

## **Oxygen cost of recreational horse-riding in females**

Louisa Beale<sup>a</sup>, Neil S Maxwell<sup>a</sup>, Oliver R Gibson<sup>a</sup>, Rosemary Twomey<sup>a</sup>, Becky Taylor<sup>b</sup> and Andrew Church<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Sport and Service Management, University of Brighton

<sup>b</sup>Plumpton College, University of Brighton

<sup>c</sup>School of Environment and Technology, University of Brighton

**Corresponding author:** Louisa Beale, School of Sport and Service Management, University of Brighton, Denton Road, Eastbourne BN20 7SR, United Kingdom. Email: L.beale@brighton.ac.uk. Telephone: 01273 643759. Fax: 01273 643704

1 **Oxygen cost of recreational horse-riding in females**

2

3 Running head: Oxygen cost of recreational horse-riding

4 Manuscript Type: Original Research

5 Keywords: Exercise intensity, energy expenditure, physical activity

6 Abstract Word Count: 197

7 Manuscript Word Count: 3,035

8 Date of manuscript submission: 21<sup>st</sup> October 2012

9 Date of submission of revised manuscript: 9<sup>th</sup> September 2013

10 Date of submission of second revised manuscript: 8<sup>th</sup> May 2013

11

12 **Abstract**

13 **Background:** The purpose of this study was to characterize the physiological demands of a riding session  
14 comprising different types of recreational horse riding in females. **Methods:** Sixteen female recreational  
15 riders (aged 17-54 years) completed an incremental cycle ergometer exercise test to determine peak  
16 oxygen consumption ( $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ ) and a 45 minute riding session based upon a British Horse Society Stage  
17 2 riding lesson (including walking, trotting, cantering and work without stirrups). Oxygen consumption  
18 ( $\text{VO}_2$ ), from which metabolic equivalent (MET) and energy expenditure values were derived, was  
19 measured throughout. **Results:** The mean  $\text{VO}_2$  requirement for trotting/cantering ( $18.4 \pm 5.1 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$ ;  
20  $52 \pm 12\% \text{ VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ ;  $5.3 \pm 1.1 \text{ METs}$ ) was similar to walking/trotting ( $17.4 \pm 5.1 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$ ;  $48 \pm$   
21  $13\% \text{ VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ ;  $5.0 \pm 1.5 \text{ METs}$ ) and significantly higher than for work without stirrups ( $14.2 \pm 2.9 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}.$   
22  $\text{min}^{-1}$ ;  $41 \pm 12\% \text{ VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ ;  $4.2 \pm 0.8 \text{ METs}$ ) ( $P = 0.001$ ). **Conclusions:** The oxygen cost of different  
23 activities typically performed in a recreational horse riding session meets the criteria for moderate  
24 intensity exercise (3-6 METs) in females, and trotting combined with cantering imposes the highest  
25 metabolic demand. Regular riding could contribute to the achievement of the public health  
26 recommendations for physical activity in this population.

27

28 **Introduction**

29

30 Physical activity guidelines for health promotion recommend that all healthy adults aged 18-65 years  
31 should aim to take part in at least 150 minutes of moderate intensity aerobic activity each week, or at  
32 least 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity aerobic activity each week, or equivalent combinations of  
33 moderate and vigorous intensity aerobic activities.<sup>1-3</sup> Exercise intensity may be expressed as an absolute  
34 measure, for example metabolic equivalents (METs) where one MET is equivalent to oxygen  
35 consumption ( $\text{VO}_2$ ) at rest ( $3.5 \text{ ml.O}_2.\text{kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$ ), or as a relative measure such as percentage of maximal  
36 oxygen consumption ( $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ ). A perceptual scale such as Borg's Rating of Perceived Exertion (RPE)<sup>4</sup>  
37 may also be used as a subjective measure of intensity. In terms of energy expenditure, one MET is also  
38 expressed as a standard resting metabolic rate of  $1.0 \text{ kcal (4.184 kJ).kg}^{-1}.\text{h}^{-1}$ .<sup>5</sup> Moderate intensity physical  
39 activity is categorized as 3-6 METS, 40-60%  $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$  or an RPE of 12-13.<sup>2,6</sup> Vigorous intensity exercise  
40 is 6-9 METs, 60-85%  $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$  or RPE of 14-16.

