

1 **Sport integrity opportunities in the time of coronavirus**

2

3 **Authors:** Yannis Pitsiladis^{1,2}, Borja Muniz-Pardos³, Mike Miller⁴, Michele Verroken⁵

4

5 ¹Collaborating Centre of Sports Medicine, University of Brighton, Eastbourne, United

6 Kingdom; ²International Federation of Sports Medicine (FIMS), Lausanne,

7 Switzerland; ³GENUD (Growth, Exercise, Nutrition and Development) research

8 group, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain; ⁴World Olympians Association,

9 Lausanne, Switzerland; ⁵Sporting Integrity Ltd, Stoke Mandeville, United Kingdom

10

11

12 **Corresponding author:**

13

14 Professor Yannis Pitsiladis

15 Collaborating Centre of Sports Medicine, University of Brighton

16 Eastbourne

17 UK

18 Email: y.pitsiladis@brighton.ac.uk

19

20

21 The coronavirus (Covid-19) pandemic provides a unique opportunity for reflection on

22 integrity challenges facing sport. The imposed lockdown in response to this global

23 crisis has resulted in most sporting events being postponed or cancelled including the

24 2020 Olympic Games. While this pandemic threatens to overwhelm an already fragile

25 world sport, it provides an unprecedented opportunity for stakeholders in sport to

26 learn vital lessons from Covid-19, to delve into unresolved integrity issues and
27 develop creative and long-lasting solutions. This current opinion focuses on two
28 issues affecting the integrity of sport: doping and technological fairness.

29

30 Measures taken by governments to defeat Covid-19 such as policing of social
31 distancing rules through applications monitoring people's behaviour, self-testing at
32 home, and collaborations amongst rival companies to speed up the development of a
33 vaccine and search for treatments, can all help inform sport. The goal to flatten the
34 curve of a pandemic to safeguard public health involves all athletes, regardless of
35 sport or rivalry and is a welcome departure from the disproportionate focus on health
36 protection of 'individual' athlete/team [1]. Many technologies that enable us to work
37 from home such as teaching students on-line, applications for medical advice,
38 prescriptions and referrals, and treating patients in hospitals/care homes via video
39 links are destined to alter the way we live and work after the pandemic and can be
40 used to enhance sport integrity.

41

42 Anti-doping testing in sport has all but ceased due to the lockdown raising suspicion
43 about doping opportunities. Creative thinking such as in-home self drug testing by the
44 U.S. Anti-Doping Agency involving athletes who consented to provide urine and
45 small dried blood samples at home is controversial [2]. Athletes are required to
46 complete their normal whereabouts while a doping control officer connects via
47 videoconference during a prescribed time period. Using testing kits sent to their
48 homes, athletes provide their urine samples in the bathroom whilst their laptop
49 remains outside the room, after giving the doping control officer a virtual tour.
50 Sample provision is timed and the athlete measures their urine temperature to

51 demonstrate it is freshly provided. Athletes also apply an auto-sampler to their arm
52 and collect a blood sample, and are responsible for packaging and sending their
53 samples to the anti-doping laboratory.

54

55 This evolution in anti-doping could further advance testing. The pledge by the
56 International Olympic Committee (IOC) of \$10 million for new anti-doping approaches
57 with particular focus on ‘omics’ technologies, Dried Blood Spots, and an ambitious
58 long-term storage and reanalysis programme [3], could provide some fresh thinking
59 into athlete profiling and testing. It may be more effective to combine such innovations
60 with the Covid-time distance technologies and other approaches such as artificial
61 intelligence to determine the optimal time and athlete to test, and to ensure more
62 frequent testing as well as the integrity of the sample collection with real time
63 assessment. These developments will undoubtedly generate new challenges such as big
64 data management, data protection, data security and other complex issues [4]. However,
65 the cost-benefit opportunity to reduce the cost of sample collection, increase frequency
66 of testing and convenience to athletes will help improve trust in the anti-doping system.

