

MEETING ABSTRACT

Open Access

# Prescribing workload administration to optimise isothermic heat acclimation

Oliver R Gibson\*, Peter W Watt, Neil S Maxwell

From 15th International Conference on Environmental Ergonomics (ICEE XV)  
Portsmouth, UK. 28 June - 3 July 2015

## Introduction

Repeated exercise-heat exposures, known as heat acclimation (HA), are often implemented as an intervention to attenuate decrements in physiological strain and exercise tolerance prior to work in normothermic and hot, humid conditions. The fundamental potentiating stimuli for thermoregulatory adaptation are repeated, significant rises in core temperature. Targeting of a specific core temperature is known as isothermic, or controlled hyperthermic HA. Different methods of modulating the exercise component of isothermic HA have been implemented, with prescription previously based upon either peak oxygen uptake ( $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ ), power, or subjective ratings of perceived exertion or thermal sensation. Interestingly, metabolic heat production, a measure to determine changes in core temperature, has not been used to prescribe isothermic HA. The aim of this study was to determine the relationship between the rate of rectal (core) temperature ( $T_{\text{rec}}$ ) increase, and different methods for prescribing workload during an acute exercise-heat exposure, with the objective of trying to refine the prescription of isothermic HA workloads.

## Methods

Following preliminary testing to determine  $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ , fifty four male participants (Age 23.1(4.2) years, Height 180 (6) cm, Mass 76.3 (10.1) kg, Body surface area (BSA) 1.95 (0.13)  $\text{m}^2$ , Body Fat 13.8 (4.1) %,  $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$  3.82 (0.66)  $\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) cycled in uncompensable heat stress 40 °C, 39 %rh for 29 (2) min to replicate the active stage of a typical isothermic HA session. The initial exercise workload was prescribed based upon a % $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$  ( $59.7 \pm 8.7$ ). Potential exercise prescription variables corresponding to the actual % $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$  performed were retrospectively calculated following each session as follows mean power

( $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ), power (%max), metabolic heat production (MHP;  $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ), MHP/BSA ( $\text{W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ ), heat production ( $H_{\text{prod}}$ ;  $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ),  $H_{\text{prod}}$ /BSA ( $\text{W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ ),  $\text{VO}_2$  (%peak), heart rate (HR; %max), rating of perceived exertion (RPE) and thermal sensation (TS). Pearson product moment correlations were calculated for each prescriptive workload variable against the rate of change in  $T_{\text{rec}}$  ( $^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{hr}^{-1}$ ) occurring in each session. Linear regression was then performed to determine the workload prescription required to increase  $T_{\text{rec}}$  by 1.5°C within 30 minutes thus replicating an increase from resting (37.0°C) to the isothermic target (38.5°C) temperature.

## Results

All variables demonstrated significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) relationships with the rate of change in  $T_{\text{rec}}$  in ranked order as follows: power ( $r^2 = 0.58$ ; 2.1(0.1)  $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ), MHP ( $r^2 = 0.50$ ; 10.8(2.7)  $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ), MHP/BSA ( $r^2 = 0.46$ ; 419(97)  $\text{W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ ), power ( $r^2 = 0.46$ ; 51 (12) %max),  $H_{\text{prod}}$  ( $r^2 = 0.43$ ; 8.7 (2.1)  $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ),  $H_{\text{prod}}$ /BSA ( $r^2 = 0.39$ ; 336(77)  $\text{W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ ), RPE ( $r^2 = 0.33$ ; 14(2)), HR ( $r^2 = 0.28$ ; 83(10) %max),  $\text{VO}_2$  ( $r^2 = 0.28$ ; 50.8(10.5) %peak), and TS ( $r^2 = 0.10$ ; 6.3(0.6)). Linear regression described the workload prescription to increase  $T_{\text{rec}}$  by 1.5°C in 30 minutes as follows power = 2.7  $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ , MHP = 12.9  $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ , MHP/BSA = 518  $\text{W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ , power = 64 %max,  $H_{\text{prod}}$  = 10.9  $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ,  $H_{\text{prod}}$ /BSA = 422  $\text{W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ , RPE = 17, HR = 95 %max,  $\text{VO}_2$  = 68 %peak, and TS = 8.

## Discussion

Rapid (<30 min) attainment of the target  $T_{\text{rec}}$  for isothermic HA optimises the efficiency of this intervention. Prescription of isothermic HA intensity utilising power ( $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  or %max) or  $H_{\text{prod}}$  ( $\text{W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  or  $\text{W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ ) have the strongest relationship with the rate of change in  $T_{\text{rec}}$ . This is likely due to the direct relationship with the heat balance equation. The calculated workload prescriptions

\* Correspondence: o.r.gibson@brighton.ac.uk  
Centre for Sport and Exercise Science and Medicine (SESAME), University of Brighton, Eastbourne, UK

can therefore, be implemented by practitioners to accurately increase  $T_{rec}$ .

### Conclusion

Prescribing HA workloads utilising power ( $W \cdot kg^{-1}$  or % max), MHP ( $W \cdot kg^{-1}$  or  $W \cdot m^{-2}$ ), or  $H_{prod}$  ( $W \cdot kg^{-1}$  or  $W \cdot m^{-2}$ ) demonstrates least variability of the methods tested. Utilising relative power ( $W \cdot kg^{-1}$ ) or MHP and  $H_{prod}$  to prescribe isothermic HA removes the requirement for a pre HA  $VO_{2peak}$  test.

Published: 14 September 2015

doi:10.1186/2046-7648-4-S1-A83

**Cite this article as:** Gibson *et al.*: Prescribing workload administration to optimise isothermic heat acclimation. *Extreme Physiology & Medicine* 2015 4(Suppl 1):A83.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central  
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at  
[www.biomedcentral.com/submit](http://www.biomedcentral.com/submit)