41

42 In addition to popular activities such as walking and cycling, a wide variety of leisure, household and  
43 occupational activities performed at a moderate intensity may contribute to individuals achieving the  
44 recommended amount of physical activity. However, some popular leisure activities, for example golf  
45 and some Nintendo Wii sports, may not be of a sufficient intensity to offer health benefits.<sup>7,8</sup> Horse  
46 riding as a leisure pursuit offers an opportunity to increase physical activity. It has been identified as one  
47 of several "green exercises" (activities involving contact with the natural environment and green space)  
48 that promote good health by improving self-esteem and mood.<sup>9</sup> Psychotherapeutic benefits from horse  
49 riding therapy have also been observed.<sup>10</sup> In the UK, there are more than 430 riding clubs affiliated to  
50 the British Horse Society, which has over 34,000 members. In a survey of 1,248 recreational horse riders,  
51 68% respondents said that they exercised at a moderate intensity for 30 minutes at least 3 times per week

52 by horse riding and/or associated activities (e.g. grooming and mucking-out).<sup>11</sup> Over a third indicated  
53 that horse riding was the only form of physical activity that they had participated in during the preceding  
54 4 weeks. Ninety three percent of respondents were female, and half of these were aged 45 or above, a  
55 population group for whom physical activity levels are generally low.<sup>12</sup> Similarly a major national survey  
56 shows that the gender (90% female) and age profile (a large proportion of over 45 year olds) of  
57 equestrianism is not matched by any other sport in the UK.<sup>13</sup>

58

59 There is at present limited and, indeed, conflicting empirical evidence to show if the physiological  
60 demands of recreational horse riding are likely to confer health benefits. The Compendium of Physical  
61 Activities<sup>5,14</sup> includes MET intensity levels for specific physical activities and is used to identify  
62 examples of moderate and vigorous intensity activities and to evaluate the contributions of various types  
63 of physical activity to daily energy expenditure. The value for general horseback riding is given as 5.5  
64 METs, based on data averaged from walking (3.8 METs), trotting (5.8 METs) and cantering or galloping  
65 (7.3 METs). These values may not represent the physiological demand of recreational horse-riding in  
66 females as they are derived from indirect calorimetry measurements taken several decades ago in a small  
67 number of young male soldiers<sup>15</sup>, Guatemalan male peasants performing agricultural activities<sup>16</sup>, and  
68 more recently in five experienced competitive riders of whom only three were female.<sup>17</sup>

69

70 Technical innovation in the development of portable gas analysis systems has enabled the valid  
71 measurement of expired air and energy expenditure in the field during different physical activities.<sup>18-20</sup>  
72 In addition to the aforementioned study<sup>17</sup>, two other studies have assessed the physiological demands of  
73 horse riding. In sixteen female equestrian athletes participating in a simulated one day event competition,  
74  $\text{VO}_2$  was equivalent to 6 METs ( $20.4 \pm 4 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$ ) during dressage, 8 METS ( $28.1 \pm 4.2 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}.$   
75  $\text{min}^{-1}$ ) during show jumping and 9 METS ( $31.2 \pm 6.6 \text{ ml. kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$ ) during cross country.<sup>21</sup> There was

76 variability in the oxygen cost between riders performing in the same simulated competition but riding  
77 different horses. An earlier study of thirteen experienced and three elite horse riders reported that the  
78 intensity of walking, trotting and cantering ranged from 40-80%  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ , where walking and trotting  
79 fitted the classification of moderate intensity while cantering was vigorous intensity ( $> 60\% \text{VO}_2\text{max}$ ).<sup>22</sup>