67

68 There is also the issue of ‘technological fairness’, as seen in the sport of athletics [5]
69 over the past four years with the launch of carbon fibre plate (CFP) shoes. Since their
70 introduction, all world records in the half- and full-marathon have been broken raising
71 concerns that this technology leads to a distinct non-physiological advantage [5]. The
72 improvement in performance by athletes running in CFP shoes is similar to some
73 blood doping substances included on the Prohibited List of the World Anti-Doping
74 Agency (WADA), such as erythropoietin, which can improve performance by
75 approximately 5% [6]. World Athletics reacted by announcing new rules stating that

76 sole thickness of a shoe must not exceed 40 mm and be on sale for at least four
77 months before use in competition [7]. These rules have resulted in a ‘footwear arms
78 race’ to develop patented CFP inserts by shoe companies. Postponement of the Tokyo
79 Olympics gives World Athletics the opportunity to commission an independent
80 review focusing on ‘technological fairness’ to evaluate the impact of technology on
81 physical performance and therefore on the integrity of sporting competition.

82 Accordingly, recent developments in wearable technology could be used to provide a
83 standard method to assess this impact both in training and competition [8]. How this
84 matter is resolved will have wider implications for all sport. Pressures are already
85 mounting about expiration of doping sanctions, secret training sessions and doping
86 regimes free from testing.

87

88 This pause in the business of sport due to Covid-19 provides time and opportunity to
89 consider new approaches to address ongoing integrity challenges. Positive action
90 extends beyond the realm of sports integrity with far reaching benefits for the field of
91 sport and exercise medicine. Let us take advantage of this opportunity.

92

93 **Funding.** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any
94 funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

95 **Competing interests.** None declared

96 **Patient consent for publication.** Not required.

97 **Provenance and peer review.** Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

98

99

100 **References:**

101

102 1. Mann RH, Clift BC, Boykoff J, Bekker S. Athletes as community; athletes in
103 community: covid-19, sporting mega-events and athlete health protection. *Br J Sports*
104 *Med.* 2020;bjsports-2020-102433.

105 2. Futterman M. Doping Tests Go Virtual. Is It Temporary or a Glimpse of the
106 Future? - The New York Times [Internet]. 2020. Available from:
107 <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/15/sports/olympics/coronavirus-drug-testing.html>

108 3. International Olympic Committee. IOC President announces USD 10 million
109 “action plan” and calls for tougher sanctions against “entourage” members to
110 strengthen protection of clean athletes - Olympic News [Internet]. 2019. Available
111 from: [https://www.olympic.org/news/ioc-president-announces-usd-10-million-action-](https://www.olympic.org/news/ioc-president-announces-usd-10-million-action-plan-and-calls-for-tougher-sanctions-against-entourage-members-to-strengthen-protection-of-clean-athletes)
112 [plan-and-calls-for-tougher-sanctions-against-entourage-members-to-strengthen-](https://www.olympic.org/news/ioc-president-announces-usd-10-million-action-plan-and-calls-for-tougher-sanctions-against-entourage-members-to-strengthen-protection-of-clean-athletes)
113 [protection-of-clean-athletes](https://www.olympic.org/news/ioc-president-announces-usd-10-million-action-plan-and-calls-for-tougher-sanctions-against-entourage-members-to-strengthen-protection-of-clean-athletes)

114 4. Tanisawa K, Wang G, Seto J, Verdouka I, Twycross-Lewis R, Karanikolou A, et
115 al. Sport and exercise genomics: the FIMS 2019 consensus statement update. *Br J*
116 *Sports Med.* 2020;bjsports-2019-101532.

117 5. Muniz-Pardos B, Sutehall S, Angeloudis K, Guppy FM, Bosch A, Pitsiladis Y.
118 Commentaries on Viewpoint: Physiology and fast marathons: Recent improvements
119 in marathon times are not physiological. *J Appl Physiol.* 2020;128:1081.

120 6. Haile DW, Durussel J, Mekonen W, Ongaro N, Anjila E, Mooses M, et al. Effects
121 of EPO on Blood Parameters and Running Performance in Kenyan Athletes. *Med Sci*
122 *Sport Exerc.* 2019;51:299–307.

123 7. World Athletics. World Athletics modifies rules governing competition shoes for
124 elite athletes [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.worldathletics.org/news/press->

125 release/modified-rules-shoes
126 8. Muniz-Pardos B, Sutehall S, Gellaerts J, Falbriard M, Mariani B, Bosch A, et al.
127 Integration of Wearable Sensors Into the Evaluation of Running Economy and Foot
128 Mechanics in Elite Runners. *Curr Sport Med Rep*. 2018;17:480–8.
129