Ethical dilemmas and validity issues related to the use of new cooling technologies and early recognition of exertional heat illness in sport

Borja Muniz-Pardos 1, Konstantinos Angeloudis 2, Fergus M Guppy 2,3, Kumpei Tanisawa 4, Yuri Hosokawa 3, Garrett I Ash 5,6, Wolfgang Schobersberger 7, Andrew J Grundstein 8, Furnihiro Yamasawa 9, Sebastien Racinais 10, Douglas J Casa 11

ABSTRACT
The Tokyo 2020 Olympic Games is expected to be among the hottest Games in modern history, increasing the chances for exertional heat stroke (EHS) incidence, especially in non-acclimatised athletes/workers/spectators. The urgent need to recognise EHS symptoms to protect all attendees’ health has considerably accelerated research examining the most effective cooling strategies and the development of wearable cooling technology and real-time temperature monitoring. While these technological advances will aid the early identification of EHS cases, there are several potential ethical considerations for governing bodies and sports organisers. For example, the impact of recently developed cooling wearables on health and performance is unknown. Concerning improving athletic performance in a hot environment, there is uncertainty about this technology’s availability to all athletes. Furthermore, the real potential to obtain real-time core temperature data will oblige medical teams to make crucial decisions around their athletes continuing their competitions or withdraw. Therefore, the aim of this review is (1) to summarise the practical applications of the most novel cooling strategies/technologies for both safety (of athletes, spectators and workers) and performance purposes, and (2) to inform of the opportunities offered by recent technological developments for the early recognition and diagnosis of EHS. These opportunities are presented alongside several ethical dilemmas that require sports governing bodies to react by regulating the validity of recent technologies and their availability to all.

BACKGROUND
While the Tokyo 2020 Olympics has been postponed to summer 2021, the environmental conditions are expected to be comparable or even more challenging than the conditions experienced during the last few years, with daily air temperatures exceeding 30°C and relative humidity levels above 70%. For example, during the summer of 2018 and 2019, Tokyo suffered severe heatwaves that led to thousands of hospitalisations and a notable surge in victims of heat-related death nationwide. However, it is worth noting that temperatures during summer 2020 have been extraordinarily low compared with the previous 2 years (figure 1), which is an unexpectedly favourable trend that cannot be assured for the upcoming years. July and August are the warmest, most humid and wettest months in Tokyo, with noticeable convective shifts in early August, which bring more intense heat and humidity. Given that predictions point towards increases in
the frequency and intensity of heatwaves worldwide in response to climate change, these conditions are also likely to become more prevalent in the future. Hosokawa et al used a 36-year (1980–2016) modelled climatological wet-bulb globe temperature (WBGT). This index integrates the influences of temperature, humidity, wind speed, sun angle and solar radiation that can affect heat stress to evaluate heat risk at the venues that will be hosting international sporting events (eg, Tokyo Olympics). These data revealed that the WBGT might exceed 30°C in 40%–50% of the late mornings and early afternoons of the scheduled days during the competition in Yokohama and Saitama, which illustrates the need for a change in organisational decision-making and rigorous event planning.

The 1-year postponement of the Games caused by the COVID-19 pandemic provides sports governing bodies, scientists, sporting teams and other stakeholders with more time to plan preventive strategies and implement new technologies to protect the health of all participants (ie, athletes, workers, officials, spectators) at the Tokyo 2020 Games. Similar to the numbers recorded for Rio de Janeiro Olympics 2016, around 11000 athletes are expected to participate in Tokyo 2020. Although the number of spectators will be reduced since Tokyo Olympics will not accept foreign visitors due to the COVID-19 pandemic, local non-acclimatised spectators will be at high risk of heat stress. Therefore, the aim of the present review is (1) to summarise the practical applications of the most novel cooling strategies/technologies for both safety (of athletes, spectators and workers) and performance purposes, and (2) to inform of the opportunities offered by recent technological developments for the early recognition and diagnosis of exertional heat stroke (EHS). These opportunities are presented together with several ethical dilemmas that must be considered by sports governing bodies and sporting event organisers.