80

81 The above studies provide information about competitive equestrianism, but the physiological demands  
82 of modern-day recreational riding and its potential contribution to health-related energy expenditure is  
83 not well-documented. A three month training programme of moderate intensity simulated mechanical  
84 horse riding improved metabolic health in middle-aged and elderly individuals with type II diabetes.<sup>23,24</sup>  
85 However, in younger healthy females with higher baseline fitness a 14 week horse riding training  
86 programme did not provide an adequate stimulus to improve health and fitness.<sup>25</sup>

87

88 The purpose of this study was to characterize the physiological demands of different types of horse riding  
89 in females during a recreational horse riding session. A secondary aim was to ascertain whether the  
90 intensity of the different riding activities was sufficient to be classed as at least 'moderate' ( $\geq 3 \text{ METS}$ )  
91 and could therefore contribute to the current physical activity for health recommendations.

92

## 93 **Methods**

94

### 95 *Participants*

96

97 Twenty mixed-ability female recreational horse riders aged between 17 and 54 years were recruited from  
98 the population of students and staff on University-based Equine Studies-related courses. The participants  
99 were limited to volunteers with no known cardiovascular/pulmonary disease, pregnancy, metabolic

100 disorders or contraindications to exercise as determined by a medical questionnaire. Nine participants  
101 were categorised as novice riders (< 2 years' experience) and seven as experienced riders (> 2 years'  
102 experience). Eight individuals were categorised as "very active" (> 6 hr.week<sup>-1</sup> activity ≥ moderate  
103 intensity, seven as "active" (3-6 hr.week<sup>-1</sup> activity ≥ moderate intensity) and one as "moderately active"  
104 (1-3 hr.week<sup>-1</sup> activity ≥ moderate intensity). Participation in recreational horse riding (including similar  
105 activities to those described below under "Horse Riding Session") was between one and seven hours per  
106 week (mean 4 ± 1 hr.week<sup>-1</sup>). Prior to enrolment in the study, participants were provided with verbal and  
107 written explanations of the purpose, procedures, possible benefits, risks and discomforts associated with  
108 participation. Following this full explanation, written informed consent was obtained, in addition to  
109 written parental consent for volunteers aged under 18 years. The study was granted institutional ethical  
110 approval and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

111  
112 Laboratory procedures took place during one visit to the University Human Performance Laboratory,  
113 followed 1-2 weeks later by a horse riding session in an equestrian centre.

114  
115 *Anthropometry*

116  
117 Participants visited the Laboratory having refrained from eating for at least two hours, and from heavy  
118 exercise and alcohol consumption for 24 hours. Height (m) and weight (kg) were measured using  
119 calibrated scales and a stadiometer (Detecto, USA). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing  
120 body mass by the square of the subjects' height. Skinfold thickness was measured to the nearest 0.2 mm  
121 at iliac crest, subscapular, triceps, and biceps skinfold sites and used to calculate body density.<sup>26</sup>  
122 Percentage body fat was estimated from body density values using the Siri equation.<sup>27</sup>

123

124 *Laboratory cycle ergometer test*

125

126 Participants performed a maximal incremental cycling test on an SRM cycle ergometer (Schroberer Rad  
127 Messtechnik, Weldorf, Germany). Following a 5 minute warm-up at an intensity of 50 Watts (W),  
128 starting power was set to 90 W or 100 W with increments of 10 W.min<sup>-1</sup> or 13 W.min<sup>-1</sup> respectively for  
129 older/less active or younger/more active participants respectively.<sup>28</sup> All participants were encouraged to  
130 continue cycling until volitional exhaustion. Expired air was analysed using a portable indirect  
131 calorimetry gas-exchange system (MetaMax®3X, Cortex Biophysik, Leipzig, Germany). This consists  
132 of a processing unit containing oxygen and carbon dioxide analysers and a battery pack, both worn by  
133 participants in a harness on the chest (weight = 1.5 kg) and a facemask (Hans Rudolph, Kansas City,  
134 USA) containing a turbine flow meter and a sample line connected to the processing unit. The  
135 recommended calibration procedure was conducted prior to each laboratory test. Gas sensors were  
136 calibrated against known concentration gases, respiratory volumes were calibrated using a 3 L syringe,  
137 and ambient air measurements were conducted repeatedly. VO<sub>2</sub> was averaged over a 10 second period,  
138 and VO<sub>2peak</sub> was calculated as the highest value from a 30s rolling average during the final stage of the  
139 test. VO<sub>2peak</sub> was also expressed as a percentage of predicted value.<sup>29</sup>

