

1 **Modified protein expression in the tectorial membrane of the cochlea reveals roles for**
2 **the striated sheet matrix**

3 G. P. Jones,^{†1} S. J. Elliott,[‡] I. J. Russell,^{†*} and A. N. Lukashkin^{†*}

4 [†]School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, University of Brighton, Brighton, BN2
5 4GJ, UK

6 [‡]Institute of Sound and Vibration Research, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17
7 1BJ, UK

8 ¹Present address: University College London Ear Institute, 332 Grays Inn Road, London,
9 WC1X 8EE, UK

10 *Correspondens: A.Lukashkin@brighton.ac.uk or I.Russell@brighton.ac.uk

11 Short title: Roles for the striated sheet matrix

12 Keywords: cochlear amplifier, cochlear model, cochlear sensory processing, organ of Corti,
13 genetically related deafness

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 **ABSTRACT**

23 The tectorial membrane (TM) of the mammalian cochlea is a complex extracellular matrix
24 which, in response to acoustic stimulation, displaces the hair bundles of outer hair cells
25 (OHCs), thereby initiating sensory transduction and amplification. Here, using TM segments
26 from the basal, high-frequency, region of the cochleae of genetically modified mice
27 (including models of human hereditary deafness) with missing or modified TM proteins, we
28 demonstrate that frequency-dependent stiffening is associated with the striated sheet matrix
29 (SSM). Frequency-dependent stiffening largely disappeared in all three TM mutations studied
30 where the SSM was absent either entirely or at least from the stiffest part of the TM overlying
31 the OHCs. In all three TM mutations, dissipation of energy is decreased at low (< 8 kHz) and
32 increased at high (> 8 kHz) stimulus frequencies. The SSM is composed of polypeptides
33 carrying fixed charges and electrostatic interaction between them may account for frequency-
34 dependent stiffness changes in the material properties of the TM. Through comparison with
35 previous *in vivo* measurements, it is proposed that implementation of frequency-dependent
36 stiffening of the TM in the OHC attachment region, facilitates interaction between tones,
37 backward transmission of energy, and amplification in the cochlea.

38

39

40 INTRODUCTION

41 The detection of sound in the mammalian cochlea is mediated via the organ of Corti (OC); a
42 remarkable integration of extracellular matrices, cytoskeletal architecture, and molecular
43 machinery. Each element of the OC has specific electrical and mechanical properties to
44 facilitate transmission of acoustic energy along the cochlea, decompose complex sounds into
45 individual frequency components, and, through rapid mechano-electrical – electromechanical
46 processes, amplify mechanical movements and convert them into electrical signals across
47 vast ranges of frequencies and levels (1). The stiffness of the basilar membrane (BM) of the
48 OC increases from the apex of the cochlea to its base (2). This gradient of stiffness provides
49 the mechanical basis for cochlear frequency tuning with low frequency vibrations of the BM
50 peaking near the apex of the cochlea and high frequencies peaking near the base (2).

51 Minuscule amounts of energy transmitted by the BM vibrations cause shear displacements
52 between the apical surface of the OC and another extracellular matrix, the tectorial membrane
53 (TM), into which the tips of the stereocilia of the outer hair cells (OHCs) are imbedded (3).
54 The resultant modulation of current flow through the OHC serves as a control signal for the
55 cochlear amplifier (4,5), which amplifies and sharpens the BM vibrations at the frequency-
56 specific place (6).

57 The TM is a viscoelastic structure (7) that decreases in both width and thickness from cochlea
58 apex to base and has longitudinal anisotropy (8-12) that parallels that of the BM (6).

59 Interaction between BM and TM travelling waves has been hypothesised to control the
60 spatial extent and timing of OHC excitation, which affects both gain and frequency tuning in
61 the cochlea (13-16). Timing of the TM and BM travelling waves determines the relative shear
62 motion between the OC and the TM (17,18). Recently, it was found that the mechanical
63 properties of the TM varied with stimulus frequency (19); a property that has yet to be
64 considered in cochlear models. According to this new finding, energy transmission along the
65 various structures in the cochlea, which are submerged in fluid, is optimised, thereby
66 enhancing amplification of signals at the frequency-specific place, (19). The
67 structural/physicochemical basis for the frequency dependence of the TM's mechanical
68 properties is, however, unknown. It is worth noting that the frequency-dependent mechanical
69 properties of living cell (20-22), including the OHCs (23), have been well documented. This
70 frequency dependence is associated with the structurally complex cytoskeleton. In this sense,
71 it is not surprising that the mechanical properties of the TM, which has an intricate, highly
72 organized internal structure, are also frequency dependent.

