Tears of the fascia cruris demonstrate characteristic sonographic features: a case series analysis

(Running Title: Ultrasound features of crural fascia tears)

Abstract

Background: Fascia cruris (FC) tears have recently been recognised in the literature, although little is known about their characteristic ultrasound findings. The aim was to describe the echo-graphic features of FC tears in order to improve recognition and diagnosis.

Methods: The ultrasound reports and images of >600 patients attending a specialist musculoskeletal clinic for Achilles tendon ultrasound scans between October 2010-May 2014 were reviewed. Any patient diagnosed with a FC tear had a structured data set extracted. All ultrasound images were performed by one consultant radiologist. Bilateral Achilles images were available for analysis.

Results: Sixteen patients from >600 subjects were diagnosed with a FC tear. Fourteen subjects were male and two female (mean age 37.8; range 23-61), with seven elite level sportsmen. Nine tears were right sided and seven left, with eight situated laterally and seven medially. Seven of the tears were situated in the musculotendinous junction. Symptomatic Achilles tendinopathy co-existed in 10 of 16 subjects (average transverse diameter of Achilles tendon = 7.1±2.0mm).

Conclusion: FC tears should be considered in the differential diagnoses for Achillodynia, diagnosed using their characteristic ultrasound findings, with a hypoechoic area at the medial or lateral attachment to the Achilles tendon in the transverse plane.

Keywords: Achilles, fascia cruris, MRI, tear, ultrasound

Introduction

The Achilles tendon is the largest tendon in the body consisting of fibres from both gastrocnemius and soleus, and is commonly injured, including Achilles tendinopathy, partial tears and Achilles rupture.[1,2] The fascia cruris is connective tissue that splits the leg into its three recognised muscular compartments: the anterior, posterior and lateral compartments.[3] Within the posterior compartment Stecco et al. (2013) have shown that the fascia cruris divides around the Achilles tendon to form the paratenon, which is then implicated in the production of pain in tendinopathy, due to its high vascularity and innervation.[4] This is in contrast to work by Carmont et al. (2011) who distinguished these as separate layers on dissection in some subjects.[5] It has also been shown that the fascia cruris is thickened in people with tendinopathy, with a mean of 1.30mm versus 1.11mm in a normal subject.[4] The paratenon remains partially separated from the Achilles tendon by loose connective tissue.[4]

Ultrasound (US) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are recognised as useful imaging techniques, when the clinical history and examination does not immediately distinguish the cause of Achillodynia.[2] MRI can be used to distinguish the fascia cruris and paratenon, although the paratenon can become difficult to distinguish near the calcaneal insertion point.[4,6] MRI can be used to measure the thickness of the fascia cruris and to detect tendinopathic changes within the Achilles tendon.[4,6] Ultrasound has the advantage over MRI in that it can provide dynamic assessments of the tendon, has better soft tissue resolution and can establish the grade of neovascularisation present, particularly important in tendinopathic subjects.[2,6]

Until recently injuries to the fascia cruris had not been recognised as a cause of Achillodynia; indeed there is only one paper present in the literature describing a case series of nine athletes with tears of the fascia cruris from the attachment to the paratenon and Achilles tendon.[7] The aim of our study was to enable musculoskeletal clinicians and radiologists to differentially diagnose fascia cruris tears, by analysing and describing a consecutive radiological case series of diagnosed patients diagnosed with a fascia cruris tear from a large long-term cohort of patients with Achillodynia.