140

141 *Horse riding session*

142

143 Participants completed a standardised 45 minute horse riding session lead by a qualified instructor at an  
144 indoor equestrian centre. The session protocol was based on a British Horse Society Stage 2 riding lesson  
145 (Table 1) aimed at intermediate level recreational riders. This followed the “English” rather than  
146 “Western” style of riding and included the posting trot, where riders rise and sit in rhythm with the horse’s  
147 stride. 4 different horses were used. These were selected on the basis of similar +/- 1 inch in height and



148 similar temperament. All horses were familiar with the environment, and the riders were familiar with  
149 riding these horses.

150

151 RPE was recorded at 15 minute intervals without disruption to the horse riding session. Expired air was  
152 analysed continuously throughout the 45 minute protocol via the same MetaMax®3X portable metabolic  
153 measurement system used in the laboratory test, which was calibrated using ambient air prior to every  
154 horse riding session. Respiratory gas parameters were collected for each breath and data were averaged  
155 over 10s. Average values were calculated for  $\text{VO}_2$ , carbon dioxide production ( $\text{VCO}_2$ ), respiratory  
156 exchange ratio (RER) and minute ventilation ( $\text{V}_E$ ) and energy expenditure ( $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) for the 45 minutes  
157 session. Average values were also calculated for the walk and trot work (5-15 min), trot and canter work  
158 (15-25 min), and work without stirrups (25-35 min) sections of the session.

159

#### 160 *Data Analysis*

161

162 Data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20. (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.)  
163 Descriptive data is reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. To determine the intensity of the different  
164 types of horse riding,  $\text{VO}_2$  was expressed as METs.  $\text{VO}_2$  was also calculated as a percentage of individual  
165  $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$  values determined from the laboratory test, and energy expenditure ( $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) was estimated  
166 from  $\text{VO}_2$  values using the energy release for  $\text{VO}_2$  constant of 4.9 kcal per 1 L  $\text{O}_2$ .<sup>30</sup>  $\text{VO}_2$  and intensity  
167 were compared for the different riding activities and level of experience using a 3 x 2 factorial ANOVA  
168 with post hoc Bonferroni comparisons. Pearson's correlational analysis was used to examine the  
169 relationship between oxygen cost and age, body mass, % body fat, riding frequency and fitness.  
170 Statistical significance was set at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

171

172

173 **Results**

174

175 Twenty participants visited the Laboratory and completed the cycle ergometer test. Four participants did  
176 not complete the study as they were unable to attend the riding session. Participant characteristics are  
177 presented in Table 2. Test termination was due to volitional exhaustion in all participants. Peak power  
178 output was  $180 \pm 26$  W, peak RPE  $19 \pm 1$  and peak RER  $1.16 \pm 0.09$ . Table 3 presents the data for the  
179 different horse-riding activities performed during the session. There were significant effects by riding  
180 activity, with post hoc analysis confirming differences between “trot/canter” and “without stirrups” ( $P =$   
181  $0.001$ ). There were no differences in oxygen cost, intensity or RPE between experienced and novice  
182 riders. Figure 1 displays mean MET values averaged over each minute of riding session. Over the whole  
183 45 minute riding session average METs were  $4.6 \pm 0.9$ , RPE was  $13 \pm 2$  and energy expenditure was  $241$   
184  $\pm 73$  kcal. There were no significant correlations between age, body mass, % body fat, riding frequency  
185 or fitness and oxygen cost, with the exception of BMI and % body fat which were positively related to  
186 the oxygen cost of work without stirrups ( $r = 0.688$ ,  $P = 0.009$  and  $r = 0.662$ ,  $P = 0.009$  respectively).