73 The structural complexity of the mammalian TM (24) has been associated with recent
 74 findings that reveal important roles for the TM in the harnessing and distribution of energy
 75 and frequency tuning in the mammalian cochlea (14,15,25-27). Despite advances in
 76 understanding the physiological importance of the TM, it is not known, as yet, which part of
 77 the TM's intricate structure is responsible for its complex, frequency-dependent material
 78 properties. The most complex structural component of the TM appears to be the core, which
 79 is composed of radial bands of collagen fibres imbedded in and structurally organised by a
 80 striated sheet matrix (SSM); a quasi-crystalline array of glycoproteins (28,29). The SSM is
 81 composed of a number of different proteins, including α -tectorin (Tecta) (25,30,31), β -
 82 tectorin (Tectb) (14,26), otogelin (32), otolin (33), and Ceacam16 (34), which have been
 83 ascribed with organising the longitudinal anisotropy of the TM (9-12,35). In this paper we
 84 describe the outcome of experiments designed to determine the frequency-dependent material
 85 properties of TM segments extracted from three groups of mice with disrupted or missing
 86 SSM. The groups with missing SSM comprise *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+}, lacking expression of α -tectorin
 87 (25) and *Tectb*^{-/-}, lacking expression of β -tectorin (14). In the third group, *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP}
 88 mice, which lack the expression of otoancorin (27,36), although the structure of SSM is
 89 largely unaffected, the SSM is missing from the region overlying the OHCs (27). In humans,
 90 mutations of *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+} and *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} are causes of hereditary deafness (10,37,38). We
 91 used a laser interferometer to measure the longitudinal propagation of radial, shearing,
 92 travelling-waves along the lengths of TM segments isolated from the basal, high-frequency,
 93 turns of the cochleae. The outcomes of these measurements are discussed with respect to
 94 known physicochemical properties of the TM and *in vivo* measurements of the acoustical,
 95 mechanical and electrical responses of the cochlea.

96 MATERIALS AND METHODS

97 Preparation of TM samples

98 Data from the basal cochlear region were collected from *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+}, *Tectb*^{-/-}, and
 99 *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} mice on CBA/Ca backgrounds, between 1 and 6 months of age. Mice were
 100 euthanised by CO₂ and dissections were performed under a light microscope, in a Petri dish
 101 containing artificial endolymph (174 mMol KCl, 2.00 mMol NaCl, 0.0261 mMol CaCl₂,
 102 3.00 mMol D-glucose, 5.00 mMol HEPES, pH=7.3). The inner ear was removed from the
 103 skull and the cochlea was opened with forceps. The TM was detached from the spiral limbus
 104 (if necessary) using a tungsten probe with a tip diameter of <0.1 mm, and cut with a scalpel
 105 blade into segments between 350-1000 μ m long. A segment cut from the basal 3rd of the

106 detached TM, was transferred into the pre-prepared experimental chamber using a glass
 107 tipped pipette and mounted.

108 **Travelling wave excitation and measurements**

109 Experiments were conducted using the method previously described (19) in a quiet room, on
 110 a vibration isolation table, and inside a Faraday cage. The experimental chamber was filled
 111 with artificial endolymph so that the prepared TM was submerged to a depth of at least 4 mm.
 112 Cell-Tak (BD Biosciences) was used to attach a single segment of TM to a vibrating support
 113 (~5x10x<1 mm) attached to a stimulation piezo (Thorlabs AE0203D04), and a mechanically
 114 isolated stationary support (~10x10x<1 mm) (Fig. 1). The stimulation piezo was mounted
 115 rigidly to the microscope slide forming the base of the chamber. A lab built, self-mixing,
 116 homodyne laser-diode interferometer (39) aimed through a viewing window in the front wall
 117 and was used to record the phase and amplitude of travelling wave at multiple points along
 118 the mounted segment of TM. The beam of the laser interferometer was focused onto the
 119 marginal edge of the TM near the vibrating support so that the light entering the chamber was
 120 approximately parallel with the end of the vibrating support. Recording commenced from this
 121 point and the laser beam was stepped along the TM (in 10 or 20 μm steps, typically with 3-5
 122 repetitive measurements for each position) until it came within 100 μm of the stationary
 123 support or a segment of at least 300 μm had been covered for each TM preparation. Radial
 124 sinusoidal stimulation of 2-20 kHz was applied to the TM via the vibrating support in steps of
 125 1 kHz at every longitudinal position. Measurements below 2 kHz and above 20 kHz were not
 126 reliable due to small phase gradients (less than 1/8 of a cycle) at low frequencies and small
 127 amplitude of vibrations, which approached the noise floor of ~0.5-5 nm (measured <300 μm
 128 from the piezo), and, hence, resulted in a low signal-to-noise ratio at high frequencies.
 129 Amplitude data were calibrated to control for variable reflectance at each point along the TM
 130 using a piezo with known displacement, on which the laser diode was mounted.

131 **Calculation of material properties of the TM**

132 Shear modulus, $G'(\omega)$, and shear viscosity, $\eta(\omega)$ of the TM were calculated using a model
 133 of the TM in fluid environment (19). Namely, shear modulus and shear viscosity were
 134 calculated from the equation

$$G'(\omega) + i\omega\eta(\omega) = \frac{\omega^2 (T_{TM}\rho_{TM} + \sqrt{2}\delta\rho_f) - i\sqrt{2}\omega^2\rho_f\delta}{k^2(\omega)T_{TM}}, \quad (1)$$

135 where ω is angular frequency, T_{TM} is thickness of the TM (2×10^{-5} m), ρ_f and ρ_{TM} are the
 136 fluid density and the TM density respectively (both are taken to be 10^3 kg m $^{-3}$), k is the
 137 complex wavenumber and δ is the boundary layer thickness, which was determined as

$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\omega \rho_f}}, \quad (2)$$

138 where μ is the coefficient of viscosity (7×10^{-4} kg m $^{-1}$ s $^{-1}$). The complex wavenumber can be
 139 calculated from the measured wave speed, c , and decay constant, α , as

$$k(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{c} - i\alpha. \quad (3)$$

140 Note that if the viscosity and hence the boundary layer thickness tends to zero, the right hand
 141 side of Eq. 1 just reverts to the complex shear modulus, since

$$k(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{c(\omega)}, \quad (4)$$

