

1 **A Text-Mining-based Review of Articles published in ASCE Journal of Professional**  
2 **Issues in Engineering Education and Practice**

3 Wei Chen<sup>1</sup>, Yidong Xu<sup>2</sup>, Ruoyu Jin<sup>3</sup>, Dariusz Wanatowski<sup>4</sup> MASCE

4 **Abstract**

5 This study extends the existing reviews targeting on *Journal of Professional Issues in*  
6 *Engineering Education and Practice* (JPIEEP) by incorporating the text-mining review  
7 methodology. A total of 1,810 papers published in JPIEEP since 1982 were selected as the  
8 literature sample. Dividing the whole sample into four sub-samples according to publication  
9 time spans, this review indicates that literature published before 2000, especially articles from  
10 the 1982-1991 period emphasized more civil engineering related practical and practical issues  
11 (e.g., legislation). Since early 2000s, literature from JPIEEP has been focusing more on  
12 engineering education-based research, such as teaching methods and undergraduate education.  
13 Specifically, more recent studies show emerging topics of the education and practical  
14 subjects (i.e., BIM and sustainability), pedagogical approach (i.e., problem-based learning,  
15 active learning, simulation, teamwork, and distance education), and diversity issues.  
16 Accordingly, future research directions are proposed, such as integration of emerging  
17 pedagogical methods in the emerging subjects (e.g., BIM). This study contributes to review  
18 of engineering education and practice by demonstrating that the text-mining approach could  
19 be reproduced to assist other review-based studies. Finally, this Technical Note addresses  
20 questions regarding the latest research topics and proposes directions for future scholarly  
21 work.

---

<sup>1</sup>Senior Engineer, School of Civil Engineering & Architecture, Ningbo Institute of Technology, Zhejiang University, Ningbo, 315100,China. Email: [chenw@nit.zju.edu.cn](mailto:chenw@nit.zju.edu.cn)

<sup>2</sup>Professor, School of Civil Engineering & Architecture, Ningbo Institute of Technology, Zhejiang University, Ningbo, 315100,China. Email: [xyd@nit.zju.edu.cn](mailto:xyd@nit.zju.edu.cn)

<sup>3</sup>Senior Lecturer, School of Environment and Technology, University of Brighton, Cockcroft Building 616, Brighton, BN24GJ, UK. Email: [R.Jin@brighton.ac.uk](mailto:R.Jin@brighton.ac.uk)

<sup>4</sup>Professor, School of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK. LS29JT, Email: [D.Wan@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:D.Wan@leeds.ac.uk)

22 **Keywords:** Literature review; engineering education; professional practice; text-mining

## 23 **Introduction**

24 *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice* (JPIEEP)  
25 publishes both engineering education and professional practice related papers. However, over  
26 the years it has evolved in its scope more towards education (Barry and Roberts, 2016). It has  
27 also been indicated by Barry and Roberts (2016) that there has been more education-based  
28 scholarly research in the field of civil engineering. Continuing from the study of Barry and  
29 Roberts (2016), further work can be performed to specifically identify the mainstream and  
30 latest research topics published in JPIEEP, as well as how these research topics change over  
31 time.

32 Although Wankat (1999, 2004) and Whitin and Sheppard (2004) provided reviews of  
33 several papers published in JPIEEP, there have been limited studies to continue the review in  
34 this journal to provide the latest trend analysis of main research topics. Another drawback of  
35 many existing review-based studies (e.g., Li et al., 2014) is that they have been based on  
36 manual reviews prone to subjectivity and restricted in their lack of reproducibility (Hosseini  
37 et al., 2018). This subjectivity includes identifying keywords in the selected literature sample.  
38 A text-mining-based review method proposed by van Eck and Waltman (2014) could  
39 minimize the subjectivity and also reduce human errors. A text-mining-based review  
40 introduced in this study can also form a part of the systematic review approach recommended  
41 by Borrego et al. (2014) in terms of uncovering patterns, connections, relationships, and  
42 trends across multiple studies. It can also complement the analytic review approach  
43 conducted by Hurwitz et al. (2016) by quantitatively measuring the popularity and impact of  
44 research topics in a given literature sample.

