

Editorial – Action and Reflection: The Individual and the Collective

Kybernetes, 46(9), 2017. DOI: 10.1108/K-12-2017-0485

Philip Baron, *Department of Electric and Electronic Engineering Technology, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa*

David Griffiths, *The School of Education and Psychology, The University of Bolton, Bolton, UK*

Ben Sweeting, *School of Architecture and Design, University of Brighton, Brighton, UK.*

The annual American Society for Cybernetics (ASC) conference took place in the week of the 1-5 June 2016 in Olympia, Washington, and was hosted by Evergreen State College. The proceedings from this conference are presented in this volume of *Kybernetes*, continuing a tradition that has seen this journal publish the proceedings of recent ASC conferences in Troy, NY (Glanville & Sweeting, 2011), Asilomar, CA (Glanville & Griffiths, 2013), Bolton, UK (Glanville, Griffiths & Baron, 2014), and Washington, DC (Baron, Griffiths, Glanville & Sweeting, 2015), showing the support from both sides in the further dissemination of research in cybernetics.

The aim of the conference was to bring together people from various disciplines providing cross-disciplinary and meta-disciplinary conversations addressing the relationship between reflection and action. These systemically connected topics were explored from a variety of perspectives, and from various contexts, highlighting the way that action and reflection unfold in individuals and groups. The theme complements that of the 2013 ASC conference in Bolton, which explored the cybernetic relationship between acting, learning and understanding (Glanville, Griffiths and Baron, 2014).

In keeping with the publication tradition of the ASC, a paper publication track was available to those who submitted extended abstracts prior to the conference. The double-blind peer-reviewed abstracts, which were accepted, were then made available in the conference booklet. Full papers were only submitted after the conference with the aim that conferees would reflect on their participation during the conference achieving new insights into their work, as an extended and less formalised part of the peer review process.

The conversational format of this and previous ASC conferences is a differentiating feature from traditional conferences, allowing participants an opportunity to discuss their ideas and reflections about the conference theme while in community with others, and cybernetic ideas to be put into practice within the conference as well as discussed in it (Glanville, 2011; Sweeting and Hohl, 2015; Richards, 2015). As well as participating in the conversational sessions, authors presented their work to their peers in the scheduled paper presentations. A feature of ASC conferences is the equal weighting given to both the presenter as well as the audience, with each given equal time for comment in the hope that an environment of conversation may occur. This approach, which can be both rigorous and nerve-racking, usually leads to refinement of one's work owing to the wide scope of comments made from an audience that consists of people who are from diverse contexts and fields of specialisation—a multidisciplinary audience. Presenters may defend their work or embrace

the inputs from the audience but the goal is simply to initiate spontaneous conversations, which the author can then integrate into their final paper. There is circularity in this approach to publication. The continuous reflection loops that are initiated as part of the conference and publication process are meant to stimulate personal reflection, but also reflexion—the reflection on one’s ideas now embracing the reflection of others’ reactions to form a wider system (Glanville, 2013). This additional loop embraces the ideas of others (the cybernetics community) to act as perturbing agents.

After a two month interval, authors are invited to submit their final paper drafts through the ScholarOne portal. Each paper is subjected to a rigorous double-blind peer review including the guest editors’ reconciliation. The reconciliation, which is also a feature in the ASC publication approach, is meant to assist the author in tying together any divergent peer reviews. Authors are requested to acknowledge reviewers’ comments, which are checked by the guest editors for the adequacy of response to review critiques, keeping in mind that reviewers may also need to be challenged. Finally, the editors, who adjudicate disagreements (often calling in an extra reviewer), proof read the contents for consistency and use of English. This approach to publication was born out of the legacy of Ranulph Glanville and his supportive approach to scholarly publications. In this light, the method that the editorial team follow should also reflect a cybernetic approach: cybernetics is not just a way of thinking, it is also a way of doing. Thus, as the proceedings arise out of the ASC conference, they too need to reflect a cybernetic process. Our aim is to learn together in a circularity of action, reflection and moving forward.

The layout of the material in this special issue follows an alphabetical order. Rather than any attempt at thematic ordering, readers are invited to consult the abstracts in order to decide their own route through.

We wish to thank the staff at Evergreen State University for hosting the conference as well as the panel of ASC members who organised the conference. The following people are acknowledged and thanked for their role in bringing this conference together: Arun Chandra (Local Host), Michael Lissack (ASC President), Art Collings (ASC Treasurer), Thomas Fischer (ASC Vice President for Electronic Publishing), Christiane M. Herr (ASC Vice President for Membership), Timothy Jachna (ASC Vice President), Louis Kauffman (ASC Past President), Robert Martin (ASC Secretary).

The editors would like to thank the staff at Emerald, including Sallie Gregson, who publish *Kybernetes*; and the editors of *Kybernetes* lead by Prof. Gandolfo Dominici. Our guest editorial team would like to acknowledge the voluntary effort made by dedicated reviewers who took part in the publication of this issue making up the proceedings for the ASC2016 conference.

Reference list

Baron, P., Glanville, R., Griffiths, D., & Sweeting, B. (2015). Living in Cybernetics: Papers from the 50th Anniversary Conference of the American Society for Cybernetics. *Kybernetes*, 44(8/9). doi:10.1108/K-09-2015-0222. Retrieved from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/toc/k/44/8%2F9>

Glanville, R. (2011). Introduction: A conference doing the cybernetics of cybernetics. *Kybernetes*, 40(7/8), 952-963. doi:10.1108/03684921111160197

Glanville, R. (2013). Cybernetics: Thinking Through the Technology. In D. Arnold (Ed.), *Traditions of Systems Theory: Major Figures and Contemporary Developments* (pp. 45-77). New York: Routledge.

Glanville, R., & Sweeting, B. (Eds.). (2011). *Cybernetics: Art, design, mathematics—A meta-disciplinary conversation: Papers from the 2010 conference of the American Society for Cybernetics*. Special double issue of *Kybernetes*, 40(7/8). Retrieved from www.emeraldinsight.com/toc/k/40/7%2F8

Glanville, R., & Griffiths, D. (Eds.). (2013). *An ecology of ideas*. Special double issue of *Kybernetes*, 42(9/10). Retrieved from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/toc/k/42/9%2F10>

Glanville, R., Griffiths, D., & Baron, P. (Eds.). (2014). *A circularity in learning*. Special double issue of *Kybernetes*, 43(9/10). Retrieved from www.emeraldinsight.com/toc/k/43/9%2F10

Richards, L. D. (2015). *Designing Academic Conferences in the Light of Second-Order Cybernetics*. *Constructivist Foundations*, 11(1), 65–73. Retrieved from <http://www.univie.ac.at/constructivism/journal/11/1/065.richards>

Sweeting, B., & Hohl, M. (2015). *Exploring Alternatives to the Traditional Conference Format: Introduction to the Special Issue on Composing Conferences*. *Constructivist Foundations*, 11(1), 1-7. Retrieved from <http://www.univie.ac.at/constructivism/journal/11/1/001.editorial>