142 where $c(\omega)$ is the frequency-dependent shear wave speed, which is equal to the square root
 143 of the complex shear modulus divided by the TM density, so that

$$c^2(\omega) = \frac{G'(\omega) + i\omega\eta(\omega)}{\rho_{TM}}. \quad (5)$$

144 RESULTS

145 Frequency dependent propagation of longitudinal travelling waves was investigated in
 146 segments of TM isolated from the basal (high-frequency) region of cochleae from
 147 *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+}, *Tectb*^{-/-} and *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} mice, with mutations or deletions of the TM proteins,
 148 α -tectorin, β -tectorin, and otoancorin. Measurements were confined to the basal region,
 149 because it was in this region that the propagation of longitudinal travelling waves along the
 150 TM showed greatest frequency dependency (19). Longitudinally propagating travelling shear
 151 waves were excited in the TM by sinusoidal vibration of the piezoelectric actuator (Fig. 1)
 152 and the amplitude and phase of the radial displacement due to the travelling wave, as
 153 functions of distance from the source of excitation, were measured with a laser diode
 154 interferometer (39). These data provided the basis for deriving the dynamic material
 155 properties of the TM.

156 **Velocity of the TM travelling waves decreases when SSM is disrupted or missing**

157 The travelling wave velocity was calculated from the progressive phase lag measured as a
 158 function of longitudinal distance from the vibrating platform ($x=0 \mu\text{m}$). In modified TMs
 159 from all three groups of mice, the phase lag increased as a function of stimulation frequency
 160 (2-20 kHz) (Fig. 2 A-C).

161 The propagation velocity, c , of the travelling waves was calculated at each frequency, ω , as
 162 $c = \omega \times x / \Delta\phi$, where $\Delta\phi$ is the overall change in phase over the longest measurement
 163 distance x for each segment, i.e. average velocity over distance x was calculated. The means
 164 and standard error of c at each frequency are shown in Fig. 2 D and compared to previously
 165 published data from normal, wild-type TMs (19).

166 Propagation velocity in TM segments taken from mice with disrupted or missing SSM
 167 increased as a function of stimulus frequency. The velocity increase with frequency was
 168 similar for all three groups, increasing from $\sim 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at 2 kHz to 5.5 m s^{-1} at 20 kHz. Apart
 169 from the propagation velocity minima at 5 kHz, propagation velocities measured from the
 170 wild-type mice (black squares, Fig. 2 D) are significantly higher than those measured in the
 171 groups with modified TM, especially at the highest stimulus frequency used (20 kHz).

172 **Amplitude decay of TM travelling wave increases when SSM is disrupted or missing**

173 The amplitude of the travelling wave also decays with distance along the TM. The decay
 174 constant, α , was derived by fitting an exponential decay to the wave amplitude, $Y(x)$ as a
 175 function of longitudinal distance x , namely, $Y(x) = Y(0)e^{-\alpha x}$, where $Y(0)$ is the wave
 176 amplitude at the stimulation place.

177 The decay constant tended to decrease with increasing stimulus frequency in TM segments
 178 isolated from the wild-type mice ((19), squares in Fig. 3). For all three groups with modified
 179 SSM, however, the decay constant increased with increasing frequency over the same
 180 stimulus frequency range (Fig. 3). An increase in α corresponds to a decrease in the space
 181 constant (σ), which represents the spatial extent of the wave's propagation (Fig. 3).

182 **The mechanical properties of the TM are affected by structural disruption of SSM**

183 The viscoelastic properties, namely the shear storage modulus, $G'(\omega)$, and shear viscosity,
 184 $\eta(\omega)$, were calculated at discrete frequencies from the wave propagation velocity, $c(\omega)$, and
 185 the decay constant, $\alpha(\omega)$, using Eqs. 1 and 3 (Materials and Methods). These properties had
 186 previously been found to be highly dependent on stimulus frequency when measured from

187 TM segments isolated from the basal turn of the wild-type mice (19). The shear viscosity,
 188 $\eta(\omega)$, which was shown in the wild-type mice to decrease 8.4-fold with increasing frequency
 189 from 2 to 10 kHz (squares, Fig. 4 B), was found here to be almost independent of frequency
 190 in all three groups with disrupted or missing SSM (circles, crosses and triangles, Fig. 4 B).
 191 The shear storage modulus, $G'(\omega)$, measured from TM segments isolated from the basal
 192 region of all three groups of mice with modified TMs increased as a function of stimulus
 193 frequency, from 2.52 to 31.2 kPa for *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+}, 4.46 to 29.7 kPa for *Tectb*^{-/-}, and 4.63 to
 194 41.2 kPa for *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP}, between 2-20 kHz (circles, crosses and triangles, Fig. 4 A). These
 195 increases are small when compared with those obtained from similar measurements made
 196 from wild-type mice, where $G'(\omega)$ increased over the same stimulus frequency range from
 197 6.50 kPa to 80.1 kPa (squares, Fig. 4 A). Note that below 5 kHz the frequency dependence
 198 (and even the absolute magnitude) of $G'(\omega)$ is similar for the wild-type mice and for the
 199 groups of mice with modified TMs.

200 It is worth noting if the effect of the external fluid is ignored, by setting the boundary layer
 201 thickness to zero in the calculation of the complex modulus in Eq. 1, the apparent shear
 202 modulus is slightly lower than that calculated here but the apparent shear viscosity is
 203 significantly increased, since the damping physically due to the fluid is then accounted for in
 204 that of the TM.