45 The more recent review-based study of Barry and Roberts (2016) provided a  
46 comprehensive review of publications in JPIEEP by offering the prescriptive data such as the

47 citation rates, author affiliations, frequency of keywords, and international collaborations.  
48 Researchers perceive the work of Barry and Roberts (2016) more in the general view, which  
49 was based more on the overall movement of the journal indicated by prescriptive data. For  
50 example, it was concluded that the citation rate of articles published by the journal had  
51 increased, contributing to the increased impact factor of the journal (Barry and Roberts,  
52 2016). This review-based study aims to extend the earlier review work performed by Barry  
53 and Roberts(2016) in a more specific and technical perspective, particularly: (1) this study  
54 targeted technical keywords in the literature sample of JPIEEP by addressing the latest  
55 research topics since 2010. A comparative study of main keywords from papers published in  
56 different periods. These keywords would be more technical and specific, e.g., “problem-  
57 based learning” instead of “engineering education”, or “geotechnical engineering” instead of  
58 the general term “civil engineering”; (2) this study applies a text-mining approach to allow  
59 automatic computation of frequency and citation related measurements for keywords and  
60 documents. Using a visualized and quantitative method, this new review methodology also  
61 enables a more in-depth analysis of main research keywords in JPIEEP; (3) this study further  
62 provides a summary of most influential publications from JPIEEP measured by not only total  
63 citation or frequency, but also normalized citation, which was a quantitative measurement of  
64 a publication or keyword’s influence by correcting the bias that older publications have had  
65 more time to receive citations (van Eck and Waltman, 2017). Overall, this Technical Note  
66 contributes to the body of knowledge in civil engineering education and practice by utilizing  
67 the database of JPIEEP in that: (1) it provides the in-depth analysis of the emerging research  
68 focuses and influential studies; and (2) it serves as a guide for scholars by offering an  
69 overview of the research trend and recommending future research topics. The text-mining-  
70 based review approach used in this study could also be applied to other review-based research.

#### 71 **Text-mining-based review method**

72 The text-mining-based review method adopted in this study incorporated the citation  
73 analysis (e.g., normalized citation). It started with downloading all JPIEEP articles which had  
74 been indexed in *Scopus*, the mainstream database of scientific literature. All types of *Scopus*-  
75 indexed papers from JPIEEP, including Technical Papers, Technical Notes, and Case Studies  
76 digitally available since 1982, were adopted as the literature sample saved in *CVS*-based data  
77 file. Due to the electronic data availability in *Scopus*, papers published before 1982 were not  
78 included for the text-mining-based review. The database of the sample was then uploaded  
79 into *VOSViewer* (van Eck and Waltman, 2010), a text-mining tool to conduct the literature  
80 review. According to van Eck and Waltman (2014), *VOSViewer* fits the purpose of  
81 visualizing larger networks with special text mining features. Consistent to the data  
82 categories adopted in the review conducted by Pietroforte and Stefani (2004), the abstract,  
83 keywords, and article title were saved in *CVS* files for the review. A total of 1,810 papers  
84 were downloaded from *Scopus*, with the first JPIEEP paper indexed in 1982. This whole  
85 literature sample was then divided evenly into four sub-samples, namely the subsample of  
86 papers published from 2010 to the end of 2018, from 2001 to 2009, from 1992 to 2000, and  
87 from 1982 to 1991. Each sub-sample of literature was based on a nine-year period. Although  
88 the sub-sample from 1982 to 1991 covers a ten-year period, only four papers published in  
89 1982 were indexed in *Scopus*, allowing them to be combined with the nine-year span until  
90 1991. The aim of dividing into four sub-samples was to allow the comparative analysis of  
91 research keywords to explore the evolvement of research topics in the past decades. Besides  
92 the keyword analysis, most influential studies published in JPIEEP measured by occurrence  
93 (i.e., frequency) and normalized citation were also identified, enabling a further in-depth  
94 analysis of the research focuses in JPIEEP.