187

188 **Discussion**

189

190 The purpose of this study was to characterize the physiological demands of different activities during a  
191 recreational horse-riding session, and to ascertain whether the intensity could be classed as at least  
192 moderate. In a group of female riders the average MET value was 5.0 for walking combined with trotting,  
193 5.3 for trotting combined with cantering, and 4.2 for riding without stirrups. These recreational horse  
194 riding activities therefore conform to the classification for moderate intensity activity (3–6 METs) and  
195 may contribute to health-related physical activity benefits. When expressed as %  $\text{VO}_2\text{peak}$ , these riding  
196 activities also exceeded the lower threshold commonly used to define moderate exercise (40%  $\text{VO}_2\text{peak}$ ),

197 while perception of effort was within or higher than the associated RPE range of 11-13.<sup>2,6</sup>  
198 Trotting/cantering induced a significantly higher metabolic cost than exercising without stirrups, but did  
199 not classify as vigorous exercise (> 6 METs), and was lower than the 5.8 and 7.3 METs for trotting and  
200 cantering/galloping respectively reported in the updated Compendium of Physical Activities.<sup>5, 14</sup> None  
201 of the riding activities performed by our recreational female riders reached the Compendium's 5.5 METs  
202 for general horse riding, derived by averaging the METs for walking (3.8), trotting (5.8) and  
203 cantering/galloping (7.3), based on data collected several decades ago from male soldiers and  
204 Guatemalan agricultural workers<sup>15,16</sup>, and more recently in 5 competitive riders of whom 3 were female.<sup>17</sup>  
205  
206 The latter study by Devienne and Guezenne (2000) measured energy expenditure during dressage and  
207 jumping activities, and reported METs of 3 for walking, 7 for trotting, 9 for cantering and 11 for  
208 jumping.<sup>17</sup> Averaging the walking and trotting values gives 5 METs, which matches the walk/trot METs  
209 in our study. It is also interesting that trotting elicited an intensity of  $48 \pm 14\%$   $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  in the  
210 competitive dressage riders, matching the  $48 \pm 13\%$   $\text{VO}_2\text{peak}$  for the walk/trot section in our study. The  
211 reason for the matching intensities in terms of %  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  despite the higher absolute energy cost in  
212 Devienne and Guezenne's study is that their riders were more aerobically trained, with average  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$   
213 values of  $55 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$  compared with  $37 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1}$   $\text{VO}_2\text{peak}$  in our riders. Nevertheless, our riders  
214 were fitter than average, with  $\text{VO}_2\text{peak}$  values 19% higher than predicted for their age and gender, and  
215 all but one were categorised as active or very active. In both studies there is a high degree of variability  
216 in exercise intensity among riders for the same activity, both in terms of METS and %  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ . For  
217 example, the standard deviation around the mean METs was  $\pm 1.5$  for walking/trotting in our study and  
218 trotting in their study. This variability is higher than in other studies measuring the metabolic cost of  
219 household, garden and recreational activities in older individuals, for example sweeping ( $4.1 \pm 0.7$   
220 METs), lawn-mowing ( $5 \pm 0.7$  METs) and golfing ( $2.8 \pm 0.5$  METs).<sup>7, 31</sup>

221

222 Individual differences in the oxygen cost of movement can be explained by factors including age, body  
223 mass, environmental conditions, fitness or mechanical efficiency. Differences in riding experience,  
224 technique, and motivation towards the task may also contribute to the inter-individual differences for the  
225 same riding activity. In experienced and elite riders, the reported oxygen cost of trotting and cantering is  
226 approximately 70% higher than in our group of recreational riders.<sup>21,22</sup> We did not detect any differences  
227 in physiological demand between novice and experienced riders, nor was age or fitness related to the  
228 oxygen cost of different activities. Higher body mass and percentage of body fat were positively related  
229 to oxygen cost, but only during work without stirrups.