205 **Energy transmission and dissipation is modified in TMs with disrupted SSM**

206 The loss tangent $\tan(\delta) = G''/G'$, where G'' is the loss modulus (G'' is calculated as $G'' =$
 207 $\omega\eta(\omega)$ using data for $\eta(\omega)$ in Fig. 4 B), defines the ratio of energy dissipated to energy
 208 stored per volume unit of TM (19) and characterises the effectiveness of longitudinal energy
 209 transmission during shear deformations of the TM (Fig. 4 C). $\tan(\delta)$ calculated for the TM
 210 segments isolated from the basal regions of the three groups with modified TM (circles,
 211 crosses and triangles, Fig. 4 C) behaved differently as functions of stimulus frequency to that
 212 of basal turn TM segments of wild-type mice (squares, Fig. 4 C). For stimulus frequencies
 213 below 5 kHz, $\tan(\delta)$ calculated for TM segments isolated from the groups with disrupted or
 214 missing SSM was significantly lower than that of $\tan(\delta)$ calculated for TM segments of the
 215 wild-type mice. In other words, at these low frequencies, the relative dissipation of energy is
 216 lower in the modified TMs than in the wild-type mice. At 20 kHz, however, $\tan(\delta)$ for the
 217 wild-type mice is about half of that for any group with disrupted or missing SSM and, hence,

218 the TM in the wild-type mice is more efficient at transmitting energy at stimulus frequencies
 219 approaching the frequency range of the basal turn of the mouse cochlea.

220 The reciprocal of the loss tangent is proportional to the quality factor, ($Q \propto 1/\tan(\delta) =$
 221 G'/G''), which describes the resonant material properties of the TM (Fig. 4 D). In the
 222 segments from all groups with modified TMs (circles, crosses and triangles, Fig. 4 D), Q is
 223 relatively independent of frequency, while in the TM segments isolated from the wild-type
 224 mice (squares, Fig. 4 D), there is a very clear rise in the value of Q with increasing frequency.
 225 In all three groups with disrupted or missing SSM there is less variation in Q with frequency
 226 across the 2-20 kHz range, than in segments isolated from the wild-type cochleae.

227 DISCUSSION

228 ***In vitro* travelling wave propagation is disrupted in all three mutant groups with** 229 **compromised striated sheet matrix**

230 The velocities of travelling waves measured in segments of TM isolated from the cochleae of
 231 *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+}, *Tectb*^{-/-} and *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} mice were all similarly and significantly reduced by
 232 comparison with travelling wave velocities measured in segments of TM isolated from the
 233 same region of the cochleae of wild-type mice (Fig. 2 D). Reductions in the wave velocity
 234 were accompanied by an increase in the decay constant for the majority of the measured
 235 frequency range (Fig. 3) and are manifested in travelling waves (Fig. 5), which have shorter
 236 wavelengths with more rapid decay than those from wild-type TMs. We attribute these
 237 differences largely to changes in frequency dependent stiffness than to shear viscosity. This is
 238 because, at least for the frequencies illustrated in Fig. 5 (> 8 kHz), the shear viscosity of TMs
 239 isolated from wild-type mice and those with genetically modified protein composition are
 240 similar (Fig. 4 B). The only major structural component of the TM, which, as far as we are
 241 aware, is altered in common in the genetically modified mice used in this study, is the SSM.
 242 It is completely absent in the *Tectb*^{-/-} mouse (14) and partially lost in the *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+} mouse
 243 (25), including a marginal region where, in the TM of *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} mice, it is specifically
 244 absent. The marginal region is a zone 20 μm wide (~20% width of the TM), which runs along
 245 the lateral edge that overlies the OHCs (27). It is the stiffest part of the TM and, in the basal
 246 turn of the cochlea, is the only region of the TM that becomes increasingly stiffer with
 247 increasing frequency place on the BM (8,40). Gueta et al. (40) presumed that the place-
 248 dependent stiffness gradient of this zone was to facilitate energy transfer with the OHC hair
 249 bundles, whose stiffness also increases with increasing distance from the apex of the cochlea

250 (41,42). It would appear, according to the findings reported here, that any loss of SSM,
251 especially in the hair bundle attachment zone of the TM, is associated with a loss of the
252 frequency-dependent stiffness of the material properties of the TM.

253 Findings related to the frequency-dependency of mechanical properties of the TM reported
254 here are new and novel, although the measurements of the mechanical properties of the TM
255 upon which they are based are similar to those reported previously for individual frequencies,
256 using similar methods, from mice with genetic modification of the TM (26,43).

257 Note that the complex shear modulus calculated here is of the TM alone. When the TM is
258 integrated into the organ of Corti, its dynamics will be influenced by the stiffness of the OHC
259 stereocilia, which will tend to increase the shear wave speed, and the viscosity of the fluid in
260 the sub-tectorial space, which will tend to increase the damping. Assuming the geometry of
261 the sub-tectorial space is similar in the wild-type and genetically modified mice, these effects,
262 however, will be the same in all groups of mice and the differences seen here for the TM
263 alone will also be reflected in the in-situ TM dynamics.

264 **Disruption or absence of the striated sheet matrix largely abolishes frequency**
265 **dependence of the TM mechanical properties**

266 The organization of the TM is complex with radial collagen fibres and interconnecting non-
267 collagenous glycoproteins forming the quasi-crystalline striated sheet matrix (28,29). This
268 complexity has led to the suggestion that the array structure and different packing density of
269 collagen fibres form a basis for longitudinal, radial and transversal gradients of the TM's
270 mechanical properties (8-12). We would like to suggest further that the striated sheet matrix
271 provides a specific structural basis for the frequency dependency of the material properties of
272 the TM (19).