## 95 **Review results**

96 A total of 390, 414, 462, and 544 papers fell into the sub-samples of literature published  
97 in the periods of 1981-1991, 1992-2000, 2002-2009, and 2010-2018 respectively. Following  
98 the text-mining-based analysis in *VOSViewer*, the four sub-samples of literature are  
99 visualized of their frequently studied keywords in Figs.1-4, respectively.

100 <Insert Fig.1 here>

101 <Insert Fig.2 here>

102 <Insert Fig.3 here>

103 <Insert Fig.4 here>

104 It should be noticed that these general keywords such as engineering education and  
105 professional practice are excluded in the text-mining process, because they are expected  
106 scopes for any studies published in JPIEEP. The font and circle size indicate the frequency of  
107 the given keyword being studied in the sub-sample. The closeness between any pair of  
108 keywords can be indicated by their physical distance in the visualized network. Keywords in  
109 both figures are also categorized into clusters defined by different colors, meaning that the  
110 same cluster of keywords have a higher chance of being co-studied. Comparing the keywords  
111 in Figs.1-4,, it could be indicated that the two sub-samples of literature published after 2001  
112 have been focusing more on construction related education and practice, differing from the  
113 1982-2000 period literature which focus more on the general civil engineering education.  
114 Specifically, the 1982-1991 period literature cover more civil engineering education and  
115 practice issues related to teaching, training, practice, project management, and other  
116 professional issues (e.g., social and public aspects). Consistent with the study of Barry and  
117 Roberts (2016) who found that more recent studies published in JPIEEP had been more  
118 related to education-based research, it is also identified in this study that literature published  
119 in JPIEEP has been focusing more on pedagogy-based studies rather than practical issues  
120 especially since early 2000s. Literature published from 1982 until 2009 have more emphasis

121 on legal issues (e.g., litigation, legislation, and dispute). However, the more recent literature  
122 since 2010 has been focusing more on educational techniques and technological evolvement.  
123 Compared to Barry and Roberts (2016), this study provides a more technical view of keyword  
124 evolvement in JPIEEP. Specifically, BIM has been gaining the momentum in the recent  
125 decade by being incorporated in the curriculum. More digital or electronic technologies  
126 involved in engineering education can be seen more recently, in terms of virtual reality,  
127 simulation, and distance learning. Other emerging research topics since 2010 could also be  
128 found, such as education and practice of women, distance education, and teamwork. More  
129 quantitative measurements of main research keywords between these two time periods can be  
130 found in Table 1.

131 <Insert Table 1 here>

132 Keywords listed in Table 1 follow the value of average normalized citation, which is the  
133 measurement to evaluate the impact of the given keyword on the global academic community.  
134 It is calculated by dividing the total number of citation of the given keyword by the average  
135 number of citation published in the same year. The normalization corrects the bias or  
136 misinterpretation that earlier publications have more time to receive citations than more  
137 recent ones (van Eck and Waltman, 2017). The normalized citation shown in Table 2 is  
138 calculated in the consistent approach and conducted in *VOSViewer*. More details of applying  
139 the normalized citation in literature can be found in Jin et al. (2018). Consistent to the  
140 visualized networks in Figs.1-4, the main differences of research focuses among the four time  
141 periods are: BIM has replaced safety and other civil engineering practical issues (e.g., water  
142 supply) to be the most influential keyword during the recent decade; JPIEEP has become  
143 more international by having more published work coming from China; sustainability has  
144 become a focus in engineering education and practice; teaching methods have more involved  
145 problem-based learning, active learning, and simulation; more studies have stressed diversity

146 and minority (e.g., women). Table 2 showcases the most influential studies measured by the  
147 total citation and normalized citation (Norm. citation).