**Figure 1** Minimum (A; blue) and maximum (A; red) daily air temperatures, and relative humidity (B) during the Olympic competitive period (23 July to 8 August) from 2016 to 2020 in the metropolitan area of Tokyo (Japan). Data shown are mean±SD. Data from the Japanese Ministry of the Environment.

**COOLING STRATEGIES TO OPTIMISE SAFETY AND PERFORMANCE IN THE HEAT**

From a medical perspective, EHS is the most severe form of exertional heat illness (EHI) and should be considered when an athlete struggles or collapses during exercise in the heat. The two key diagnostic criteria used are a rectal/core temperature >40°C and central nervous system dysfunction (eg, coma, altered consciousness, confusion, combativeness, agitation, unresponsiveness). When EHS is present, cold water immersion (CWI) should be undertaken as soon as possible and on-site if medical personnel are available following the cool first, transport second approach. Casa and colleagues showed the cooling effectiveness of 25 different cooling strategies (including ice packs, hand cooling or tap water splashing), with CWI showing the greatest cooling rates (especially ice water immersion at 2°C, with a cooling rate of 0.35°C/min). However, Casa et al noted that when immediate CWI is not possible, medical staff should consider using other interventions such as placing cold/wet towels over the entire body, cold showers, or dousing athletes with cold water from a hose. Notably, workers or spectators are also at risk of suffering EHI even with a relatively low level of physical activity, such as simply walking between venues in severely hot environmental conditions. Moreover, for most outdoor sports, spectators are expected to be standing or sitting in crowded, often unshaded areas, with large crowds causing decreased airflow and higher temperatures due to metabolic heat production. This situation may hinder effective identification and intervention, given that symptoms may go unobserved. The estimated thermal discomfort to be experienced by spectators during the original marathon route (in Tokyo) suggests authorities should establish preventive strategies such as providing artificial ventilation with fans in congested areas, providing adequate free water to spectators, or creating free ‘Cool Spot’ signs along the courses so that spectators can seek cooling to mitigate the negative effects of the heat. A rapid intervention for either athletes or non-athletes in case of EHS will be crucial, considering that individuals suffering EHS will likely have
no detrimental consequences if their core temperature decreases to <40°C within 30 min of collapse, but may suffer permanent disabilities or even death if treatment is postponed beyond this time frame.15 15

From a performance perspective, cooling strategies applied before (ie, precooling) and during (ie, percooling) exercise in the heat have been shown to attenuate the associated decline in athletic performance16 by reducing thermal strain and increasing heat storage capacity.17 The reduction of thermal strain has been shown to reduce both cardiovascular (eg, decrease in heart rate and cutaneous blood flow) and psychological stress (eg, perceptual responses) associated with exercise in the heat.18–20 Bongers and colleagues recently published an infographic21 updating their previous meta-analysis16 focused on the effectiveness of cooling strategies on athletic performance. This updated data set included 71 experiments and 697 moderately to well-trained participants and revealed improved exercise performance following both precooling (4.7%) and percooling (5.3%) methods compared with no cooling. This study suggested that the most effective precooling strategy is CWI, eliciting a 7.1% performance improvement, while wearing an ice or cooling vest was the best percooling strategy (11.9% performance improvement). Additionally, these authors found greater performance improvements for endurance exercise protocols (6.4%) when compared with intermittent sprint exercise protocols (3%).21 However, Arngrísson and colleagues pointed out that CWI as a precooling method may not be feasible for athletes immediately before a competition. This would make warming up before the competition challenging.22 These authors demonstrated that wearing a cooling vest with ice packs during the warm-up elicited a 1.1% (ie, 13 s) improvement over a 5 km time trial, which may be meaningful to those who compete in the elite level.