273 Insight into the physical basis for the frequency dependence of the mechanical properties of
274 the TM may be deduced from what is currently understood about the physical chemistry of
275 the TM. In a recent conceptual model, the TM is considered as a porous matrix, consisting of
276 solid and fluid phases with the fluid phase moving through pores of a limited size (44). At
277 asymptotically low frequencies, the elasticity of the solid phase, namely the elasticity of
278 interconnected collagen fibres, is the dominating component of the TM stiffness. With
279 increasing stimulation frequency the viscosity of fluid moving through the relatively small
280 pores of the TM matrix would be expected to contribute significantly towards the TM's
281 mechanical properties, thereby creating a possible basis for their frequency dependence.

282 Indeed, changes in the viscosity of the TM's fluid phase are associated with prominent
283 changes in TM electrokinetic response (45), indicating the importance of fluid movement
284 within the TM during its deformation. If in TMs with missing or genetically modified
285 proteins, the porous structure is altered, as found by Masaki et al. (43) for TM isolated from
286 *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+} mice, the contribution from the viscosity of the fluid phase might be reduced
287 with a consequent reduction or abolition of the frequency dependency of the TM mechanical
288 properties. Such changes in the shear viscosity of the TM has been shown to modify
289 propagation of waves in the TM with important consequences for cochlear tuning in
290 *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+} mice (46).

291 Another possible basis for the frequency dependent mechanical properties of the TM is
292 changes in the local density of fixed charges within the TM during its deformation. It has
293 been demonstrated that the TM contains high concentration of fixed charges associated with
294 ionized sulfate (SO₃⁻) and carboxyl (COO⁻) groups of glycoproteins (7,47) and that
295 electrostatic interaction between them contributes significantly to the compressional stiffness
296 of the TM (45). Furthermore, neutralization of the fixed charges at low pH causes a two-
297 threefold reduction in the TM shear impedance (48). Thus shear deformation of the TM
298 should lead to changes in the local density of the fixed charges and consequent redistribution
299 of mobile ions and fluid phase within the TM according to the principles of electrodiffusive,
300 osmotic, and mechanical equilibrium, and bulk electroneutrality (47). The time taken to
301 reach the equilibrium is limited by the poroelastic relaxation time, which is of the order of
302 tens of minutes (7,44,49) and can affect the mechanical responses of the TM at acoustic
303 frequencies (45). If electrostatic interaction between the fixed charges contributes to the
304 frequency dependence of the TM mechanical properties then the decreased frequency
305 dependent stiffness we have observed in TMs from *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+}, *Tectb*^{-/-}, and *Otod*^{EGFP/EGFP}
306 mice could be due to a consequent reduction in density of the associated fixed charges in the
307 TM, as has indeed been reported for *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+} mice (43). A likely source of the fixed
308 charges, which is disrupted in all three mouse mutants used in this study, is the SSM which,
309 because of its composition and structural organisation, is likely to have a dense, highly
310 organised distribution of fixed charges.

311 Because both porosity and fixed charges within the TM determine its mechanical properties
312 (44,45,48) it is likely that combinations of both these factors determine specific frequency
313 dependence of the TM dynamic material properties (19). It should be remembered that
314 enhanced tuning of the TM (14) comes at a price. Fewer OHCs are engaged to amplify a

315 single frequency place on the BM, with subsequent loss, albeit relatively small, of sensitivity
 316 (14,18). It would appear that sensitivity of the cochlea, rather than enhanced frequency tuning
 317 of the TM has greater survival value.

318 **Forward energy transmission is not affected in mice with missing or disrupted striated**
 319 **sheet matrix**

320 It has been hypothesised that a reduction of stiffness of the basal TM at low frequencies leads
 321 to functional decoupling of the TM from the cochlear partition, which minimizes energy loss
 322 and facilitates energy transmission along the cochlea to the cochlear apex (19). At the same
 323 time, stiffening of the basal TM at high frequencies (19) maximizes cochlear amplifier gain
 324 through better elastic coupling along the TM (14,16). The effectiveness of energy
 325 transmission (loss tangent, $\tan(\delta)$, Fig. 4 C), which is relatively large at frequencies above 8
 326 kHz for TM segments from mice with deleted or altered TM proteins compared to those of
 327 TM segments from wild-type mice, is expected not to lead to higher energy losses from the
 328 modified TMs *in vivo*. This is because the TM in the basal region of the cochlea would not
 329 experience significant radial shear during the propagation of low-frequency BM travelling
 330 waves. The waves peak at their characteristic frequency place, which is closer to the cochlear
 331 apex, and do not show significant phase change in the basal region (6). *In vivo*, the TM in the
 332 basal region of the cochlea experiences significant shear at frequencies that are close to the
 333 CFs of that region (~35-60 kHz for the isolated TM segments used in our experiments).
 334 Hence, higher energy losses from TMs of *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+}, *Tectb*^{-/-} and *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} mice,
 335 compared with wild-type mice, are expected only for frequencies near the characteristic
 336 frequencies of the basal turn, but propagation of energy to the characteristic place should not
 337 be affected.

