delta_{\text{fit}}/R)^2 + (\delta_{\text{th}} + R)^2 \), where \( \delta_{\text{th}} \) is the fitting uncertainty and \( \delta_{\text{fit}} \) is the fractional isomeric contamination.

The measured single proton removal cross sections are shown in Fig. 3(a). Even(odd) proton number projectiles

\[
\begin{align*}
N_{d}/N_{p} & = \frac{N_{\text{d}}}{N_{\text{p}}} \\
N_{\text{d}} & = \text{number of detected events} \\
N_{\text{p}} & = \text{number of incident particles}
\end{align*}
\]

8 (2\%) for \((p,pn)\) in settings 4–6, 12 (4\%) for \((p,pn)\) in settings 1–3, and 8(8)\% for \((p,2p)\) in settings 4–6. The larger contribution in settings 1–3 was due to a difference in the material budget upstream before the target, and the larger uncertainties on the \((p,2p)\) contribution were due to poorer statistics. As an example of the method to extract \( N_{d}/N_{p} \), Fig. 2(a) shows the nuclides transmitted through the ZeroDegree spectrometer for the \(^{96}\text{Kr} \) incident on the hydrogen target. The daughter nucleus is selected from this spectrum for the reaction of interest, and the ZeroDegree spectrometer acceptance effects are corrected by examining the part of the incident distribution that yields the daughter. Figure 2(b) shows the ratio between the \(^{96}\text{Kr}(p, pn)^{99}\text{Kr} \) distribution and the \(^{96}\text{Kr} \) incident distribution in the BigRIPS dispersive focal plane. The flat region, fit to calculate \( N_{d}/N_{p} \), corresponds to daughter nuclei transmitted through the ZeroDegree spectrometer, whereas the sloped regions correspond to \( BP \) trajectories cut by the spectrometer. \( N_{d}/N_{p} \) ratios range from 0.00036 to 0.017 for the channels presented in this analysis, with uncertainties ranging from \( \leq 1 \) to 50\% according to the statistics.

The transmission factor accounts for losses from beam line elements and reactions in the thick hydrogen target. It was determined from direct beam runs with both spectrometers magnetically centered on the same nucleus. The same fit method illustrated in Fig. 2(b) was used to correct the transmission for acceptance. The weighted average of both parent and daughter transmissions was utilized if possible; otherwise, the available transmission channel was taken. Transmissions ranged from 40 to 68\%, depending on the \( BP \) relative to the central trajectory, with uncertainties ranging from \( \leq 1 \) to 50\%. For the \(^{96}\text{Kr}(p, pn)^{99}\text{Kr} \) example, the transmission was 58(5)\%, which was taken from the statistically weighted average of the parent and daughter transmissions. For empty target runs, the mean transmission through the beam line was 84\%.

FIG. 2. (a) Particle identification plot of reaction products detected in the ZeroDegree spectrometer for \(^{96}\text{Kr} \) incident on target. \( Z \) is the proton number of the nucleus, whereas \( A/q \) is the mass to charge ratio. The nuclides are assumed to be fully stripped, although charge states are visible for \( Z = 36 \) beyond \( A/q = 2.75 \). (b) Ratio of BigRIPS dispersive focal distributions for \(^{96}\text{Kr}(p, pn)^{99}\text{Kr} \) including the fit used to extract the daughter/parent ratio \( \text{Nd}/\text{Np} \). See text for details.
are shown as open(filled) markers. The \((p,2p)\) cross sections range between 3 and 12 mb, and their systematics manifest two prominent features. The first is a decreasing cross section as \(S_n\) decreases, i.e., moving towards the neutron drip line, which is consistent with what was observed, for example, in [14,46]. The second is an odd-even effect wherein even-\(Z\) (proton number) projectiles have a cross section consistently higher than the odd-\(Z\) projectiles for the same \(S_n\) of the daughter nucleus.