Notably, cooling methods must be adjusted and selected during training according to the athletes’ comfort and tolerability to avoid undesirable thermal responses during the competition, such as shivering,22 23 and optimising cooling at the individual level depending on the environmental characteristics and the athlete’s response. Despite the substantial role of both precooling and percooling strategies to reduce core temperature and to improve exercise performance, during the 2015 World Athletics Championships in Beijing, only half of the athletes planned precooling strategies, with no athletes using percooling methods.24 However, during the 2019 World Athletics Championships in Doha, where the challenging environmental conditions obliged the organising body and technical teams to strengthen the preventive measures, 80% of the road race athletes used precooling strategies and 93% used percooling methods.25

**DEVELOPMENT OF PORTABLE COOLING TECHNOLOGIES**

Cooling methods and devices are not new, and previous research in military personnel and firefighters has examined the effectiveness of wearing different air-cooled garments,26–28 circulating liquid cooling garments27–29 and other passive cooling methods (eg, ice, gel, salt, wax, and so on) within vests/cooling.30–32 Most of these articles focused on thermal strain during prolonged, low-intensity exercise (eg, 3-hour walking at 3.5 km/hour33) while wearing additional combat/protective clothing. While these articles have shown a significant alleviation of thermal strain (ie, decreased body temperature and reduced sweat rates), the direct application of these findings to sport-specific conditions (exercise intensity, clothing, exercise duration) may be inaccurate.

The use of cooling devices/wearables during exercise in athletes has not been extensively investigated in the past due to the associated logistic disadvantages and impracticalities during the competition (eg, excess of weight, skin irritation and issues with sporting regulation).33 34 Nonetheless, Bongers and colleagues highlighted that cooling during exercise increased exercise capacity by a factor of 7%.16 Further research revealed that the use of cooling vests during exercise elicited a 20.4% improvement in cycling performance.35 However, the weight of cooling vests (ie, from 1 to 4.5 kg approximately22 35) may negate their benefit in those sporting activities where increased body mass impairs performance (eg, endurance running). Alternative cooling garments to be used during exercise include neck, wrist and head cooling devices.36 Ruddock and colleagues recently performed a meta-analytical study focused on the effects of practical cooling methods (only those feasible to be used during competition) on heat strain and endurance performance.37 Cooling the neck during heat exposure seemed to enhance thermal comfort at rest and during exercise in two of the three existing studies. However, core temperature and performance were not modified. Accordingly, Schlicht and colleagues found negligible improvements of wrist cooling on core temperature during recovery from exercise-induced heat stress from wearing firefighting protective equipment.35 Further consideration and caution must be considered, bearing in mind that some of these garments seem to only create a perception of cooling without core temperature decrease.34

Several companies have created new cooling wearable technologies during the last year, with some of the most novel and promising summarised in table 1. However, their cooling effectiveness in safety/performance is currently unknown. A major electronics manufacturer has recently developed an air-conditioner to be placed in a pocket on the upper back that presumably allows the wearer to cool the local microenvironment on the body surface using a mobile application.38 However, further research is needed to determine this technology’s true systemic effect, especially during exercise in the heat. Further, companies have developed neck coolers claiming that wet/cold towels may not be sufficiently effective for the challenging environmental conditions expected in Tokyo.39 Wrist coolers are also emerging. Some manufacturers claim that wearers perceive whole-body
cooling of a few degrees Celsius when submitted to the specific cooling protocols they recommend.\textsuperscript{60} Additionally, Yu et al\textsuperscript{41} have revealed the invention of a new type of wearable fabric containing thermoconductive, moisture-permeable and superhydrophobic nanofibrous membranes. While this promising technology exhibited an improved cooling performance and effective water repellence compared with conventional fabrics, its effect and applicability in reducing core temperature during exercise in hot environments are yet to be established. Of note, the validity and reliability assessments of the wearable technologies displayed in Table 1 are either lacking or not publicly available.

**TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT FOR HEATSTROKE RECOGNITION AND DIAGNOSIS**

The medical staff’s primary challenge to optimise treatment of EHS is the early recognition of the condition.\textsuperscript{42} A common misconception is that all patients with EHS will have stopped sweating, have hot skin or be unconscious. However, none of these symptoms are required for a diagnosis of EHS.\textsuperscript{42} Previous case reports have identified that patients with EHS are likely to be awake, with cool and clammy skin, and sweat profusely.\textsuperscript{42} Belval and colleagues presented a basic algorithm for recognising EHS in which they include markers such as the assessment of central nervous system function, responsiveness or core temperature.\textsuperscript{42} However, the rapid assessment of these conditions may be challenging during competition, and the use of specific wearable devices may play a crucial role in the early identification of EHS symptoms.