57 **Materials and Methods**
58
59 The ultrasound reports and images of all patients who attended the London Independent
60 Hospital for Achilles tendon ultrasound scans between October 2010 and May 2014 were reviewed
61 retrospectively. Patients were referred mainly for Achillodynia from a large referral base including
62 sports medicine clinics, team doctors and physiotherapists. Any patient diagnosed in their
63 ultrasound report as having a fascia cruris tear was identified in our database and the data extracted,
64 including age, gender, level of sport participated in, size and positioning of tear. Any additional
65 imaging techniques used for these subjects, such as MRI, were also obtained and reviewed. Ethical
66 approval for this study was obtained from Queen Mary, University of London Ethics of Research
67 Committee. All work was carried out as per the standards described by Padulo et al. (2013).[8]
68
69 *Ultrasound Imaging*
70 The ultrasound images reviewed were all performed by the same experienced
71 musculoskeletal consultant radiologist (OC) with over 30 years' experience. The same ultrasound
72 scanner was used throughout the study (Elegra, Siemens, Erlangen Germany). Patients attending for
73 Achilles tendon scanning at the London Independent Hospital by OC are always scanned in the
74 same position to allow for comparison at all-time points. The patients are placed in a long sitting
75 position, with their hips flexed and externally rotated, their knees at 90° and their ankles in a neutral
76 position i.e. a seated frogs leg position.[9] A 13MHz ultrasound probe was used.
77 A fascia cruris tear was identified if areas of hypoechoic changes at the medial or lateral
78 attachment to the Achilles tendon in the transverse plane was seen, while the paratenon on the
79 dorsal surface of the tendon was normal. A fascia cruris tear was most commonly viewed in
80 transverse section and to enable the optimum view of the fascia the probe was titled, as per the
81 European Society of Musculoskeletal Radiology technical guidelines for examination of the ankle.[9]
82 In the patients scanned, longitudinal and transverse static and dynamic video images were obtained
83 for both Achilles to allow comparison between sides, alongside Power Doppler imaging. Data
84 regarding maximal antero-posterior tendon diameter, shown by Fredberg et al. (2008) to be a
85 reliable measure, and neovascularisation grading based on a Modified Ohberg scale were recorded
86 at the time of examination.[10-12] It was also noted on the report at the time of scanning if pain was
87 precipitated by the pressure of the ultrasound probe (sonopalpation) at the area of change detected
88 on ultrasound. Subjects were asked if they had Achilles tendinopathy symptoms alongside the pain
89 from the tear, and data regarding this recorded. A multi-disciplinary team approach is used at the
90 time of ultrasound scanning at this specialist musculoskeletal centre with at least one sports
91 physician and consultant physiotherapist present alongside the consultant radiologist to allow
92 discussions and confirmation of diagnoses. From this the data could then be extracted and direct
93 comparisons made when reviewing images and reports
94
95 *Data analysis*
96
97 All data extracted was coded to ensure confidentiality and anonymity in Microsoft Excel.
98 SPSS version 20 was used for analysis of descriptive statistics. Images were also described
99 qualitatively.

Results

Sixteen patients diagnosed with a fascia cruris tear between October 2010 and May 2014 were extracted from a data set of over 600 subjects. There were fourteen males and two females (mean age 37.8 years, range 23-61), with seven elite level sportsmen. The patient characteristics can be seen in Table 1:

Table 1 about here

Ultrasound Findings

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the tears in the sixteen patients. There were nine right fascia cruris tears and seven left fascia cruris tears. Eight were situated laterally to the tendon and seven medial. Seven of the tears were in the musculotendinous junction, of which five were lateral and two medial. One patient (with a star below) was found to have both a large medial fascia cruris tear and a lateral intratendinous tear, as described by Morton et al.(2013).[13] The mean transverse diameter of the Achilles tendon was 7.1±2.0mm. One of the tendon diameters fell close to the normal control value of 4.4mm, as reported by Leung and Griffth (2008), with a tendon diameter of 4.6mm.[14] All of the other tendon diameters were greater than 5.6mm, classified as tendinopathic by Leung and Griffth, with only two of the tendons (including the "normal" 4.6mm tendon) having a neovascularisation grade of 0.[11,14] None of the sixteen patients were found to have more than one fascia cruris tear.

Table 2 about here

The images below show the fascia cruris tear on ultrasound (Figures 1-5) and MRI (Figures 6 and 7).

Figures 1 to 7 about here

Clinical Findings

Of the sixteen subjects, only two reported being asymptomatic for tendinopathy. The remaining ten subjects reported also having concurrent symptoms consistent with Achilles tendinopathy, in addition to the pain from the fascia cruris tear. From the reports it was noted that the subjects often reported a new pin-point tenderness without morning stiffness of an acute onset, unlike tendinopathy where patients typically present with a dull ache of gradual onset throughout their tendon and morning stiffness. Subjects also reported the ability of being able to jog but not push off or spring due to the pin-point pain. On clinical examination there was an area of maximal tenderness, consistent with the area the subject described and also consistent with the changes observed on ultrasound.

Discussion

This study reports sixteen fascia cruris tears (9 right; 7 left) presenting to a specialist MSK radiologist over the course of four years. Fourteen of the tears were present in men with only two in women. Seven out of ten (six data sets unavailable) were elite level athletes, including five current professional footballers. The mean age of presentation was 37.8 years with a range of 23 to 61 years. Ten of the sixteen subjects had concurrent symptomatic Achilles tendinopathy, with a mean tendon diameter of 7.1±2.0mm. These findings should be considered as a possible differential diagnosis when performing ultrasound scans of patients with Achillodynia.