230

231 Participants expended on average  $241 \pm 73$  kcal during the 45 min session combining different riding  
232 activities, which they confirmed was representative of a typical ride for them, suggesting that they would  
233 have to repeat this 3-5 times per week to achieve the recommended 800-1200 kcal weekly energy  
234 expenditure.<sup>2</sup> Nevertheless, a 14 week intervention, during which similar horse-riding activities were  
235 performed 5 days per week, did not significantly improve health and physical fitness in similar sample  
236 of females.<sup>25</sup> The authors recommended that riding activity needs to be supplemented with alternative  
237 aerobic and load-bearing training in this population. The British Horse Society survey showed that  
238 recreational riders also participate in associated horse-care activities such as mucking out and grooming,  
239 which may also contribute to health-related energy expenditure and fitness improvements. In a recent  
240 field study, we measured ambulatory  $\text{VO}_2$  in 8 females (18-47 yrs) during manure removal from a grazing  
241 paddock, mucking out a stable and grooming a horse (Beale et al, unpublished data). Physiological  
242 responses were similar for manure removal ( $4.9 \pm 1.0$  METs,  $65 \pm 6\%$  predicted maximal heart rate, RPE  
243  $11 \pm 1$ ) and mucking out ( $4.6 \pm 1.2$  METs,  $67 \pm 5\%$  predicted maximal heart rate, RPE  $12 \pm 1$ ), and  
244 lower for grooming ( $3.7 \pm 0.9$  METs,  $65 \pm 9\%$  predicted maximal heart rate, RPE  $9 \pm 1$ ). These data

245 suggest that the additional activities associated with recreational horse riding are also of sufficient  
246 intensity to contribute to the achievement of the physical activity recommendations in females. However,  
247 further studies are needed to more fully characterise horse-riding as a recreational activity, exploring the  
248 physiological demands of habitual riding and horse-care activities in terms of type, frequency and  
249 duration, followed by a training study to confirm whether this translates into physiological health  
250 benefits. Recreational off-road vehicle riding has recently been examined from this perspective.<sup>32,33</sup>

251

252 The limitations of the current study are that the sample size is small and consists only of females, although  
253 this does reflect statistical evidence that the large majority of recreational horse-riders are women.  
254 However, the majority of our participants were younger than the over 45 yr old group that constitutes  
255 half of all recreational riders. MET values were based on the premise that 1 MET is equivalent to 3.5 ml  
256 O<sub>2</sub>.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup> in all individuals, a concept that has been challenged as overestimating the oxygen cost at  
257 rest.<sup>34</sup> Data collection was limited to a structured riding session in an indoor riding school, which may  
258 not reflect the oxygen cost and energy expenditure during typical recreational riding activities.

259

## 260 **Conclusion**

261

262 This study provides novel data on the physical demand of different recreational horse riding activities in  
263 females, and indicates that these activities meet the criteria for moderate intensity physical activity and  
264 may therefore contribute to public health guidelines. Future directions from this exploratory investigation  
265 would be to determine whether a period of regular horse-riding results in improvements in physical  
266 fitness, psychological well-being and quality of life indices.

267

## 268 **Acknowledgements**

269 We are grateful to Alex Kaley for her organisation of the data collection at the Equestrian Centre, and to  
270 the staff, students and horses who participated in this study.