148 <Insert Table 2 here>

149 Table 2 shows results consistent with the keyword analysis in Table 1. For example, BIM  
150 and its relevant IT technologies (e.g., augmented reality) have become mainstream and  
151 influential topics in engineering education and practice. Zou et al. (2019) stressed the need  
152 for optimizing BIM education resources to bridge the gap between academic research and  
153 industry practice. Sustainability and safety have also showed the impacts in engineering  
154 education. Safety, specifically employee health and wellbeing, has been the research focus  
155 throughout all the decades. Earlier studies over a decade ago had emphasized nurturing the  
156 next generation of civil engineering practitioners through specific ways such as industry-  
157 university partnership (Tener, 1996).

### 158 **Summary of observations**

159 This study extends existing review-based research targeting on *Journal of Professional*  
160 *Issues in Engineering Education and Practice* (JPIEEP) by introducing the text-mining-based  
161 review methodology, which could be applied in other reviews in the future. A total of 1,810  
162 documents indexed in *Scopus* were adopted as the literature sample, which was further  
163 divided into four sub-samples based on the year of publication. The text-mining-based review  
164 revealed the information regarding: (1) the evolvement of research keywords in engineering  
165 education and professional practice; and (2) the most influential papers published in JPIEEP.  
166 It was found that compared to earlier studies, research published before 2000 targeted more  
167 on general civil engineering education and practical issues. . Since early 2000s, published  
168 work in JPIEEP has focused more on construction related education, and the education is  
169 more specifically divided into undergraduate and graduate levels, with the former more  
170 highlighted and receiving more attention compared to the latter. More differences are also

171 found among the four publication periods. The published work since 2010 in JPIEEP has  
172 targeted more on specific education and practical themes (i.e., BIM and sustainability),  
173 pedagogical approach (i.e., problem-based learning, active learning, simulation, teamwork,  
174 education & practice integration, distance education), and diversity issues including women  
175 in education have been gaining the momentum. Generally, the earlier published work (i.e.,  
176 1982-1991) emphasizes more on civil engineering professional issues (e.g., social,  
177 professional, and practice). Afterwards, more education-based topics have been studied such  
178 as teaching methods. Following the research topic review adopting the text-mining approach,  
179 the current review aligns with the upcoming update of the focus of JPIEEP related to  
180 education-based research. Future research directions can be recommended in engineering  
181 education and practice, including but not limited to: (1) integration of pedagogical methods  
182 (e.g., problem-based learning) in the emerging subjects (e.g., BIM); (2) investigation of the  
183 diversity issue (e.g., demographic factors) in terms of their effects in engineering education;  
184 and (3) distance learning enhanced by IT, such as Internet-of-things involving BIM. Only  
185 electronically available literature indexed in *Scopus* since 1982 was included for the text-  
186 mining review. Therefore, this study only reflects the change of research topics published in  
187 JPIEEP over time from 1982 to the end of 2018.

## 188 **Acknowledgement**

189 This research was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang China (Grant  
190 No. LY16E020014), Municipal Natural Science Foundation of Ningbo China (Grant No.  
191 2016A610217), and Institutional Laboratory Research Project of Zhejiang Province (Contract  
192 No. YB201828).