Strengths and Weaknesses of Study

This study builds on the study by Webborn et al. (2014) by showing an additional sixteen cases with greater detail regarding the ultrasound findings, in what is likely to be a rare condition.[7] It looks in detail at the imaging findings and to establish other co-presentations of pathologies to aid clinicians in diagnosis.

However, this study is a retrospective study based on ultrasound reports and images. Ideally confirmation of the ultrasound findings at surgery would be required, although it is recognised that elite athletes will want to aim to avoid surgery and invasive procedures. Therefore it is likely that the images and videos, alongside the ultrasound reports, are sufficient to establish this diagnosis as a cause of Achillodynia. Further work is required that includes long-term follow-up of these patients, especially in regards to changes seen on ultrasound and the optimum treatment regime for this injury.

Comparison to Literature

As described above, this study adds numbers to this newly recognised diagnosis.[7] These findings do, however, differ somewhat from other studies on fascia cruris tears.[7] Previous work suggested a lower mean age of presentation (34.8 years) with a range that was skewed towards a younger population (11 – 48 years), although it is recognised that the numbers in both studies are relatively small. It should also be noted that no subject during the four year period, described in this study, was found to have more than one fascia cruris tear, unlike two patients in the Webborn et al. (2014) study who each experienced separate bilateral fascia cruris tears.[7] One subject in this study was found to have a medial fascia cruris tear and a separate posterior intratendinous tear within the same tendon (see Figure 5). Intratendinous tears have recently been described in the literature as echopoor areas detected on US situated within the tendon associated with a clinical history of point tenderness.[13] This therefore differs from the fascia cruris tear which is separate from the Achilles tendon.[4] The finding of co-existing pathologies is important as it indicates that clinicians must carefully elicit the correct history to endeavour to guide diagnosis; whilst in this case both were found to be painful it may be that two pathologies can co-exist, of which only one is currently the cause of Achillodynia.

Table 2 shows that there were nine right fascia cruris tears and seven left fascia cruris tears. Eight were situated lateral to the tendon and seven medial. Seven of the tears were found at the musculotendinous junction, of which five were lateral and two medial. This therefore makes it difficult to come to any conclusion as to whether it is the fascia cruris from the gastrocnemius or soleus that is more likely injured.[1] In the Webborn et al. (2014) study seven of the eleven tears described were lateral and it was suggested that this was due to tension through the fascia as the foot pronates and supinates.[7] However, due to the essentially equal numbers seen on each side in our data any such mechanism would need to be driven both by supination for lateral tears and pronation for medial.

A comparison study between controls and cases in 2007 showed a statistically significant difference in antero-posterior diameter of the Achilles tendon (5.6mm case v 4.4mm control).[14]

196 Based on these values, only one of the tendon diameters described in Table 2 fell close to this
197 normal control value (4.6mm in the study) with all the others greater than 5.6mm. This would
198 therefore be consistent with Achilles tendinopathy being present alongside the fascia cruris tear.
199 This also correlates with the degree of neovascularisation present, with only two (including the
200 'normal' 4.6mm tendon) having a neovascularisation grading of 0. It should be noted that despite
201 the tendon appearing tendinopathic on ultrasound with an increased tendon diameter, two subjects
202 reported being asymptomatic for tendinopathy; the remainder presented with symptoms consistent
203 with tendinopathy in addition to the pain from the fascia cruris tear, again strengthening the need to
204 carefully elicit the history and to always consider co-existing pathologies. In the Webborn et al.
205 (2014) study only two of the nine patients had ultrasound changes consistent with Achilles
206 tendinopathy.[7] It could be the case, as Frankyln-Miller et al. (2009) suggested, that fascial
207 pathology precedes tendinopathy, and thereby fascia cruris tears proceed tendinopathy, although the
208 timelines for the subjects described in this study do not correspond to this theory completely.[15] It
209 may therefore be that previous Achilles tendinopathy predisposes a patient to a fascia cruris tear, or
210 vice versa, but the important clinical point is that fascial tears can occur with or without
211 tendinopathic changes.