Linear regressions of \((p,2p)\) data as a function of \(-S_n\) of the daughter nucleus were performed for two hypotheses: (1) an overall linear trend, and (2) separate linear trends for even and odd \(Z\) projectiles. These hypotheses were tested by extracting the Akaike information criterion (AIC) for these models, which is a modified \(\chi^2\) that penalizes model parameters [59]. The resulting AICs are 235 and 114 for the two respective cases, showing that the separate linear trends for the odd and even \(Z\) projectiles are the statistically preferred description of our data. The regressions for case 2 with 68% confidence limits and their associated reduced \(\chi^2\) values are shown with the data in Fig. 3(a). The odd-even splitting (OES) may be further quantified by OES\(_{p2p} = \left[ -1 \right]^Z \left( \sigma_{\text{even}}(S_n) - \sigma_{\text{odd}}(S_n) \right) \), or vice versa for odd projectiles, where \(\sigma\) indicates the measured cross section and \(Z\) indicates the regression. OES\(_{p2p}\) is shown in Fig. 3(b), where the uncertainties include the one-sigma experimental error for the measured even(odd)-\(Z\) channel and the one-sigma confidence limit from the linear fit of odd(even) \(Z\) at the same daughter \(S_n\) added in quadrature. A zeroth order regression yields a mean OES\(_{p2p}\) of 2.6(3) mb, and thus an odd-even splitting that is consistently larger than zero across the range of \(S_n\) values in the data. The measured OES\(_{p2p}\) may also be well described by a first order polynomial that decreases with \(-S_n\), which is shown as the dashed line in Fig. 3(b). However, as the reduced \(\chi^2\) for both zeroth and first order fits are below one, the data do not permit us to reliably confirm such a tendency.

Both the OES\(_{p2p}\) and the linear decreasing trend of the cross sections can be related to the strength distribution below \(S_n\) in the daughter nuclei. The latter trend may be understood as decreasing \(S_n\), moving towards more neutron-rich nuclei, leads to a reduced strength to \((p,2p)\)-populated bound states in the daughter nucleus. As there are fewer states to populate during the \((p,2p)\) reaction, the cross section decreases correspondingly with \(S_n\) of the daughter. The odd-even effect may be understood by examining the finer features of the bound state spectrum. In even-\(Z\) daughter nuclei (resulting from proton removal from an odd-\(Z\) projectile), the pairing interaction leads to a reduced level density, which is visible already in the lowest energy part of the spectrum as a gap between the ground state and the first excited state. This gap may be empirically expressed as the difference of separation energies, \(\Delta_p = \left( -1 \right)^Z [ S_p(Z+1,N) - S_p(Z,N) ] \) [2]. When separation energies are low, this effect becomes prominent because \(\Delta_p\) represents a significant fraction of \(S_n\) (~40% for the even-\(Z\) daughter nuclides considered here). The above gap is quantitatively valid for spherical nuclei, but it may be distorted in exotic nuclei by correlations such as deformation; see, for example, [60]. Nevertheless, that even-\(Z\) nuclei have a lower level density for proton-driven states than odd-\(Z\) nuclei remains true [61]. As a quantitative illustration of a specific case, we consider here the strength distribution in the neighboring \(^{58}\)Co and \(^{58}\)Fe stable nuclei after one proton transfer \((d,^3He)\) as published in [62,63]. The ratio of their respective integrated spectroscopic strengths up to 1 MeV is 0.3, with more strength at low energy for \(^{58}\)Co than for \(^{58}\)Fe. This ratio reaches 0.5 when integrated up to 4 MeV, and it reaches 0.7 when integrated up to 6 MeV. We thus attribute the reduction in the cross section for odd-\(Z\) projectiles compared to even-\(Z\) projectiles to a reduction in the number of bound states having a significant proton-hole nature in the even-\(Z\) daughter nuclei. This reduction is thought to stem largely from the impact of pairing on the low-lying level densities. The odd-even effect for these inclusive \((p,2p)\) cross sections in neutron-rich nuclei is evident here for the first time.