338 **Physiological consequences of changes in mechanical properties of mutant TMs**

339 The physiological phenotypes expressed by *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+}, *Tectb*^{-/-}, and *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} mice
 340 reveal an important similarity and differences. Total loss of SSM, as in *Tectb*^{-/-} mice, is
 341 associated with loss of elastic coupling along the TM *in vivo* (14,16) and, therefore the
 342 presence of β -tectorin is necessary for maintaining the SSM and velocity and spatial extent of
 343 travelling waves *in vitro* (15,19,26). In *Tectb*^{-/-} and *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+} mice, where SMM loss is not
 344 restricted to the hair bundle attachment zone in the TM, gain and sensitivity of BM responses
 345 in the 50-60 kHz region of the cochlea is reduced by ~10 dB SPL compared with
 346 measurements from wild-type littermates (14,25) and *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} mice (27). These
 347 measurements, which reveal that the sensitivity of tone-evoked BM vibrations is changed

348 only slightly or imperceptibly, provide evidence that forward energy transmission is not
349 affected in the basal turn of the cochlea in these mutants. The loss of sensitivity of BM
350 motion measured in *Tectb*^{-/-} and *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+} mice, where there is total or partial loss of SSM
351 distributed throughout the TM, may be a consequence of imperfect impedance matching and
352 hence transfer of energy, between the stiffness of the OHC hair bundles and that of the TM;
353 or may be a consequence of changes in elastic (14,15,19) and viscous coupling (46) along the
354 TM with corresponding changes in the spread of excitation within the cochlea and reduction
355 in cochlear gain (14,16). *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+}, *Tectb*^{-/-}, and *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} mice do, however, share a
356 common physiological phenotype. Changes in the mechanical properties of the TM of all
357 three mutants effect interaction between tones in the cochlea and the backward transmission
358 of energy from the cochlea, as a consequence of this interaction, as revealed in measurements
359 of DPOAE isothreshold responses. DPOAE thresholds are increased, in comparison with
360 those from wild-type littermates, by about 20 dB across the stimulus frequency range (2 – 60
361 kHz) in *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+} and *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} mice (25,27). In addition, DPOAE generation in
362 *Tectb*^{-/-} mice appears to have a velocity dependency, possibly associated with loss of elastic
363 coupling along the TM. Thus for the products of interaction between tones in the cochlea, the
364 TM appears to act as the conduit for energy transfer along the organ of Corti, a process which
365 is severely attenuated in the TMs of mice where the SSM is missing, at least from the hair
366 bundle attachment zone of the TM. Regardless of what other structures have been implicated
367 in the transmission of emission energy along the cochlea (50), frequency-dependent
368 properties of the TM are essential for the generation and transmission of DPOAEs along the
369 BM. This finding has consequences in the clinic for subjects with congenital hearing loss due
370 to absence or modification of TM proteins, where there may be a mismatch between hearing
371 assessed through measurement of DPOAEs and more direct measures of cochlear responses.

372 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

373 We thank J. Hartley for the design and construction of electronic equipment and Guy
374 Richardson for comments on an early version of the paper and for supplying the mice used in
375 this study. This work is supported by a grant from the Medical Research Council. G.P.J. was
376 supported by a BBSRC studentship.

377 **REFERENCES**

- 378 1. Russell, I. J. 2008. Cochlear receptor potentials. In *The Senses: A Comprehensive*
379 *Reference*. A. I. Basbaum, A. Kaneko, G. M. Shepherd, G. Westheimer, T. D.
380 Albright, R. H. Masland, P. Dallos, D. Oertel, S. Firestein, G. K. Beauchamp, M. C.
381 Bushnell, J. H. Kaas, and E. Gardner, editors. Academic Press, New York. 319-358.
- 382 2. Békésy, G. v. 1960. *Experiments in hearing*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- 383 3. Kimura, R. S. 1966. Hairs of the cochlear sensory cells and their attachment to the
384 tectorial membrane. *Acta Otolaryngol.* 61:55-72.
- 385 4. Davis, H. 1983. An active process in cochlear mechanics. *Hear. Res.* 9:79-90.
- 386 5. Lukashkin, A. N., M. N. Walling, and I. J. Russell. 2007. Power amplification in the
387 mammalian cochlea. *Curr. Biol.* 17:1340-1344.
- 388 6. Robles, L., and M. A. Ruggero. 2001. Mechanics of the mammalian cochlea. *Physiol.*
389 *Rev.* 81:1305-1352.
- 390 7. Freeman, D. M., C. C. Abnet, ..., T. F. Weiss. 2003. Dynamic material properties of
391 the tectorial membrane: a summary. *Hear. Res.* 180:1-10.
- 392 8. Gueta, R., D. Barlam, ..., I. Rousso. 2006. Measurement of the mechanical properties
393 of isolated tectorial membrane using atomic force microscopy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
394 *USA.* 103:14790-14795.
- 395 9. Richter, C.-P., G. Emadi, ..., P. Dallos. 2007. Tectorial membrane stiffness gradients.
396 *Biophys. J.* 93:2265-2276.
- 397 10. Richardson, G. P., A. N. Lukashkin, and I. J. Russell. 2008. The tectorial membrane:
398 one slice of a complex cochlear sandwich. *Curr. Opin. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.*
399 16:458-464.
- 400 11. Gavara, N., and R. S. Chadwick. 2009. Collagen-based mechanical anisotropy of the
401 tectorial membrane: implications for inter-row coupling of outer hair cell bundles.
402 *PLoS ONE.* 4:e4877.
- 403 12. Teudt, I. U., and C. P. Richter. 2014. Basilar membrane and tectorial membrane
404 stiffness in the CBA/CaJ mouse. *JARO.* DOI:10.1007/s10162-014-0463-y
- 405 13. Hubbard, A. 1993. A traveling-wave amplifier model of the cochlea. *Science.* 259:68-
406 71.
- 407 14. Russell, I. J., P. K. Legan, ..., G. P. Richardson. 2007. Sharpened cochlear tuning in a
408 mouse with a genetically modified tectorial membrane. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10:215-223.
- 409 15. Ghaffari, R., A. J. Aranyosi, and D. M. Freeman. 2007. Longitudinally propagating
410 traveling waves of the mammalian tectorial membrane. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.*
411 104:16510-16515.