## 193 **Data Availability Statement**

194 Data generated or analyzed during the study are available from the corresponding author  
195 by request.



- 197 Barry, B. E., and Roberts, M. W. (2016). "First 60 Years of the Journal of Professional Issues in  
198 Engineering Education and Practice." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 142(4).
- 199 Becerik-Gerber, B., and Kensek, K. (2010). "Building information modeling in architecture,  
200 engineering, and construction: Emerging research directions and trends." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ  
201 Pract*, 136(3), 139-147.
- 202 Becerik-Gerber, B., Ku, K., and Jazizadeh, F. (2012). "BIM-enabled virtual and collaborative  
203 construction engineering and management." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 138(3), 234-245.
- 204 Beder, S. (1999). "Beyond technicalities: Expanding engineering thinking." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ  
205 Pract*, 125(1), 12-18.
- 206 Bordogna, J. (1998). "Tomorrow's civil systems engineer - The master integrator." *J Prof Issues Eng  
207 Educ Pract*, 124(2), 48-50.
- 208 Borrego, M., Foster, M. J., and Froyd, J. E. (2014). "Systematic literature reviews in engineering  
209 education and other developing interdisciplinary fields." *J. Eng. Educ.*, 103(1), 45-76.
- 210 Bowman, B. A., and Farr, J. V. (2000). "Embedding leadership in civil engineering education." *J Prof  
211 Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 126(1), 16-20.
- 212 Chan, E. H. W., Chan, M. W., Scott, D., and Chan, A. T. S. (2002). "Educating the 21st century  
213 construction professionals." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 128(1), 44-51.
- 214 Chau, K. W. (2007). "Incorporation of sustainability concepts into a civil engineering curriculum." *J  
215 Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 133(3), 188-191.
- 216 Chen, Y. C., Chi, H. L., Hung, W. H., and Kang, S. C. (2011). "Use of tangible and augmented reality  
217 models in engineering graphics courses." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 137(4), 267-276.
- 218 Chinowsky, P. S., Brown, H., Szajnman, A., and Realph, A. (2006). "Developing knowledge  
219 landscapes through project-based learning." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 132(2), 118-124.
- 220 Choudhry, R. M., Fang, D., and Ahmed, S. M. (2008). "Safety management in construction: Best  
221 practices in Hong Kong." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 134(1), 20-32.
- 222 Edkins, A. J., and Smyth, H. J. (2006). "Contractual management in PPP projects: Evaluation of legal  
223 versus relational contracting for service delivery." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 132(1), 82-93.
- 224 Hosseini, M. R., I. Martek, E. K. Zavadskas, A. A. Aibinu, M. Arashpour and N. Chileshe (2018).  
225 "Critical evaluation of off-site construction research: A Scientometric analysis." *Automation in  
226 Construction* 87: 235-247.
- 227 Jeffers, A. T., Safferman, A. G., and Safferman, S. I. (2004). "Understanding K-12 engineering  
228 outreach programs." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 130(2), 95-108.
- 229 Jin, R., Gao, S., Cheshmehzangi, A., and Aboagye-Nimo, E. (2018). "A holistic review of off-site  
230 construction literature published between 2008 and 2018." *J. Clean. Prod.*, 202, 1202-1219.
- 231 Johnson, P. A. (1999). "Problem-based, cooperative learning in the engineering classroom." *J Prof  
232 Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 125(1), 8-11.
- 233 Li, Z., Shen G. Q. , and X. Xue (2014). "Critical review of the research on the management of  
234 prefabricated construction." *Habitat International* 43: 240-249.
- 235 Pietroforte, R., and Stefani, T.P. (2004). "ASCE journal of construction engineering and management:  
236 Review of the years 1983-2000." *J. Constr. Eng. Manage.* 130(3), 440-448.
- 237 Russell, J. S., and Stouffer, W. B. (2005). "Survey of the national civil engineering curriculum." *J  
238 Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 131(2), 118-128.
- 239 Sabatini, D. A. (1997). "Teaching and research synergism: The undergraduate research experience." *J  
240 Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 123(3), 98-102.
- 241 Sacks, R., and Barak, R. (2010). "Teaching building information modeling as an integral part of  
242 freshman year civil engineering education." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 136(1), 30-38.
- 243 Steinemann, A. (2003). "Implementing sustainable development through problem-based learning:  
244 Pedagogy and practice." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 129(4), 216-224.
- 245 Tener, R. K. (1996). "Industry-university partnerships for construction engineering education." *J Prof  
246 Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 122(4), 156-162.
- 247 Toole, T. M. (2005). "Increasing engineers' role in construction safety: Opportunities and barriers." *J  
248 Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 131(3), 199-207.