Although the OES\(_{p2p}\) is consistent with a constant value over the range of explored \(S_n\), our data do not exclude a reduction with \(-S_n\). If confirmed, such a dependence could originate in a reduction of pairing with increasing neutron excess, as suggested by mass measurements in nuclei near stability [64] and predicted, for example, by [65,66].

The neutron removal cross sections are shown in Fig. 4(a) as a function of projectile mass. The \((p,\text{pn})\) cross sections do not manifest any obvious dependencies on \(S_n\) of the daughter nucleus as observed for the proton removal cross sections, nor \(A, N\), or \(Z\). The typical measured cross sections of ~50 mb are consistent with published values from light C, N, and O [67,68], and from \(\text{Sn}\) isotopes [15]. Although the neutron removal probability is expected to increase with \(N\) along an isotopic chain, \(S_n\) decreases with \(A\) reducing the number of available bound states in the daughter. We note that no obvious shell effects are visible, although the \(N = 50\) shell closure is traversed in this dataset at \(A = 80\) \(^{80}\)Zn, suggesting that, in the considered nuclei, the \(S_n\) is sufficiently high so that shell effects are significantly integrated out in the inclusive cross sections. This may not always be the case, as observed recently in [55], where the neutron removal cross section from \(^{134}\)Sn was found to be half of that from \(^{135}\)Sn, which was attributed to a 5 MeV difference in \(S_n\) of the daughter nuclei.

These data show no odd-even splitting of the cross sections along isotopic chains, as quantified in Fig. 4(b), which shows OES\(_{\text{ppn}} = (-1)^N (\sigma_N - \sigma_{N+1})\) as a function of projectile mass. The measured OES\(_{\text{ppn}}\) is fit with a zeroth order regression, which yields a mean OES\(_{\text{ppn}}\) of
describes hadron-nucleus reactions as a latest version of the Liège intranuclear cascade model semimicroscopic models recently used in the literature to strained by Hartree-Fock well, with proton and neutron radial distributions connected by lines. (b) Odd-even splitting in the \((p, pn)\) data compared with INCL (blue squares) and modified INCL (red triangles) calculations. Regressions are shown with standard residual uncertainty bands. See text for details.

FIG. 4. (a) Inclusive \((p, pn)\) cross sections measured in this work (black circles) compared with INCL predictions (blue squares). Even-\(N\) projectiles are shown as open symbols, odd-\(N\) projectiles are shown as filled symbols. Adjacent isotopes are connected by lines. (b) Odd-even splitting in the \((p, pn)\) data compared with INCL (blue squares) and modified INCL (red triangles) calculations. Regressions are shown with standard residual uncertainty bands. See text for details.

0(2) mb. This trend is contrary to fragmentation data [5] and predictions from semimicroscopic models (see below). Intuitively, this can be interpreted by the same arguments as in the above discussion of proton removal: the reduced level density in even-\(N\) daughter nuclei is compensated by a higher \(S_p\) in those same daughters, meaning the total strength to \((p, pn)\)-populated bound states does not change appreciably from neutron-even to neutron-odd daughter nuclei. These combined effects of separation energy and level density yield the lack of OES in the \((p, pn)\) data.