212 Anecdotally, from the ultrasound reports, the subjects all presented with similar symptoms.
213 The subjects often reported pin-point tenderness without morning stiffness of an acute onset, unlike
214 tendinopathy where patients typically present with a dull ache of gradual onset throughout their
215 tendon and morning stiffness. Subjects also reported the ability of being able to jog but not push off
216 or spring due to the pin-point pain. Again anecdotally on clinical examination there was an area of
217 maximal tenderness, consistent with the area the subject described and also consistent with the
218 changes observed on ultrasound. These descriptions are consistent with the description by
219 Webborn et al.,[7] and should be actively described in future prospective studies. It should be noted
220 that this study only describes the diagnosis of a FC tear and as a result the treatment used in the
221 specialist MSK centre is not described; further work on this is required to establish its effectiveness.

222 Figures 1-5 show echo-poor areas seen on ultrasound that correspond to the area of pin-
223 point pain described by the subjects. Figure 3 shows the comparison of a normal to an abnormal
224 image, with figure 4 showing the neovascularisation that has been found to grow into these tears, a
225 useful sign on ultrasound if unsure of the diagnosis. The MRI images (Figures 6 and 7) also show
226 the fascia cruris tear but is perhaps more difficult to detect and it may therefore be that ultrasound
227 imaging is required if a fascia cruris tear is suspected on MRI. It should also be noted that
228 ultrasound imaging is less costly than an MRI, allows side-to-side comparison, allows dynamic
229 movement and can often be organised in a more timely manner, for example immediately in a clinic
230 setting. However, unlike MRI, ultrasound does partially rely on operator skill with subtle probe
231 manipulation required to optimally image the fascia cruris. This level of skill needs to be considered
232 by physicians managing complex cases and if necessary an expert radiological opinion sought.

233 The main differential diagnosis for a fascia cruris tear is peritendinitis or an intratendinous
234 tear.[13] However US features differ in that peritendinitis is said to cause altered intratendinous
235 structure and poorly defined Achilles tendon borders.[16] As can be seen clearly in Figure 1 and 2
236 above in a fascia cruris tear the Achilles tendon itself is not affected and it is instead outside the
237 tendon that the echopoor area is seen. An intratendinous tear is an echpoor area situated centrally
238 and extending to, but not through, the tendon periphery and so again differs from the images seen
239 above.[13]
240
241 *Implications for Clinicians*
242
243 Fascia cruris tears should be considered in the differential diagnoses for Achilles pathology.
244 Such tears can be diagnosed on ultrasound but the examiner needs to be mindful of transversely
245 tilting the probe at the tendon margins to optimally evaluate the fascia cruris, alongside a consistent
246 clinical history and examination. Other imaging techniques such as MRI may also be useful to
247 corroborate ultrasound findings. Fascia cruris tears appear to be more common in patients with

tendinopathy but tendinopathy does not have to be present for a tear to occur, while tears also appear to be more common in subjects performing at a high sporting level. Tears need to be differentiated from acute paratendinitis where the clinical picture and sonographic findings are different. Co-existing pathologies should be considered and the exact cause of pain elicited. Prospective research that includes the sensitivity and specificity of both imaging and examination findings are required alongside assessment of optimal treatments, and its prevalence outside of a specialist centre.

Conclusion

FC tears are a newly recognised differential for Achillodynia. This study shows they can be diagnosed using their characteristic ultrasound findings of a hypoechoic area at the medial or lateral attachment to the Achilles tendon in the transverse plane. The diagnosis should be supported with a consistent clinical history and examination. Concurrent pathologies should be considered alongside the presence of a FC tear.