- 412 16. Meaud, J., and K. Grosh. 2010. The effect of tectorial membrane and basilar
413 membrane longitudinal coupling in cochlear mechanics. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*
414 127:1411–1421.
- 415 17. Gummer, A. W., W. Hemmert, and H. P. Zenner. 1996. Resonant tectorial membrane
416 motion in the inner ear: its crucial role in frequency tuning. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
417 *USA.* 93:8727–8732.
- 418 18. Lukashkin, A. N., G. P. Richardson, and I. J. Russell. 2010. Multiple roles for the
419 tectorial membrane in the active cochlea. *Hear. Res.* 266:26–35.
- 420 19. Jones, G. P., V. A. Lukashkina, ..., A. N. Lukashkin. 2013. Frequency-dependent
421 properties of the tectorial membrane facilitate energy transmission and amplification
422 in the cochlea. *Biophys. J.* 104:1357–1366.
- 423 20. Yamada, S., D. Wirtz, and S. C. Kuo. 2000. Mechanics of living cells measured by
424 laser tracking microrheology. *Biophys. J.* 78:1736–1747.
- 425 21. Deng, L., X. Trepap, ..., J. J. Fredberg. 2006. Fast and slow dynamics of the
426 cytoskeleton. *Nat. Mater.* 5:636–640.
- 427 22. Stamenovic, D., N. Rosenblatt, ..., D. E. Ingber. 2007. Rheological behavior of living
428 cells is timescale-dependent. *Biophys. J.* 93:L39–L41.
- 429 23. Roy, S., W. E. Brownell, and A. A. Spector. 2012. Modeling electrically active
430 viscoelastic membranes. *PLoS ONE.* 7:e37667.
- 431 24. Goodyear, R. J., and G. P. Richardson. 2002. Extracellular matrices associated with
432 the apical surfaces of sensory epithelia in the inner ear: Molecular and structural
433 diversity. *J. Neurobiol.* 53:212–227.
- 434 25. Legan, P. K., V. A. Lukashkina, ..., G. P. Richardson. 2005. A deafness mutation
435 isolates a second role for the tectorial membrane in hearing. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8:1035–
436 1042.
- 437 26. Ghaffari, R., A. J. Aranyosi, ..., D. M. Freeman. 2010. Tectorial membrane travelling
438 waves underlie abnormal hearing in *Tectb* mutant mice. *Nat. Commun.* 1:96.
- 439 27. Lukashkin, A. N., P. K. Legan, ..., G. P. Richardson. 2012. A mouse model for
440 human deafness DFNB22 reveals that hearing impairment is due to a loss of inner hair
441 cell stimulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 109:19351–19356.
- 442 28. Hasko, J. A., and G. P. Richardson. 1988. The ultrastructural organization and
443 properties of the mouse tectorial membrane matrix. *Hear. Res.* 35:21–38.
- 444 29. Tsuprun, V., and P. Santi. 1997. Ultrastructural organization of proteoglycans and
445 fibrillar matrix of the tectorial membrane. *Hear. Res.* 110:107–118.

- 446 30. Legan, P. K., V. A. Lukashkina, ..., G. P. Richardson. 2000. A targeted deletion in α -
447 tectorin reveals that the tectorial membrane is required for the gain and timing of
448 cochlear feedback. *Neuron*. 28:273–285.
- 449 31. Xia, A., S. S. Gao, ..., J. S. Oghalai. 2010. Deficient forward transduction and
450 enhanced reverse transduction in the alpha tectorin C1509G human hearing loss
451 mutation. *Dis. Model. Mech.* 3:209-223.
- 452 32. Simmler, M.-C., M. Cohen-Salmon, ..., J.-J. Panthier. 2000. Targeted disruption of
453 *Otog* results in deafness and severe imbalance. *Nat. Genet.* 24:139-143.
- 454 33. Deans, M. R., J. M. Peterson, and G. W. Wong. 2010. Mammalian Otolin: a
455 multimeric glycoprotein specific to the inner ear that interacts with otoconial matrix
456 protein Otoconin-90 and Cerebellin-1. *PLoS ONE*. 5:e12765.
- 457 34. Zheng, J., K. K. Miller, ..., P. Dallos. 2011. Carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell
458 adhesion molecule 16 interacts with α -tectorin and is mutated in autosomal dominant
459 hearing loss (DFNA4). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 108:4218-4223.
- 460 35. Freeman, D. M., K. Masaki, ..., T. F. Weiss. 2003. Static material properties of the
461 tectorial membrane: a summary. *Hear. Res.* 180:11–27.
- 462 36. Zwaenepoel, I., M. Mustapha, ..., C. Petit. 2002. Otoancorin, an inner ear protein
463 restricted to the interface between the apical surface of sensory epithelia and their
464 overlying acellular gels, is defective in autosomal recessive deafness DFNB22. *Proc.*
465 *Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 99:6240-6245.
- 466 37. Richardson, G. P., J. B. de Monvel, and C. Petit. 2011. How the genetics of deafness
467 illuminates auditory physiology. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 73:311-334.
- 468 38. Avraham, K. B., and M. Kanaan. 2012. Genomic advances for gene discovery in
469 hereditary hearing loss. *J. Basic Clin. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 23:93-97.
- 470 39. Lukashkin, A. N., M. E. Bashtanov, and I. J. Russell. 2005. A self-mixing laser-diode
471 interferometer for measuring basilar membrane vibrations without opening the
472 cochlea. *J. Neurosci. Methods*. 148:122–129.
- 473 40. Gueta, R., E. Tal, ..., I. Rousso. 2007. The 3D structure of the tectorial membrane
474 determined by second-harmonic imaging microscopy. *J. Struct. Biol.* 159:103-110.
- 475 41. Russell, I. J., M. Kossl, and G. P. Richardson. 1992. Nonlinear mechanical responses
476 of mouse cochlear hair bundles. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*. 250:217-227.