249 van Eck, N. J., Waltman, L. (2010). Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for  
250 bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics* 84(2), 523-538.  
251 van Eck, N.J., Waltman, L. (2014). Visualizing bibliometric networks, In: Ding Y., Rousseau R.,  
252 Wolfram D. (eds) *Measuring Scholarly Impact*. Springer, Cham, 285-320.  
253 van Eck, N. J., and Waltman, L. (2017). "VOSviewer Manual. Manual for VOSviewer version 1.6.6."  
254 Wankat, P. C. (1999). "An analysis of the articles in the Journal of Engineering Education." *J. Eng.*  
255 *Educ.*, 88(1), 37–42.  
256 Wankat, P. C. (2004). "Analysis of the first ten years of the Journal of Engineering Education." *J. Eng.*  
257 *Educ.*, 93(1), 13–21.  
258 Whitin, K., and Sheppard, S. (2004). "Taking stock: An analysis of the publishing record as  
259 represented by the Journal of Engineering Education." *J. Eng. Educ.*, 93(1), 5–12.  
260 Wu, P., and Low, S. P. (2010). "Project management and green buildings: Lessons from the rating  
261 systems." *J Prof Issues Eng Educ Pract*, 136(2), 64-70.  
262 Zou P.X.W., Xu X., Jin R., Painting N., and Li B. (2018). "Investigation of AEC students'  
263 perceptions towards BIM practice-a case study of Swinburne University of Technology." *J. Eng.*  
264 *Educ.*, In Press, DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)EI.1943-5541.0000410.  
265

266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274

Influential keywords occurring during the period of 2010-2018			Influential keywords occurring during the period of 2001-2009			Influential keywords occurring during the period of 1992-2000			Influential keywords occurring during the period of 1982-1991		
Keyword	Occ.	Ave. Norm. Citation <sup>1</sup>	Keyword	Occ.	Ave. Norm. Citation	Keyword	Occ.	Ave. Norm. Citation	Keyword	Occ.	Ave. Norm. Citation
BIM	13	3.87	Safety	6	2.54	Technical Presentations	10	3.13	Water Supply	4	5.75
Service Learning	4	3.58	PM	7	2.45	Communication	9	2.65	Management	13	4.06
China	9	2.39	Risk	6	2.26	Teaching	43	2.27	Construction Industry	20	3.97
Virtual Reality	4	2.27	HK	6	2.19	Strategic Planning	16	2.23	Environment	11	3.78
Case Study	4	1.74	Learning	12	2.10	Management	12	1.99	CE PM	9	3.36
Sustainability	39	1.69	Curricula	44	1.97	Information Dissemination	9	1.95	Research	5	3.21
Curricula	14	1.62	Accreditation	7	1.79	Philosophical Aspects	9	1.95	Technology	13	2.81
Structural Equation Modeling	4	1.62	Professional Development	12	1.77	Computer Aided Instruction	10	1.93	Teaching	19	2.67
Contractors	4	1.61	Survey	5	1.71	Education	8	1.91	Contracts	6	2.50
Multidisciplinary	4	1.41	Structure	6	1.62	Engineering	18	1.85	CE Education	14	2.30
PBL	6	1.41	Training	11	1.61	PM	23	1.82	Social Aspects	13	2.21
Simulation	5	1.27	Litigation	11	1.57	Engineering Research	15	1.76	Ethics	10	1.92
Active Learning	7	1.23	International	6	1.57	Construction	48	1.74	Economics	8	1.86
Safety	5	1.22	Construction	86	1.49	Civil Engineering	144	1.68	Quality Control	4	1.80
Research	8	1.15	Contract	21	1.46	Competition	13	1.68	Civil Engineering	133	1.66
Risk Management	4	1.12	Communication	5	1.42	Personnel Training	26	1.65	Engineers	53	1.58
Collaboration	4	1.09	Sustainability	20	1.37	Professional Aspects	113	1.59	Legislation	14	1.38
Construction	50	1.03	Claim	14	1.36	Public Policy	35	1.57	Training	8	1.35
Teamwork	7	1.01	Teaching	17	1.36	Supervisory	8	1.55	CE Practice	41	1.32