271

272 **Funding Source**

273 The study was funded by the British Horse Society

274

**References**

275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298

1. World Health Organisation. Global recommendations on physical activity for health; 2010.
2. O'Donovan G, Blazeovich AJ, Boreham C, et al. The ABC of Physical Activity for Health: a consensus statement from the British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences. *J Sports Sci.* 2010 28(6):573-91.
3. Department of Health. Physical Activity Guidelines in the UK: Review and Recommendations. London: Department of Health; 2010.
4. Borg G. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1982;14(5):377-81.
5. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, et al. Compendium of physical activities: an update of activity codes and MET intensities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000 Sep;32(9 Suppl):S498-504.
6. Norton K, Norton L, Sadgrove D. Position statement on physical activity and exercise intensity terminology. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2010 Sep;13(5):496-502.
7. Dear JB, Porter MM, Ready AE. Energy expenditure during golfing and lawn mowing in older adult men. *J Aging Phys Act.* 2010;18(2):185-200.
- 8 Willems M, Bond T. Comparison of Physiological and Metabolic Responses to Playing Nintendo Wii Sports and Brisk Treadmill Walking. *Journal of Human Kinetics.* 2009;22:43-9.
9. Pretty J, Peacock J, Hine R, Sellens M, South N, Griffin M. Green exercise in the UK countryside: Effects on health and psychological well-being, and implications for policy and planning. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management.* 2007;50(2):211-31.
10. Burgon H. Case studies of adults receiving horse-riding therapy. *Anthrozoos: A Multidisciplinary Journal of The Interactions of People & Animals.* 2003;16(3):263-76.
11. The British Horse Society. The health benefits of horse riding in the UK; 2011.
12. The Information Centre for Health and Social Care. Health Survey for England 2008. Leeds, UK; 2008.

- 299 13. Sport England. Active People Survey (2010/11). 2010 [cited; Available from:  
300 [http://www.sportengland.org/research/active\\_people\\_survey/aps5.aspx](http://www.sportengland.org/research/active_people_survey/aps5.aspx)
- 301 14. Ainsworth B, WL H, SD H, et al. Compendium of Physical Activities: a second update of codes and  
302 MET values. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011;43(8):1575-81.
- 303 15. Passmore A, Durnin J. Human Energy Expenditure. *Phys Rev.* 1955;35(4):801-40.
- 304 16. Viteri FE, Torún B, Galicia JC, Herrera E. Determining energy costs of agricultural activities by  
305 respirometer and energy balance techniques. *Am J Clin Nut.* 1971;24(12):1418-30.
- 306 17. Devienne MF, Guezennec CY. Energy expenditure of horse riding. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2000;82(5-  
307 6):499-503.
- 308 18. Meyer T, Davison RCR, Kindermann W. Ambulatory gas exchange measurements - Current status  
309 and future options. *Int J Sports Med.* 2005;26:S19-S27.
- 310 19. Leonard WR. Laboratory and field methods for measuring human energy expenditure. *Am J Hum*  
311 *Biol.* 2012;24(3):372-84.
- 312 20. Graves LE, Ridgers ND, Stratton G. The contribution of upper limb and total body movement to  
313 adolescents' energy expenditure whilst playing Nintendo Wii. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2008;104(4):617-23.
- 314 21. Roberts M, Shearman J, Marlin D. A comparison of the metabolic cost of the three phases of the one-  
315 day event in female collegiate riders. *Comparative Exercise Physiology.* 2009;6:129-35.
- 316 22. Westerling D. A study of physical demands in riding. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.*  
317 1983;50(3):373-82.
- 318 23. Kubota M, Nagasaki M, Tokudome M, Shinomiya Y, Ozawa T, Sato Y. Mechanical horseback riding  
319 improves insulin sensitivity in elder diabetic patients. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2006 Feb;71(2):124-30.
- 320 24. Hosaka Y, Nagasaki M, Bajotto G, Shinomiya Y, Ozawa T, Sato Y. Effects of daily mechanical  
321 horseback riding on insulin sensitivity and resting metabolism in middle-aged type 2 diabetes mellitus  
322 patients. *J Med Sci.* 2010;72(3-4):129-37.