The challenging environmental conditions expected in summer 2021 in Tokyo and the urgent need to recognise heat-related illness symptoms to protect all attendees’ health have accelerated the development of wearable technology and real-time monitoring. Wearable devices (eg, ingestible core temperature pills\textsuperscript{43}) able to monitor and transmit thermal stress in real time\textsuperscript{44} would permit the medical personnel and technical staff to intervene and prevent an athlete from suffering EHS. With this purpose in mind, a technological ecosystem (ie, an integrated system that involves dynamic interactions between different applications or wearable devices)\textsuperscript{45} has been recently developed by a group of sports physiologists, engineers and experts in biomechanics\textsuperscript{45} that provides live feedback of land and air temperature, heart rate, core temperature and a range of physiological and biomechanical parameters facilitated through a Cloud-based portal. This technology allows the support/medical team to view the data on a desktop, tablet or a smartphone in real time anywhere with internet access, for which internet use should be capitalised. Our group tested the effectiveness of this ecosystem during two pilot experiments in Iten (Kenya) and Seville (Spain) with elite athletes.\textsuperscript{45} While the implementation of this technology (ie, early recognition through real-time core temperature monitoring followed by earlier cooling) may appear to be only applicable for the athletic population due to logistic or economic issues, this could also apply to all spectators and attendees. The organisers could be monitoring the core temperature of thousands of individuals at a big event (eg, Olympic Games) and alert those at risk of heat-related illnesses to urgently go to air-conditioned rooms or make use of specific (and effective) cooling wears provided by the organisers.

**EFFECTIVENESS AND SPORT INTEGRITY ISSUES**

An important drawback of the rapid introduction of cooling wearable technologies (eg, Table 1) is that they may be publicly available before their effectiveness has been scientifically demonstrated and before validity and reliability tests are published and accessible to all users. The lack of quality control procedures, recently discussed in a critical review,\textsuperscript{47} poses a real threat that some athletes could use this technology and potentially gain an unfair advantage, be that perceived or real, over their competitors if this validity/effectiveness information is not available to all—similar to the recent debate surrounding carbon fibre plate running shoes.\textsuperscript{48} Medical/technical teams should always rely on accurate and valid core temperature monitoring (eg, specific temperature capsule systems\textsuperscript{49}) to avoid withdrawing an athlete from competition prematurely, potentially costing him the chance of winning an Olympic medal or, if using

---

**Table 1 Recent wearable technology designed to cool an individual in a hot environment during exercise**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pocket air-conditioners</td>
<td>Wireless device that, when in contact with one's skin through a shirt, can potentially cool the nearby skin area (eg, ref 38).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck coolers</td>
<td>Wearable devices fitted on the shoulders of the individual and adapted around the neck. This wearable cooling device has a thermal cooling plate that collects humid air and transforms it into cool air (eg, ref 39).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist coolers</td>
<td>This bracelet-like wearable contains a thermostat and cools down or warms up at the press of a button (eg, ref 40).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling fabrics and patches</td>
<td>This technology is claimed to pull moisture away from the skin and disperse it throughout the fabric along channels on the thread surface. Moisture is moved away from one's skin and circulates throughout the fabric while controlling the natural evaporation rate (eg, ref 41).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These wearable technologies have been selected following an internet search and are not comprehensive.
inaccurate/not validated methods, potentially exposing him to EHS and its life-changing consequences. Current technical regulation regarding the assistance allowed to the athlete, in the case of World Athletics, states that athletes are allowed to use ‘any kind of personal safeguard (eg, bandage, tape, belt, support, wrist cooler, breathing aid, etc) for protection and/or medical purposes. The Referee, in conjunction with the Medical Delegate, shall have the authority to verify any case should the Referee judge that to be desirable’ (Rule 6.4.3). Nevertheless, this rule does not specifically state that the technology must be either scientifically tested or available to all to avoid an unfair performance advantage over other competitors. However, the IOC has prohibited using certain equipment during the Tokyo 2020 Olympics, including oxygen tanks and cylinders, hypoxic or hyperoxic tents/chambers and cryogenic chambers. The use of recent technological developments during Tokyo 2020 should also be regulated considering their effectiveness for health and performance purposes and considering further ethical issues discussed in the next paragraphs.