			Methods			Personnel					
Structure	7	1.00	Geotechnical	7	1.34	Sustainability	24	1.52	Information	8	1.08
Teaching	15	0.97	Education- Practice Interchange	7	1.33	Codes (Standards)	16	1.52	Public Works	11	0.91
PM	7	0.94	Undergraduate Study	21	1.30	Software	8	1.50	Hazardous	5	0.60
Design	6	0.89	Contractor	10	1.27	Structural Design	31	1.40	Writing	6	0.59
Innovation	4	0.84	Licensing	5	1.26	Standards	27	1.39	Registration	4	0.58
HK	4	0.81	Delay	6	1.26	Economic And Social Effects	26	1.37	Bridges	4	0.58
Distance Education	5	0.79	IT	9	1.22	Societies And Institutions	55	1.28	Environmental Engineering	5	0.39
Women	6	0.76	Arbitration	6	1.21	Planning	11	1.25	Liability	8	0.34
Transportation	9	0.75	Research	6	1.11	Economics	19	1.21	Computer Aided	4	0.29
			Liability	9	1.09	Laws And Legislation	36	1.19	CE Public Policy	7	0.11
			Software	6	1.06	Environmental Impact	18	1.17	Urban Planning	7	0.11
			Legal	21	1.05	Social Aspects	45	1.16			
			Dispute	25	1.04	Technology	18	1.16			
			Ethics	11	1.00	History	10	1.09			
			Design	5	0.98	Public Works	10	1.08			

<sup>1</sup> The Ave. Norm. Citation (i.e., Average Normalized Citation) or Norm. citation shown in Table 2, represents the normalized number of citations of a keyword or a paper.

276  
277  
278  
279

280 Table 2. A summary of most influential studies published in JPIEEP

Document	Title	Total citation	Norm. citation
Becerik-Gerber, Ku and Jazizadeh (2012)	BIM-enabled virtual and collaborative construction engineering and management	48	6.65
Chen et al. (2011)	Use of tangible and augmented reality models in engineering graphics courses	41	3.96
Becerik-Gerber and Kensek (2010)	Building information modeling in architecture, engineering, and construction: Emerging research directions and trends	72	5.59
Wu and Low (2010)	Project management and green buildings: Lessons from the rating systems	57	4.43
Sacks and Barak (2010)	Teaching building information modeling as an integral part of freshman year civil engineering education	86	6.68
Choudhry et al. (2008)	Safety management in construction: Best practices in Hong Kong	45	6.40
Chau (2007)	Incorporation of sustainability concepts into a civil engineering curriculum	73	7.37
Edkins and Smyth, (2006)	Contractual management in PPP projects: Evaluation of legal versus relational contracting for service delivery	42	4.83
Chinowsky et al. (2006)	Developing knowledge landscapes through project-based learning	52	5.98
Toole (2005)	Increasing engineers' role in construction safety: Opportunities and barriers	56	5.76
Russell and Stouffer (2005)	Survey of the national civil engineering curriculum	50	5.14
Jeffers et al. (2004)	Understanding K-12 engineering outreach programs	137	16.15
Steinemann (2003)	Implementing sustainable development through problem-based learning: Pedagogy and practice	84	12.00
Chan et al. (2002)	Educating the 21st century construction professionals	46	5.98
Bowman and Farr (2000)	Embedding leadership in civil engineering education	46	3.14
Johnson (1999)	Problem-based, cooperative learning in the engineering classroom	82	5.75
Beder (1999)	Beyond technicalities: Expanding engineering thinking	67	4.70
Bordogna (1998)	Tomorrow's civil systems engineer - The master integrator	49	6.45
Sabatini (1997)	Teaching and research synergism: The undergraduate research experience	53	7.08
Tener (1996)	Industry-university partnerships for construction engineering education	46	8.32

281 Note: Articles listed in Table 2 follow the publication year, i.e., starting from the most recent publication.

282