- 323 25. Meyers MC. Effect of equitation training on health and physical fitness of college females. *Eur J*  
324 *Appl Physiol.* 2006;98(2):177-84.
- 325 26. Durnin JV, Womersley J. Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation from skinfold  
326 thickness: measurements on 481 men and women aged from 16 to 72 years. *Br J Nutr.* 1974;32(1):77-  
327 97.
- 328 27. Siri WE. Body composition from fluid spaces and density. (1961). In: *Techniques For Measuring*  
329 *Body Composition*, J. Brozek and A. Henschel (Eds.). Washington DC, USA: National Academy of  
330 Sciences National Research Council.; 1961.
- 331 28. British Association of Sport and Exercise Science. Guidelines for the physiological testing of athletes.  
332 Leeds, UK: BASES; 1997.
- 333 29. Jones NL, Makrides L, Hitchcock C, Chypchar T, McCartney N. Normal standards for an incremental  
334 progressive cycle ergometer test. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1985 May;131(5):700-8.
- 335 30. McArdle W, Katch F, Katch V. *Sports & Exercise Nutrition*. Baltimore, USA. 1999.
- 336 31. Gunn SM, Brooks AG, Withers RT, Gore CJ, Plummer JL, Cormack J. The energy cost of household  
337 and garden activities in 55-to 65-year-old males. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2005;94(4):476-86.
- 338 32. Burr JF, Jamnik, VK, Shaw, JA, Gledhill, N. Physiological demands of off-road vehicle riding. *Med*  
339 *Sci Sports Exerc.* 2010 42(7): 1345-54
- 340 33. Burr JF, Jamnik, VK, Gledhill, N. Physiological fitness and health adaptations from purposeful  
341 training using off-road vehicles. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2011; 111(8):1841-50
- 342 34. Byrne N, Hills A, Hunter G, Weinsier R, Schutz Y. Metabolic equivalent: one size does not fit all. *J*  
343 *Appl Physiol.* 2005;99(3):1112-9.
- 344
- 345

346 Table 1: Horse-Riding Protocol

<b>Time</b>	<b>Activity</b>
0 - 5 min	Walk warm up
5 - 15 min	Walk and trot
15- 25 min	Trot and canter work
25 - 35 min	Work without stirrups - sitting
35 - 45 min	Cool down

347

348 Table 2. Descriptive characteristics of participants (n = 16, female)

Variable	Mean $\pm$ SD	Range
Age (yr)	25 $\pm$ 11	17 - 54
Height (m)	1.63 $\pm$ 0.05	1.51 - 1.74
Weight (kg)	66.2 $\pm$ 17.1	45.1 - 109.0
BMI (kg.m <sup>2</sup> )	24.7 $\pm$ 5.4	17.6 - 37.7
Body fat (%)	30.6 $\pm$ 6.4	20.2 - 44.8
VO <sub>2</sub> peak (L.min <sup>-1</sup> )	2.407 $\pm$ 0.519	1.741 - 3.459
VO <sub>2</sub> peak (ml.kg <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )	37.2 $\pm$ 7.4	29.1 - 56.8
VO <sub>2</sub> peak as % predicted value	119 $\pm$ 30	79 - 182

349

350

351 Table 3: Mean  $\pm$  SD values for absolute and relative oxygen consumption ( $\text{VO}_2$ ), percentage of individual  
 352 peak oxygen consumption ( $\% \text{VO}_2\text{peak}$ ), metabolic equivalent (MET), energy expenditure (EE) and RPE  
 353 during the different types of riding.

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

	walk/trot	trot/canter	no stirrups
	5-15 min	15-25 min	25-35 min
$\text{VO}_2$ ( $\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ )	$1.122 \pm 0.287$	$1.240 \pm 0.430^*$	$0.999 \pm 0.499$
$\text{VO}_2$ ( $\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ )	$17.4 \pm 5.1$	$18.4 \pm 3.9^*$	$14.5 \pm 2.9$
$\% \text{VO}_2\text{peak}$	$48 \pm 13$	$52 \pm 12^*$	$41 \pm 12$
MET <sup>a</sup>	$5.0 \pm 1.5$	$5.3 \pm 1.1^*$	$4.2 \pm 0.8$
EE ( $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ )	$5.6 \pm 1.4$	$6.2 \pm 2.2^*$	$5.0 \pm 2.2$
RPE	$12 \pm 2$	$14 \pm 2$	$14 \pm 2$

<sup>a</sup> MET = metabolic equivalent where 1 MET is equivalent to  $\text{VO}_2 = 3.5 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$   
<sup>\*</sup> $P < 0.05$  (“trot/canter” different from “no stirrups”)