Two different potential issues are presented here: (1) the need for wearable technology to be submitted to quality testing procedures to scientifically demonstrate their effectiveness, validity and reliability, and (2) if a significant ergogenic aid is demonstrated, the need to assess whether this advantage is fair within sport and available to all athletes in competition. To address the first issue, the International Federation of Sports Medicine (FIMS) has decided to establish a central resource at a FIMS-accredited laboratory, located at the University of Zaragoza, Spain, to guide wearable technology providers to achieve quality control and data standardisation, with the cooling wearables described in table 1 already under validation. Similar international standard setting initiatives are widely used. For instance, the International Organization for Standardization provides standards for meteorological measurements so that users can use comparable and reliable data. This model applied to the standardisation of wearable technology would enable companies to have their validation tests performed and receive a FIMS certification and allow all athletes/governing bodies to select the most appropriate/efficient devices for their specific needs. Given that data about the effectiveness of a wearable would then be publicly available, governing bodies and competition organisers should exclusively accept those FIMS-certified devices as a guarantee that they have been through a validation process.

While we await the outcome of the effectiveness of cooling wearables, many ethical considerations need to be considered, such as the requirement that technological devices that can significantly impact on performance or health status be available to every athlete/technical team due to ‘the spirit of the universality of athletics [sport in general]’, as was recently described in the World Athletics

Figure 2 Smart activity and temperature monitor to enhance safety during sporting events with particular reference to athletes, officials and workforce at increased risk. Licence number: 4654951032268. App, application; GIS, geographic information system.
Technical Rules. An additional major ethical dilemma athlete support teams will face if they can access real-time core temperature monitoring is related to their potential decision to withdraw their athlete/s from the competition if they are at risk of EHS. A hypothetical marathoner could be running with a core temperature of 41.8°C when there is only 1–2 km left to win a medal, as experienced by Scottish athlete Callum Hawkins at the 2018 Commonwealth Games. Therefore, the athlete support team must decide to either (1) withdraw the athlete from the race, although the athlete might not accept this decision, or (2) not intervene and let the athlete attempt to finish the race, potentially causing harm to the athlete’s health. This is a new ethical dilemma that must be discussed and regulated since previously core temperature data could only be downloaded after an event and could not be used to prevent EHS. In a further hypothetical scenario, Callum Hawkins could be running the same marathon with a core temperature of 40.9°C (ie, just above the critical threshold for cell damage; 40.82°C15) and just 2–4 km left in the race (6–12 min if the athlete is running at a pace of 3 min/km). The medical personnel would be required to intervene within 30 min from this point. The core temperature data would aid the decision-making process in all scenarios (including a collapse below a core temperature of 40.9°C). The individual core temperature that a heat-acclimatised athlete can reach and sustain without EHS symptoms would need to be determined to provide the athlete with adequate individual protection and do not overprotect/underprotect by taking drastic measures during the competition (ie, pull the athlete out unnecessarily or dangerously do not intervene). High individual core temperatures have been found in professional rugby players (>39.5°C) and elite cyclists (>40.5°C) without ill effects, which illustrate the importance of understanding interindividual heat strain during exercise. Notley et al24 have recommended using real-time monitoring of multiple physiological and perceptual strain indices rather than solely rely on core temperature to avoid EHS in occupational workers. The concurrent monitoring of physiological, biomechanical and perceptual data will likely identify EHS symptoms more accurately. Finally, the data generated need consideration regarding the athlete’s biophysical data ownership and the development of encryption technology to avoid competitors illegally accessing other athlete’s data to gain a competitive advantage. Once these effectiveness and ethical considerations are resolved with more data and evidence-based recommendations, the appropriate implementation of wearable technology is likely to provide earlier identification of EHS symptoms allowing for the initiation of more active interventions and the provision of greater flexibility to ensure competitor safety, which are crucial approaches given the rapidly warming climate combined with the timing of many sporting events.
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