To test our interpretation, the results were compared with semimicroscopic models recently used in the literature to describe inclusive nucleon-removal cross sections. The latest version of the Liège intranuclear cascade model (INCL) [13,69] describes hadron-nucleus reactions as a series of quasiclassical binary collisions in a static potential well, with proton and neutron radial distributions constrained by Hartree-Fock–Bogoliubov (HFB) calculations [70] using the SLy5 interaction [71]. After a certain time scale, the collisions are stopped and the excitation energy of the fragment is calculated based on the kinetic energy of remaining nucleons relative to the ground state of the remnant [72]. The excitation energy is evaporated via \(\gamma\) and particle emission to produce the daughter nucleus [73]. The excitation energy distribution after the fragmentation includes neither structure nor pairing effects, whereas experimental separation energies from the atomic mass evaluation [74] are considered in the evaporation phase. INCL predictions for our measurements are shown in blue in Figs. 3(a) and 4(a), following the same odd-even marker convention as for the data. A slight overestimation is found for proton removal; although, qualitatively, the slope is reproduced. Good average agreement is found for neutron removal, which is consistent with the latest results from [69]. The INCL OES is shown for \((p, 2p)\) and \((p, pn)\) in Figs. 3(b) and 4(b), respectively. INCL fails to reproduce the OES in the \((p, 2p)\) data, where a zeroth order regression yields an \(\text{OES}_{2p}\) of \(-1\) mb with a residual standard error (RSE) of 2 mb, as expected due to the lack of a realistic excitation energy spectrum. However, a strong OES is present in the \((p, pn)\) calculations that is not seen in the data, where INCL shows an \(\text{OES}_{pn}\) of \(-18\) mb with a RSE of 6 mb. This effect is attributed to the strong effect of pairing on the neutron separation energies, which are included in INCL and lead to higher flux to even-\(N\) daughters, which in reality are compensated by the level density effect as described above, with the latter being neglected in the calculations.

To mimic the effect of pairing on inclusive cross sections, a phenomenological correction was made to the INCL excitation energy for odd-Z(\(N\)) projectiles for proton(neutron) removal, which was equal to the difference between daughter and projectile separation energies, \(E^\text{in} = E_{\text{INCL}} + (S_{\text{daughter}} - S_{\text{proj}})\), where \(S\) is the proton(neutron) separation energy for proton(neutron) removal. This modification shifts the strength to higher excitation energy, reducing flux to the daughter nucleus when the projectile is odd and mimicking the effect of pairing correlations on the cross section. The OES resulting from the modified INCL calculations (INCL-mod) is shown in Figs. 3(b) and 4(b). The modifications generate an \(\text{OES}_{2p}\) of 5 mb with a RSE of 2 mb, showing a clear splitting as in the data, although slightly exaggerated. The new predictions reduce the \(\text{OES}_{2p}\) to \(-1\) mb with a RSE of 4 mb, further supporting our understanding of the origin of these effects. Quantitatively, the residual between the data and INCL predictions for proton removal is 4(2) mb improving to 2(1) mb for INCL-mod. The neutron removal data residuals are 9(7) mb for both INCL and INCL-mod when compared to our dataset.

The model dependence of these observed trends was tested by comparing the data with fragmentation-evaporation (FE) calculations [4,8,75,76]. In the FE model, collisions occur between nucleons within a sum of cylindrical regions created by the overlapping projectile and target volumes, leaving the fragment with an excitation energy that is released in a second step by evaporation. The excitation energy used for evaporation is determined by the particle-hole energy of the fragment, with single particle densities obtained from HFB calculations with the SLy5.
interaction [71]. The global decreasing trend of \( (p, 2p) \) cross sections with \(-S_\pi\) and a lack of \( \text{OES}_{p_2p} \), as well as a pronounced \( \text{OES}_{pp} \) along isotopic chains, are present in FE calculations as in INCL. FE predictions are given in the Supplemental Material [56].

In summary, we have measured 55 inclusive single nucleon-removal cross sections from neutron-rich medium-mass nuclei impinging on a proton target at energies of \( \sim 250 \text{ MeV/nucleon} \). A decreasing trend with \(-S_\pi\) is seen for proton removal, which is consistent with previous works; and a systematic enhancement of the \( (p, 2p) \) cross section from even-Z projectiles relative to odd-Z projectiles is revealed here for the first time. Meanwhile, no significant enhancement of the neutron removal cross sections is found with added neutron numbers, and no odd-even splitting is seen along isotopic chains, which is contrary to cascade-evaporation model predictions. These general features are understood by simple considerations of the bound state spectrum of the daughter nuclei, which are largely impacted by pairing effects. Inclusive one-nucleon-removal cross sections can probe the nuclear structure at the neutron drip line for nuclei not reachable by spectroscopy. In particular, it is expected from this work that the odd-even splitting in \( (p, 2p) \) inclusive cross sections may be quenched for very neutron-rich nuclei if pairing correlations decrease close to the drip line.
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