264 **References**

265

266 1. Doral MN, Alam M, Bozkurt M, Turhan E, Atay OA, Donmez G, Maffulli N. Functional
267 anatomy of the Achilles tendon. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2010;18:638-643.
268 2. Asplund CA, Best TM. Achilles tendon disorders. BMJ 2013;346:f1262.
269 3. Bradshaw C, Hislop M, Hutchinson M. Shin Pain. In: Brukner P, Khan K eds Clinical
270 Sports Medicine. Australia; McGraw-Hill; 2010:30:555.
271 4. Stecco C, Cappellari A, Macchi V, Porzionato A, Morra A, Berizzi A, De Caro R. The
272 paratendineous tissues: an anatomical study of their role in the pathogenesis of tendinopathy. Surg
273 Radiol Anat 2014;36:561-572.
274 5. Carmont MR, Highland AM, Rochester JR, Paling EM, Davies MB. An anatomical and
275 radiological study of the fascia cruris and paratenon of the Achilles tendon. Foot Ankle Surg
276 2011;17:186-192.
277 6. Del Buono A, Chan O, Maffulli N. Achilles tendon: functional anatomy and novel emerging
278 models of imaging classification. Int Orthop 2013;37:715-721.
279 7. Webborn N, Morrissey D, Sarvananthan K, Chan O. Acute tear of the fascia cruris at the
280 attachment to the Achilles tendon: a new diagnosis. Br J Sports Med. 2014 Sep. doi:
281 10.1136/bjsports-2013-093273. [Epub ahead of print]
282 8. Padulo J, Oliva F, Frizziero A, Maffulli N. Muscle, Ligaments and Tendons Journal: Basic
283 principles and recommendations in clinical and field science research. Muscles Ligaments Tendons
284 J. 2013;3:250-252.
285 9. Beggs I, Bianchi S, Bueno A et al. European Society of MusculoSkeletal Radiology:
286 Musculoskletal Ultrasound Technical Guidelines: Ankle. 2013. [Accessed on 27/08/2015]
287 Available from: http://www.essr.org/html/img/pool/ankle.pdf.
288 10. Fredberg U, Bolvig L, Andersen NT, Stengaard-Pedersen K. Ultrasonography in evaluation
289 of Achilles and patella tendon thickness. Ultraschall Med 2008;29:60-65.
290 11. Peers KHE, Brys PPM, Lysens RJJ. Correlation between power Doppler ultrasonography
291 and clinical severity in Achilles tendinopathy. Int Orthop 2003;27:180-183.
292 12. Ohberg L, Lorentzon R, Alfredson H. Neovascularisation in Achilles tendons with painful
293 tendinosis but not in normal tendons: an ultrasonographic investigation. Knee Surg Sports
294 Traumatol Arthrosc 2001;9:233-238.
295 13. Morton S, Parkes T, Chan O, Malliaras P, King JB, Perry JD, Crisp T, Maffulli N, Padhiar
296 N, Morrissey D. Achilles Intratendinous Tears - A New Pathology? Results of a 4 year case series.
297 (Poster) Presented at BASEM October 2013.
298 14. Leung JL, Griffth JF. Sonography of chronic Achilles tendinopathy: A case-control study. J
299 Clin Ultrasound 2008;36:27-32.
300 15. Franklyn-Miller A, Falvey E, McCrory P. Fasciitis first before tendinopathy: does the
301 anatomy hold the key? (Editorial) Br J Sports Med 2009;43:887-889.
302 16. Paavola M, Paakkala T, Kannus P, Jarvinen M. Ultrasonography in the differential diagnosis
303 of Achilles tendon injuries and related disorders. A comparison between pre-operative
304 ultrasonography and surgical findings. Acta Radiol 1998;39:612-619.

305

306 **Table Captions:**

307

308 *Table 1: Subjects Characteristics*

309 *Table 2: Characteristics of the fascia cruris tears observed on ultrasound based on the Del Buono*
310 *et al. classification*[6]

311

312 **Figure Captions:**
313
314 *Figure 1: Ultrasound scan of a left sided fascia cruris tear shown in transverse section with patient*
315 *seated in a "frog's leg" position and the probe tilted (see methods for full description)*
316
317 *Figure 2: Ultrasound scan of a right sided fascia cruris tear shown in transverse section with*
318 *patient seated in a "frog's leg" position and the probe tilted*
319
320 *Figure 3: Ultrasound with comparison of a normal right sided tendon (as marked on scan) to a*
321 *fascia cruris tear on the left (blue arrow) shown in transverse section with patient seated in a*
322 *"frog's leg" position and the probe tilted*
323
324 *Figure 4: Neovascularisation on Power Doppler of the left sided fascia cruris tear (same as shown*
325 *in Figure 3) shown in transverse section with patient seated in a "frog's leg" position and the*
326 *probe tilted*
327
328 *Figure 5: Ultrasound image showing right sided fascia cruris tear (blue arrow) with the top edge of*
329 *a separate intratendinous tear being just visible (red arrow) shown in transverse section with*
330 *patient seated in a "frog's leg" position and the probe tilted. The intratendinous tear is shown as*
331 *an echopoor area within the tendon but not through the tendon periphery, whereas the fascia cruris*
332 *tear is a hypoechoic area outside the tendon.*
333
334 *Figure 6: MRI image of fascia cruris in transverse section (blue arrow) with signal enhancement on*
335 *the medial side of the Achilles tendon*
336
337 *Figure 7: MRI image of fascia cruris in sagittal section (blue arrow) with signal enhancement seen*
338 *just superior to the calcaneus*
339