- 477 42. Geleoc, G. S. G., G. W. T. Lennan, ..., C. J. Kros. 1997. A quantitative comparison of
 478 mechano-electrical transduction in vestibular and auditory hair cells of neonatal mice.
 479 Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 264:611-621.
- 480 43. Masaki, K., R. Ghaffari, ..., A. J. Aranyosi. 2010. Tectorial membrane material
 481 properties in Tecta(Y)(1870C/p) heterozygous mice. *Biophys. J.* 99:3274–3281.
- 482 44. Masaki, K., T. F. Weiss, and D. M. Freeman. 2006. Poroelastic bulk properties of the
 483 tectorial membrane measured with osmotic stress. *Biophys. J.* 91:2356-2370.
- 484 45. Ghaffari, R., S. L. Page, ..., D. M. Freeman. 2013. Electrokinetic properties of the
 485 mammalian tectorial membrane. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 110:4279-4284.
- 486 46. Sellon, J. B., R. Ghaffari, ..., D. M. Freeman. 2014. Porosity controls spread of
 487 excitation in tectorial membrane traveling waves. *Biophys. J.* 106:1406-1413.
- 488 47. Weiss, T. F., and D. M. Freeman. 1997. Equilibrium behavior of an isotropic
 489 polyelectrolyte gel model of the tectorial membrane: The role of fixed charges. *Aud.*
 490 *Neurosci.* 3:351-361.
- 491 48. Farrahi, S., R. Ghaffari, and D. M. Freeman. 2011. Lowered pH alters decay but not
 492 speed of tectorial membrane waves. In WHAT FIRE IS IN MINE EARS:
 493 PROGRESS IN AUDITORY BIOMECHANICS, Proceedings of the 11th
 494 International Mechanics of Hearing Workshop. AIP Conference Proceedings 1403.
 495 403-404.
- 496 49. Freeman, D. M., S. Hattangadi, and T. F. Weiss. 1997. Osmotic responses of the
 497 isolated mouse tectorial membrane to changes in pH. *Aud. Neurosci.* 3:363-375.
- 498 50. Fisher, J. A., F. Nin, ..., A. J. Hudspeth. 2012. The spatial pattern of cochlear
 499 amplification. *Neuron.* 76:989-997.

500 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

501 **FIGURE 1** Schematic of the inside of the experimental chamber, containing a mounted
 502 segment of TM, attached to both supports. Stimulation was delivered by the vibrating
 503 support, which was attached to a piezoelectric actuator, and a single laser was stepped along
 504 the TM to track amplitude and phase of radially shearing, longitudinally propagating
 505 travelling waves at different frequencies.

506 **FIGURE 2** Phase data collected from the basal TM segments of *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+}, *Tectb*^{-/-} and
 507 *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} mice. (A, B, C) Average phase lag as a function of longitudinal distance along
 508 the TM segments, for each stimulus frequency (error bars are not shown for clarity). (D)

509 Average wave propagation velocity, calculated from the full longitudinal distance obtained in
 510 each experiment (*Tecta*^{Y1870C/+} *n*=12, *Tectb*^{-/-} *n*=14, *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} *n*=12 ±SEM), includes
 511 wild-type data previously collected from the basal cochlear region for comparison (19).

512 FIGURE 3 Amplitude decay (±SD) and space constants of the travelling wave as a function
 513 of frequency for basal TM segments. Solid lines show a polynomial fit to the data points of
 514 *Tecta*^{Y1870C/+} ($\alpha=-2887-0.158f+0.00000434f^2$, $r^2=0.39$), *Tectb*^{-/-} ($\alpha=-1372-0.494f+0.0000151f^2$,
 515 $r^2=0.75$ and *Otoa*^{EGFP/EGFP} ($\alpha=-1550-0.182f+0.000000274f^2$, $r^2=0.79$) mice. The figure also
 516 includes previously collected wild-type data from the basal cochlear region (19).

517 FIGURE 4 Frequency dependence of the dynamic material properties of the TM. Eqs. 1 and 3
 518 (Materials and Methods) and the experimental data presented in Fig. 2 C and Fig. 3 were used
 519 for calculations. (A) Shear storage modulus, G' . (B) Shear viscosity, η . (C) Loss tangent,
 520 $\tan(\delta)$ and (D) reciprocal of the loss tangent, $1/\tan(\delta)$, which is proportional to the quality
 521 factor Q . TM thickness, T_{TM} , was taken as 20 μm for the basal segments. Includes data from
 522 wild-type mice previously collected from the basal cochlear region for comparison (19).

523 FIGURE 5 Recreated instantaneous travelling waveforms calculated from the accumulated
 524 phase lag and decay constant at 10 kHz and 20 kHz for all groups of mice.